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## FOREWORD



I would sincerely like to congratulate all involved in the development of the Light Timber/Steel Frame Structure Manual for Reconstruction of Earthquake Resistant Houses, which has been published by the National Reconstruction Authority (NRA). This manual will support timber/steel frame structure, especially found in Sindhuli, Makawanpur and Okhaldhunga districts.

Thirty-one districts have been identified by the GoN Post Disaster Needs Assessment (PDNA) as being earthquake affected. To date, around 750,000 households across the 31 districts have been identified as being eligible to receive 300,000 NPRs housing reconstruction grant.

I look forward to seeking the implementation of manual and its impact across the earthquake affected districts. This represents another positive step forward in the reconstruction process, and will support households to overcome non-compliance issues and secure approval to receive tranches of the reconstruction grant and to have safe, compliant houses.


Chief Executive Officer, NRA
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## PREFACE



Under the housing reconstruction programme, houses that have been constructed or are in the process of construction need to comply with the Minimum Requirements (MRs) for compliant construction. In order to receive the housing reconstruction grant, the buildings need to comply with all the descriptions mentioned in the inspection check sheet which were formulated on the basis of MRs. For light timber/steel frame structure no any guideline and MRs has been published till date, as a result it became difficult to inspect these typology of buildings. In order to inspect, evaluate and correct these buildings, light timber/steel frame structure manual has been prepared.

Traditional construction shall have an appropriate technical guideline (Including MR, Inspection sheet) to ensure seismic requirements to support the housing reconstruction programme. In some parts of Siwalik range, use of wood in building construction is found quite high. Also wooden frame building are found to be constructed using traditional method in Sindhuli, Makawanpur and Okhaldhunga district.

This manual is helpful to all the engineers who are working for the reconstruction and are deployed by GoN for inspection, it will help them to fill up the inspection check sheet.
The manual has been divided into three sections so that they could be conveniently used for inspection and provide correction order, if need.

PART-1: Theory of Seismic Evaluation
PART-2: Technical Specification
PART-3: Correction for existing buildings


Dr. Hari Ram Parajuli Executive member, NRA
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# PART-1: Theory of Seismic Evaluation 

1. Background
2. Introduction
3. Typology of frame structure
4. Limitation of this manual

## 1. Background

On $25^{\text {th }}$ April, an earthquake of magnitude 7.8 struck with epicenter in Barpak, Gorkha. Where several aftershocks were still being felt, meanwhile another major aftershock hit Sindupalchowk district on $12^{\text {th }}$ May, 2015.
A total of 755,549 houses have been damaged by the earthquakes in 31 districts in Nepal. Of which, 498,852 houses ( $66.0 \%$ ) were completely destroyed and 256,697 houses (34\%) were partially damaged.

Under Housing reconstruction programme, in order to achieve "Build Back Better" (BBB), satisfying NBC 105 as seismic code.
To reach this target, many technical guidelines and manuals are developed.


## Background

Existing guideline and Manuals


## 2. Introduction

Construction of various building typologies are in practices in many parts of the country such as masonry buildings, RCC buildings, traditional (local area specific) building using wooden or steel etc. Likewise, masonry and RCC construction, traditional construction shall have an appropriate technical guideline (Including MR, Inspection sheet) to ensure seismic requirements to support the housing reconstruction programme.

In some parts of Siwalik range, high use of wood in building construction is found. Similarly, wooden frame building are found to be constructed using traditional method in Sindhuli, Makawanpur and Okhaldhunga district.

In order to inspect traditionally built houses, development of light timber/steel frame structure manual (seismic evaluation manual) is necessary, this manual consists of inspection sheet and detailed evaluation methods.

The objectives of content mentioned in these manual is to educate engineers/technical staff who are involve in inspection process. This manual is based on recognized engineering principles and practices. It consists of simplified calculation and hands on correction methods.


Wood is light construction material with high strength, therefore, is highly preferred material in construction. However, heavy cladding walls increases loading demand laterally on a frame beyond its structural capacity.

The wood has the following peculiarities that are not seen in other materials.

