LEARNING

Nodi Boithoks have increased level of confidence among community members, especially women, about their rights and entitlements. It is important to note that if enabling environment can be created, community members can raise their voice and demand their rights from the authorities. With continuation and mainstreaming of this process across the shared river basins, a more inclusive water governance in the basin is possible. In countries (like Bangladesh) where the policy keeps options open for community participation in decision-making processes at all levels, proper implementation and monitoring of the policies will be critically important.

NEXT STEPS

• TROSA will continue with the Nodi Boithok initiative to empower vulnerable river communities, allowing their voices to be heard on management of water resources until a participatory governance system is fully functional.
• Community-led solutions will be addressed and piloted to follow up on community aspirations expressed in the Nodi Boithoks by TROSA partners.
• Connecting local governments and relevant private sector actors with communities across shared basins, through the Nodi Boithok process will work as a bridge to connect local and regional stakeholders toward inclusive water governance at a basin scale.

About TROSA

TROSA is a five year (2017-2021) regional water governance programme being implemented in the transboundary river basins of Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna (GBM) in Nepal, India and Bangladesh and the Salween in Myanmar. Adopting a human-rights based approach, TROSA facilitates river dependent communities’ participation in water governance and help them uphold their rights to water. As part of this, it also supports and promotes multi-stakeholder partnerships and collective action for inclusive water governance policies and practices at various levels. TROSA is funded by the Government of Sweden and managed by Oxfam.
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Nodi Boithok or ‘River Meeting’ is a process, through which civil society organizations and local vulnerable communities collectively work to identify water governance challenges and opportunities at the grassroots level. Through this process, communities’ capacity to engage with and influence duty bearers is strengthened, to secure their water resource rights.

The process was initiated in early 2018 in Bangladesh by TROSA programme and has been implemented by two partners - Center for Natural Resource Studies (CNRS) and Danu Unnayan Kendra in Brahmaputra and Meghna basins, respectively. So far, through a series of 30 Nodi Boithoks, we have engaged over 300 community leaders (women and men). They discussed and planned strategies to influence and resolve issues such as access to river bank erosion control support, fisheries rights, access to inland water transport, remedies from the impacts of ‘misfunctioning’ rubber dam and unplanned and unregulated sand and gravel mining – all of which are related to the governance of transboundary river basins – Meghna, Brahmaputra and Jinijiram. Issues raised, discussed and remedial actions proposed in these meetings were later shared with relevant Bangladesh stakeholders at subdistrict and national levels. Some of these can later be taken forward to cross-boundary counterparts in India at state and central levels, especially those related with Brahmaputra and Meghna basins.

Among the outcomes of this initiative, most significant is a participatory influencing process to make Hilsa fisheries more inclusive and promote community-led indigenous river erosion control infrastructure and start discussing about the transboundary dimensions of both these issues.

INTRODUCTION

Rivers in South Asia are governed as state-owned common pool resources. Over a long period of time, communities living in these river basins have been detached from the governance systems, despite the water policies aiming at a participatory governance model. This has worsened vulnerabilities and poverty among river-dependent communities.

Now, communities’ participation in river related issues are often limited to grievances over encroachment of river banks, demand for flood and erosion protection infrastructure, or shortage of water for irrigation and drinking. Even in such cases of protest, the initiatives often die at the grassroots, mainly because it is not business as usual for the local communities to talk about ‘big matters’ like river governance, especially to design the solutions to the challenges they face.

This pretext has compelled Oxfam and its partners to play the role of a catalyst for community-led initiatives to uncover the root causes of the most pressing concerns and foster partnerships between civil society organizations (CSOs) and men and women from stakeholder communities towards achieving inclusive and equitable solutions.

APPROACH

Nodi Boithok follows a bottom-up approach starting with monthly meetings at the village level to listen to stories of the communities living around the TROSA working areas in Brahmaputra and Meghna basins. These events are organized by CNRS and GUK, who use reflections from the Nodi Boithoks to forge partnerships with other relevant CSOs. They decide whether the issues require substantiation of evidence before moving forward, while also conducting a power analysis of the stakeholders involved. Based on the results, the most pressing issues across multiple geographic locations covering shared river basins are selected for advocacy.

Accordingly, CSOs make an initial influencing plan in partnership with communities. With time, this is escalated to national and basin levels and several Nodi Boithoks usually happen in between for coordinated planning and reporting back to the communities on progress of influencing.

The process was initiated in early 2018 in Bangladesh by TROSA programme and has been implemented by two partners - Center for Natural Resource Studies (CNRS) and Danu Unnayan Kendra in Brahmaputra and Meghna basins, respectively. So far, through a series of 30 Nodi Boithoks, we have engaged over 300 community leaders (women and men). They discussed and planned strategies to influence and resolve issues such as access to river bank erosion control support, fisheries rights, access to inland water transport, remedies from the impacts of ‘misfunctioning’ rubber dam and unplanned and unregulated sand and gravel mining – all of which are related to the governance of transboundary river basins – Meghna, Brahmaputra and Jinijiram. Issues raised, discussed and remedial actions proposed in these meetings were later shared with relevant Bangladesh stakeholders at subdistrict and national levels. Some of these can later be taken forward to cross-boundary counterparts in India at state and central levels, especially those related with Brahmaputra and Meghna basins.

Among the outcomes of this initiative, most significant is a participatory influencing process to make Hilsa fisheries more inclusive and promote community-led indigenous river erosion control infrastructure and start discussing about the transboundary dimensions of both these issues.

ISSUES AND ACTIONS

1. Practices of ‘Exclusion’ in Hilsa management:
   - Exclusion of fishermen from the benefits of Hilsa fisheries in North Bengal (Bangladesh) and Assam (India) and over fishing in Bay of Bengal (Bangladesh, India and Myanmar)
   - Marginalization of fishermen in the current management system (top down enforcement approach and undermining human rights)

2. Unsustainable sand extraction:
   - Fast infrastructural growth and mega projects created high demand for sand
   - Community members and CSOs don’t have their say in sand management
   - CSOs and communities perceive indiscriminate sand extraction from rivers as a threat to fisheries and river habitat and a potential reason for erosion.

3. Exclusion of small boat users and traders from transboundary inland water transport system
   - Push for enabling policies in Bangladesh and India to include grass-roots communities as users and beneficiaries of transboundary water transport system

4. Lack of respect and support for traditional and ecosystem based management practices for erosion control:
   - Government high cost mega-projects focus on erosion control only, which requires significant time and money and excludes local communities from management

5. Community-led flash flood preparedness
   - Transboundary community-based early warning system (CBFEWS) and flood preparedness in Jinijiram river system

LEVEL 1

• Needs identification
• Generating ‘will to act’
• Defining roles and commitments

LEVEL 2

• CSOs: TROSA partners dig into the issue, discuss with allies in different CSOs, and initiate studies to gather evidence
• Power analysis
• Action plan

LEVEL 3

• Consultations at national and basin levels with relevant authorities to push the agenda
• CSO-CSO partnership
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