

Name and Type of Event	Post-2015 Framework for DRR Consultation with Heads of Inter-Governmental Organizations (IGOs)
Date	20 May 2013
Reporters name	Sujit Mohanty
Number of Participants	50

1. The key drivers for enhancing IGOs' support to disaster risk reduction implementation at the sub-regional level are:

- Raising awareness of the heads of states (for example, disaster risk reduction is vital for physical stability)
- Establishment of specialized institutional mechanisms/bodies in IGOs for disaster risk management and have disaster risk management programmes / projects to support Member States (AU)
- Encourage Member States to have common development agendas that integrate disaster risk reduction
- Create legal foundation for IGO to strengthen disaster risk reduction (SAARC)
- Promote cross-sectoral implementation and synergies (ASEAN)
- Cross regional networking, learning and sharing of good practices will be instrumental for IGOs to enhance its support to countries.

2. IGOs can optimize and support the legitimacy of Regional Platforms/ Ministerial Conferences for disaster risk reduction and linkage with Host Governments through:

- Platforms are for networking, communicating and coordination. This approach needs to be consistently applied at all levels: national, regional and global.
- Regional Platforms are owned by the governments. There is the need to strengthen ownership of Regional Platform at national level. First ensure governments take actions on disaster risk reduction. It is important that IGO actions are demand-driven.

- A wider partnership is required: the need to reach out to other stakeholders and ensure their voices (private sector, civil society, etc); together countries, especially small countries have stronger voice and joint networks have proved success (Pacific).
- Advisory Council comprising countries, UN, technical bodies, civil society and so on to guide Regional Platforms has proved useful.

3. How to enhance the awareness of the Heads of states?

- Need to demonstrate what is the success and failure of with or without disaster risk reduction. Make a case that disaster risk rduction is an investment, not a cost with cost-benefit. There is enough evidence that must be utilized.
- Ensure that disaster risk reduction comes to Heads of State Summit. Convince critical ministries before: finance.
- Convince critical ministers for them to influence Heads of States: finance, infrastructure, health, environment, water resources.

4. What would be IGOs' key priorities in HFA2?

- We must continue implementing HFA. HFA 2 must fill the GAPs.
- Important issues for HFA2 are: improve governance, resources, capacity development, urban risk, drought and desertification, man-made disaster, transboundary disaster risk assessment and management, engaging private sector is key and must go beyond Corporate Social Responsibility
- HFA2 should not be considered as a document, rather a development framework to attract other non-traditional development sectors.
- The role of stakeholders need to more prominent and need to be treated separately in the document. More involvement of academic and other stakeholders.
- HFA2 needs more accountability mechanisms not only for governments but also for other stakeholders. HFA2 should have more robust indicators base. The regional and national indicators of current HFA monitoring should be reviewed. HFA2 can be target based. EU: HFA2 need to address sustainable development goals and strategies. Improve on standardized monitoring and evaluation. Peer review need to be prominently figured in the HFA2 monitoring process.