1) It is a non-homogeneous and anisotropic material showing different characteristics not only in different directions but also in tension and compression.
2) Shrinkage of wood on drying is relatively large. Joints loosen easily due to construction in the direction perpendicular to fibers. Therefore dry wood shall be used with the moisture content less than 20\%.
3) Preservative treatment is necessary to avoid premature rotting and insect attack.
4) The defect and notches of wood influence greatly its strength and stiffness. Consequently it is necessary to select and to arrange structural members considering their structural properties.

The typical features of earthquake damage to timber structure are as follows:

1) The failure of the joints connecting columns and beams frequently occurs. As the inclination of the building increases, its restoring force against distortion decreases to the structural deterioration and the vertical load, and finally leads to the complete collapse of the building.
2) Incase of two storey buildings, the first storey usually suffers severe damage than the second storey. Often the first storey collapses while the second storey has less damage.

## 3. Typology of frame structure

NRA Technical Team (TWG) has surveyed wooden framed structure in Sindhuli district (kamalamai municipality, Bharakali VDC, Bhiman municipality and Ranibash ). The team has noted architectural and structural detailing of existing building components along with material specifications.


Photos of existing timber structures

## Typology of frame structure

At the result of survey, timber framed structure can be categorized into three structural systems from seismic point of view as mentioned below:

Those typology can be adaptable to steel structure.

## 1. Bare timber frame

2. Braced timber frame
3. Timber frame with masonry wall


Category on structural system of Timber structure as called.

## Bare timber frame

Rigid frame system also known as "Bare timber Frame" is an unbraced frame, that is capable of resisting both vertical and lateral load by the bendings of beams and columns. It is a rectilinear assemblage of beams and columns, with rigid connection between column and beam.
Resistance to lateral forces is provided primarily by rigid frame action.

Bare frame is designed and constructed with enough rigid connections to resist lateral seismic forces. Structures that use bare frames tend to have greater flexibility than structures that use shear panels.


- Rigidity of connection should be increased, i.e. knee brace etc.


## Typology of frame structure

## Braced timber frame / Shear panel



A braced frame is a structural system commonly used in structures subjected to lateral loads. The addition of a bracing frame increases a structure's stability against lateral loads such as earthquake and wind load. The members in braced frame are generally made of timber or steel member, which can work effectively both in tension and compression.
The beams and columns that form the frame carry vertical loads, and the bracing system carries the lateral loads. Braced frames reduce lateral displacement, as well as the bending moment in columns. Braced frames have beams and columns that are "pin" connected with bracing to resist lateral loads.


- Required brace / shear panel member should be calculated. Simplified calculation method is introduced in this manual.


## Timber frame with masonry wall [Load bearing wall]

Timber/steel framed (confined) masonry represents a special structural system because of its higher strength than of a timber structure, and higher ductility than of an unreinforced masonry structure.
Same as bracing, The addition of masonry wall increases structure's stability against lateral loads such as earthquake and wind load.
There are wall types which are dependent upon the construction of masonry. These walls have the ability to potentially transfer axial loads from the beam of the frame as well as transfer shear from the beam or the columns.

## Load bearing walls

Masonry wall constructed outside of the plane of the timber framing, will become the main structural part of the building. The timber framing were designed only for gravity loads whereas the masonry provided redundancy for lateral load support. These masonry walls shall be reinforced to provide structural redundancy.


- This type should be followed masonry structure minimum requirements.


## Typology of frame structure

Timber frame with masonry wall [Confined/Infill wall type]


- This type should be followed masonry structure MRs or calculate as shear panel.


## CASE STUDY 1



## CONDITION OF BUILDING

- Timber frame is unbraced bare frame structure.
- Traditional method of timber framed structure.
- Exterior column continued up to the roof, whereas the internal Column continued up to upper level of ground floor.
- This building has bare Frame from structural view point.
- According structural analysis, the rigidity of connection is not strong, therefore, Seismic requirement is not satisfied.

Correction method is also introduced in this manual. Addition of knee brace on each beam-column joint is necessary.

## Typology of frame structure

## CASE STUDY 2



## CONDITION OF BUILDING

- The masonry wall is outside of the plane of the timber framing
- The timber framing were provided to support gravity loads.
- The masonry provides redundancy for lateral load support. This masonry wall is the main structural part of the building.
- Brick masonry wall with horizontal band

- This building can be inspected as masonry building and upper part can be inspect as frame structure, same as hybrid structure.


## CASE STUDY 3

## CONDITION OF BUILDING

- The masonry walls are constructed within the plane of the framing as confined.
- This timber framed masonry walls are the main structural part of the building.
- The timber framed masonry walls are supported for gravity load and lateral load as well.

- This timber framed masonry walls is shear wall panel, therefore, it can be calculated as frame structure with brace member.
- Use simplified calculation of brace member.


## Typology of frame structure

## CASE STUDY 4



## CONDITION OF BUILDING

- The masonry walls are constructed within the plane.
- The masonry walls supported both gravity and lateral load.
- The timber framing were designed for only gravity loads.
- The masonry walls has horizontal band

- Ground floor can be evaluated as masonry structure. Same as hybrid structure.



## CONDITION OF BUILDING

- The masonry walls are constructed within the plane of the framing as confined.
- The timber framed masonry walls were provided to support for both gravity load and lateral load.
- Confined masonry walls has huge openings.

- Confined area should be solid of masonry. Therefore, Bracing calculation method cannot be used.


As masonry wall, it should be follow minimum requirement of masonry structure.
Or Side of opening should provide vertical element for confining masonry wall.

## Typology of frame structure

## CASE STUDY 6



## CONDITION OF BUILDING

- Timber frame is only vertical and horizontal, unbraced frame.
- The traditional method of timber framed structure.
- The exterior column continue up to the first floor, whereas the internal Column will run only up to the height of ground floor.
- This structural system of this building is bare timber frame.
- According structural analysis, the connection is not strong enough, therefore, Seismic requirement is not satisfied.


Correction method is introduced in this manual. Addition of knee brace on each connection is necessary.

## 4. Limitation of this manual

## Limitations

Under the GoN housing reconstruction programme, this manual covers bare frame, braced/shear wall panel and timber frame with masonry wall structures that are either newly constructed or under construction.
Light steel frame structure basically refers to use of steel sections similar as use of rebar in load bearing structure. It does not mean to moment resisting steel frame structures.

This manual has certain limitations and is only relevant for buildings which are:

## I.Residential and fall under category 'C' and 'D' of NBC.

$\checkmark$ Category "A": Modern building to be built, based on the
international state-of-the-art, also in pursuance of the building
codes to be followed in developed countries.
$\checkmark$ Category "B": Buildings with plinth area of more than One Thousand square feet, with more than three floors including the ground floor or with structural span of more than 4.5 meters.
$\checkmark$ Category "C": Buildings with plinth area of up to One Thousand square feet, with up to three floors including the ground floor or with structural span of up to 4.5 meters.
$\checkmark$ Category "D": Small houses, sheds made of baked or unbaked brick, stone, clay, bamboo, grass etc., except those set forth in clauses (a), (b) and (c)

## Applicability

This manual is prepared on the basis of NBC105, NBC104 and IS 875.
The designs mentioned in the manual are ready-to-use designs for all structural components.

## Limitation
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# PART-2: Technical specification 

a. Key evaluation/inspection items
b. Minimum requirements

1. Shape and size of building
2. Materials
3. Foundation
4. Frame action
5. Connection and joint
6. Roof

## a. Key evaluation/inspection items



## 1. Shape and Size of building

Simple rectangular shapes behave better in an earthquake than shapes with projections. The inertia forces are proportional to the mass (or weight) of the building and only building elements or contents that possess mass will give rise to seismic forces on the building.

## 2. Materials

Inadequate materials does not have sufficient stability and strength to withstand the lateral forces. Hence, use of these substandard materials might leads to the failure or ultimately collapse of the overall structure.

## 3. Foundation

Buildings which are structurally robust against earthquakes sometimes fails due to inadequate foundation. Tilting, cracking and failure of superstructures may result from soil liquefaction and differential settlements of footing.

## 4. Frame (vertical, horizontal and bracing)

Earthquake-induced inertia forces are distributed to wall which consists of vertical, horizontal frame and bracing. Therefore, frame should support each other horizontally and vertically.
Wall framing should have diagonal braces, or sheathing boards so that the frame acts as a shear or bracing wall.
Diagonal braces are used to resist the frame against lateral load due to earthquake and wind.

## 5. Connections and Joints

If there is poor connection between the ground floor and first floor with rigid structure, the building might tends to uplifting/rocking or sliding behavior, when the lateral load is imposed on to the structure.

## 6. Roof

In order to resist against lateral forces, proper connection of roof to the vertical post and top plate is essential. Depending upon the structures cross bracing is also required.

## Minimum requirements

| No. | Category | Sub Category | Description |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Shape of house | No. of storey | Not more than two storey |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. of bays | At least two bay |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Proportion | Simple and regular shape as square or rectangular |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Length is not more three times of its width |  |  |  |
|  |  | Height of floor | Not more than 2500 mm |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | Materials | Nail | Common wire nails shall be made of mild steel having a minimum tensile strength of $250 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm} 2$. Nails with appropriate diameter and length shall be provided. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Bolt, meta plate | It shall be used in such the number, diameter, length, spacing as shall be as per the specification. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Rebar | High strength deformed bars with fy $=415 \mathrm{Mpa}$ or 500 Mpa . |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Timber | Treated and well seasoned hard wood or locally available wood without knots shall be used. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Brick | It shall not be over-burnt, under-burnt and deformed. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Mortar | Strength not less than 1 cement: 6 sand mixture. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Concrete | M20 grade (1 cement: 1.5 sand: 3 aggregate) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | stone | It shall not be round, easily breakable soft stone. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Concrete block | Compressive strength must be 5 Mpa Size: 400 mm * 150 mm *200mm |  |  |  |  |
| 3. | Foundation | Wooden post | It shall rest on a firm base pad. <br> Deterioration of wood shall be prevented as per specification. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Masonry | Masonry unit shall be large flat-bedded stone, regular-sized well-burnt bricks. The gaps in the core shall be well-packed with the masonry unites |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Size and shape | It shall be as per specification. |  |  |  |  |
| 4. | Frame | Vertical member | General | It shall The con buildin | ced in us post | same position of grou ecommended at ea | und and first floor corner of |
|  |  |  | Post |  |  | Hard wood (mm) | Soft wood (mm) |
|  |  |  |  | Brace/ | 2 m | $110 \times 110$ | $120 \times 120$ |
|  |  |  |  | Shear | 2.5 m | $110 \times 110$ | $120 \times 120$ |
|  |  |  |  | Panel | 3 m | $120 \times 120$ | $130 \times 130$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 m | $130 \times 130$ | $140 \times 140$ |
|  |  |  |  | Bare Frame | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Up to } \\ & 2.5 \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $130 \times 180$ | $150 \times 200$ |

# Minimum Requirements 



- Note : if structural steel is used in place of wooden element, it shall have a equivalent capacity of wooden element. Also, gross cross section area of steel element shall not be less than $7 \%$ that of gross cross sectional area of wooden element in any case except Steel moment resisting frame.

Table: Equivalent size of steel member

| S.N. | Size of wood | Equivalent size of steel member (grade250) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $110 \mathrm{~mm}^{*} 110 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |
| 2 | $190 \mathrm{~mm} * 100 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |
| 3 | $240 \mathrm{~mm} * 70 \mathrm{~mm}$ (3mm thick) |  |

## 1. Shape and Size of building

Requirements

| No | Category | Sub Category | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Shape of house | No.of storey | Not more than two storey |
|  |  | No. of bays | At least two bay |
|  |  |  | Simple and regular shape as square or rectangular |
|  |  |  | Length is not more three times of its width |
|  |  | Height of floor | Not more than 2500 mm |

## Why important?

No. of storey: The seismic load is distinctly different from dead and live load. If attic is used as storage, heavy weight will be on the top of building, hence, larger seismic force will be subjected.
Shape and Size of building: Simple rectangular shapes behave better in an earthquake than shapes with projections. Torsional effects of ground motion are pronounced in long narrow rectangular blocks.

## Exception

- If structure is found to be safe after structural calculation, L-shape, T-shape or two plus attic of house can be constructed.


## Inspection methodology

- Regular shape and size and upto two storey, inspection is specification base, however, if two storey plus attic, structural calculation is mandatory.



## 2. Materials

## Requirements

| 2. | Materials | Nail | Common wire nails shall be made of mild steel having a minimum tensile strength of $250 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm} 2$. Nails with appropriate diameter and length shall be provided. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Bolt, metal plate | It shall be used in such the number, diameter, length, spacing as shall be as per the specification. |
|  |  | Rebar | High strength deformed bars with fy = 415 Mpa or 500 Mpa . |
|  |  | Timber | Treated and well seasoned hard wood or locally available wood without knots shall be used. |
|  |  | Brick | It shall not be over-burnt, under-burnt and deformed. |
|  |  | Mortar | Strength not less than 1 cement: 6 sand mixture. |
|  |  | Concrete | M20 grade (1 cement: 1.5 sand: 3 aggregate) |
|  |  | stone | It shall not be round, easily breakable soft stone. |
|  |  | Concrete block | Compressive strength must be 5Mpa Size: $400 \mathrm{~mm} * 150 \mathrm{~mm} * 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ |

## Why important?

- Inadequate materials does not have sufficient stability and strength to withstand the lateral forces. Hence, use of these substandard materials might lead to the failure or ultimately collapse of the overall structure.
- Moisture causes wooden surfaces to swell and deform. Excessive moisture leads the wood to decay, caused by decay fungi that ruin the material completely.
- Shrinkage of wood on drying is relatively large. Joint loosen easily due to contraction in the direction perpendicular to fibers. Therefore dry wood shall be used with moisture content less than $20 \%$.
- Wood can decay from repeated change of moistures. Therefore seasoned wood should be used in construction.


## Inspection methodology

It can be checked by the observation and measurement.

### 2.1 Wood

## Exception

## Tolerances:

- Permissible tolerances in measurements of cut sizes of structural timber shall be as follows:
a) For width and thickness:

1) Up to and including 100 mm

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +3 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& -0 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& +6 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& -3 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& +10 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& -0 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Inspection methodology

- Timber treatment can be identified by the observation or questionnaires survey with the house owner and mason.
- Typology of the wood can be identified by observation and field test.
- Defects in timber can be identified by observation.
- Moisture content in the timber can be identified by oven-dry method.

Wood can readily be identified as a hardwood or softwood by the following procedure:

- The color of hardwood is dark brown and light brown in softwood.
- When the thumb nail is pressed against hardwood it will not leave a mark but when it is pressed in softwood and pull it along a surface it leaves a scratch mark. Deeper the mark, the softer the wood.


Table: List of Hardwood and softwood

| HARD WOOD |  | SOFT WOOD |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Babul | Mesua | Chir | Simal |
| Blacksiris | Oak | Deodar | Uttis (Red) |
| Dhaman | Sain | Jack | Uttis (White) |
| Indian Rose Wood (Shisam) | Sal | Mango | Salla |
| Jaman | Sandan |  |  |
| Sissao | Teak |  | Source: NBC 203:2015 |
| Khair |  |  |  |

- Timber treatment

It can be treated by using coal tar or any other preservative that prevent timber from being decayed and attacked by insects.

- Moisture content in Timber: Moisture content means the weight of water contained in wood, expressed as a percentage of its oven dry weight. It can be determined by the oven-dry method.

Defects in Timber:

- Dead Knot: It is the knot in which the layers of annual growth are not completely intergrown with those of the adjacent wood. It is surrounded by pitch or bark. The encasement may be partial or complete.

Table: Unit of weight of wood

| S.N | Kinds of wood | Weight <br> $(12 \%$ moisture content) <br> lb/cft |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | SAL (AGRAKH) | 56 |
| 2 | SISAU | 50 |
| 3 | KHOTE SALLA | 33 |
| 4 | GOBRE SALLA | 32 |
| 5 | UTTIS (RED) | 36 |
| 6 | UTTIS (WHITE) | 34 |
| 7 | CHAMP | 33 |
| 8 | SATISAL | 38 |
| 9 | ASNA | 46 |
| 10 | PHALAT | 60 |
| 11 | TOONI | 37 |
| 12 | SEMAL | 25 |
| 13 | OKHAR | 45 |
| 14 | OAK | 64 |
| 15 | KHAIR | 60 |
| 16 | BIJYASAL | 49 |
|  |  |  |

Source: NBC 112:1994


Source: https://www.wagnermeters.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/12/knot.jpg

## 3. Foundation

Requirements

| No | Category | Sub Category | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3. | Wooden post | It shall rest on a firm base pad. <br> Deterioration of wood shall be prevented as per specification. |  |
|  | Foundation | Masonry | Masonry unit shall be large flat-bedded stone, regular-sized well-burnt <br> bricks. The gaps in the core shall be well-packed with the masonry <br> unites |
|  | Size and shape | It shall be as per specification. |  |

## Why important?

- Certain types of foundation are more susceptible to damage than others. For example, isolated footing of columns are likely to be subjected to differential settlement particularly where the supporting ground consists of different or soft type of soil.


## Common defects of wooden post

1. Wooden post is embedded in soil only.
2. Wooden post is fixed in stone/brick masonry in mud.
3. Wooden post is fixed in stone/brick masonry in cement but foundation size is not sufficient.
4. Wooden post simply rests on large stone.

## Correction for Improvement



Excavate the soil around the column and construct stone/brick masonry.

## Using masonry foundation

- It shall be follow the minimum requirements of masonry structure.


## Foundation

## Base pad of wooden post

$\checkmark$ Each wooden post shall rested on a firm base pad of stone, treated timber or concrete slab.
$\checkmark$ The base pad should have a groove in to which the post shall be housed


Souse: NBC 203
Connection details of fixing wooden column on stone base pad

## Capping for wooden post

$\checkmark$ Deterioration of wood can be prevented by copper preservative effect.


## Foundation

PART-2: Technical Specification

Plinth band


Connection details of wooden Plinth band and column


Connection details of wooden Plinth band and column

## Foundation



RCC Plinth band


Wooden Plinth band

## 4. Frame action (Vertical, Horizontal and Brace)

Requirements

*1. For circular section, radius(r)is taken equal to the side of square of equal area

## Why important?

Earthquake-induced inertia forces will be distributed to wall consist of vertical, horizontal member and bracing. Therefore, frame should be supported horizontally and vertically.
Diagonal bracing is main element to resist the frame against lateral loads due to earthquake and wind.

## Exception

- Steel can be used instead of wood, but its strength shall be equivalent to the required strength of wood.
- If structure is found to be safe after structural calculation.


## Bracing member

Size and Number of bracing member

## Specification base.

Inspection shall be as per the specification
Under the following condition, inspection on the basis of specification is enabled.
$\checkmark$ Area of building is less than $35 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{m}$.
$\checkmark$ Upto 2 storey without attic.
$\checkmark$ Wall height of first floor is less than 2.5 m
$\checkmark$ Size and number of brace is following as below table.
$\checkmark$ Using light weight material for floor, wall and roof.
$\checkmark$ And all other requirements of each item are fulfilled.


If the materials and size of the bracing members vary then the simplified calculation shall be done using the shear strength provided in this session.


## Frame action



Knee bracing shall be provided each connection between posts and beams.

Case I: No brace


Case II: Single brace


Case III: Double brace


Bare Frame

## Frame action

## Bracing member

Size and Number of bracing member
Diagonal bracing is main element to resist the frame against lateral loads due to earthquake and wind.
Size and number of bracing should be consider at each $X$ and $Y$ direction.


## Bracing member

## Location

Diagonal bracing shall be located at each corner. Incase of unbalanced bracing the center of gravity will be shifted and the structure will be subjected to torsion.


## Direction

It shall not be in same direction.

To achieve the adequate seismic resistance, provide diagonal bracing members in the planes of walls starting from base to top plate as shown in fig.


## Size and Number of bracing member



## Rebar bracing member



If $1-12 \mathrm{~mm}$ dia. Rebar:
Shear strength:
$1.6 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ (unit strength) $\times 2$ (double)
$x 1.2$ (meter) $=3.84 \mathrm{kN}$


Instead of 12 mm dia. 2 number of 8 mm dia. also can be used.

| STEPREPEAME <br> Bretorthiler |  |  | [10 5 Tult | HWLsinirymic | Thestury |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Specification base. |  |  | Calculation base. |
| TIFUEPSTHITUHE <br>  |  |  |  \\|n\|: |  <br>  | H- <br>  |  |
| 1 |  | 120 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }^{\text {H }}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{1}{4}$ |  | 21 |  |  | Lermblyeprensterne |  |
|  | Hes | H13: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\underline{\square}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | A.I | 128 |  | çiches |  |  |
|  |  | $\underline{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 管 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fratmprert |  | 4 H |  | 16mirimat | mirishis.thy |
| 4FSE-4 $\cdots$$\qquad$ |  | 41 |  |  | -Limpr-itu | -Hinves-7n |
|  |  | 14 |  |  | ETw |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 4 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 7 | "-imer |  - |  |  |  <br>  |
|  |  | Othrs |  <br>  |  |  <br>  |  <br>  |
|  | $\mathrm{FI}$Hin | F-6mily |  |  |  <br>  |  <br>  |
|  |  |  |  |  <br>  |  <br>  |  |

## Bracing member

Size and Number of bracing member

## Simplified calculation of bracing member

## CONCEPT

$\frac{Q u}{V u} \geq 1.0$ Allowable strength shall be larger than required seismic load from code

## Vu: SEISMIC LOAD

Required Seismic force following NBC105
$\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{Cd}^{*} \mathrm{Wt} \quad$ • Dead load
seismic coefficient • Wind load
Cd=C*Z*I*K • Snow load

Qu: Allowable strength
Wall ratio of each direction (Ground floor and First floor)

## Required seismic load from NBC105

## 11 Darampal Acank Berstar




$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}-1=\mathrm{if} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$





$=-124$



## STEP1. Calculation of SEISMIC LOAD

The horizontal seismic base shear force

$$
\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{Cd} * \mathrm{Wt}
$$

Z= Zone factor
I=Importance factor
Design horizontal seismic coefficient

$$
C d=C * Z^{*} I^{*} K
$$

## Calculate weight of individual structural/non-structural component.

To calculate the total weight of individual structural components the total area shall be multiplied with unit weight. These unit weight depends upon the types of materials used for construction. Hence, depending upon these materials appropriate value of unit weight must be adopted.
Table. Unit weight

| Roof | Heavy | Slate roof, Mud roof | 2.52 | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{sq} \cdot \mathrm{m}$. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Light | CGI roof, | 0.79 | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{sq} \cdot \mathrm{m}$. |
| Floor | Heavy | Wooden floor with mud | 2.52 | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{m}$. |
| Wall | Light | Wooden floor | 0.5 | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{sq} \cdot \mathrm{m}$. |
|  | Heavy | Masonry wall | 2.52 | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{m}$. |
|  | Light | CGI sheet, wooden plank | 0.5 | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{sq} \cdot \mathrm{m}$. |

## STEP2. Calculation of ALLOWABLE STRENGTH

Adopt proper typology of the bracing as per the availability of the materials and site condition. The shear strength of unit wall depends upon the method of bracing, hence select the appropriate methods and its value.

## Allowable strength

Shear strength of unit wall* 1(Single diagonal bracing)*2(cross bracing)* length (distance between two vertical post where bracing is rested)* number of bracing provided in each direction.
When infill wall is used instead of bracing, during calculation only take the total confined thickness of the wall.

Table . Shear strength of unit wall (kN/m)

| Method of bracing | 0 |
| :--- | ---: |
| No brace | 1.5 |
| Mud wall < 50 mm | 2 |
| Mud wall $50 \mathrm{~mm}-100 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 2.5 |
| Mud wal > 100mm | 1.6 |
| Rebar 9 mm | 1.6 |
| Wooden brace $90^{*} 15$ nail | 1.9 |
| Wooden brace $90^{*} 30$ nail | 2.4 |
| Wooden brace $90^{*} 30$ plate | 2.6 |
| Wooden brace $90^{*} 45$ nail | 3.2 |
| Wooden brace $90^{*} 45$ plate | 4.8 |
| Wooden brace $90^{*} 90$ olate | 5.2 |
| Structural plywood 12 mm | 1.1 |
| Gypsum board 9 mm | 0.9 |
| Plywood 3mm | 33.4 |
| Brick with cement | 10 |
| Brick with mud | 67.5 |
| Stone with cement | 11.2 |
| Stone with mud | 34.46 |
| Concrete block | 0.8 |

## STEP3.RESULT: SEISMIC LOAD $\leq$ ALLOWABLE STRENGH

## Bracing member

## Size and Number of bracing member

## Calculation base

Inspection shall be used calculation

| Method of Bracing/ wall construction |  |  |  | Shear Strength of Unit wall (kN/m)$0.0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No brace |  |  |  |
|  | Mud wall | Thickness less than 50 mm |  | 1.5 |
|  |  | Thickness 50mm ${ }^{\text {100 }}$ mm |  | 2.0 |
|  |  | Thickness more than 100mm |  | 2.5 |
| Single brace <br> Double brace | Brace rebar $\Phi 9$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1.6 \text { (3.2) } \\ *() \text { is double brace } \end{gathered}$ |
| Single brace <br> Double brace | Wooden Brace | $90 \mathrm{~mm} * 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Nail | 1.6 (3.2) |
|  |  | $90 \mathrm{~mm} * 30 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Nail | 1.9 (3.8) |
|  |  |  | Steel Plate | 2.4 (4.8) |
|  |  | 90mm*45mm | Nail | 2.6 (5.2) |
|  |  |  | Steel Plate | 3.2 (6.4) |
|  |  | $90 \mathrm{~mm} * 90 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Steel Plate | 4.8 (9.6) |
|  | Structural Plywood | 12 mm |  | 5.2 |
|  | Gypsum Board | 9 mm |  | 1.1 |
|  | Plywood | 3 mm |  | 0.9 |

Note: Incase of double bracing, wooden brace of dimension 90mmX90mm needs to be cutout for fixing two braces which reduces its ultimate strength. Hence, this size of bracing is not recommended.

## Calculation of Bracing member

## Size and Number of bracing member

| Method of Bracing/ wall construction |  | Shear Strength of <br> Unit wall (KN/m) |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  | Masonry infill brick <br> wall | Cement mortar |
|  |  | Mud mortar | 33.4 |

*Shear strength of masonry unit wall is calculated by using the following value $\mathrm{SMC}=0.3375 \mathrm{MP}, \mathrm{BMC}=0.167 \mathrm{MPa}, \mathrm{SMM}=0.056 \mathrm{MPa}, \mathrm{BMM}=0.05 \mathrm{MPa}$

## Reduction value of openings

$>$ When total length of openings is not more than $1 / 3$ of infill wall span, it is able to calculate as $30 \%$ of full strength of unit wall.
$>$ When total length of openings is more than $1 / 3$ of infill wall span, it is not calculate as infill wall.


## EXCEPTION

If the openings are provisioned with wooden double framed box, its total length can be ignored.

| Vu: SEISMIC LOAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C | Basic seismic coefficient |  | 1 |  | 0.08 |  |
|  | Z | Zone factor |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |
|  | 1 | Importance factor |  | 3 |  | 1 |  |
|  | K | Structural performance factor |  | 4 | Masonry structure | 4 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Frame structure | 2.5 |  |
|  | Cd=CZIK |  | 1*2*3*4 |  | 5 | Masonry structure | 0.32 |  |
|  |  |  | Frame structure | 0.2 |  |  |
|  | Roof | Unit weight |  | 6 | Heavy (Stone, tile roof) | 2.52 | kN/sq.m |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | light (CGI roof) | 0.79 | kN/sq.m |
|  |  | Area |  | 7 |  |  | sq.m |
|  |  | Sub total | 6*7 | 8 |  |  | kN |
|  | Wall (GFL) | Unit weight |  | 9 | Heavy (Masonry, Mud wall) | 2.52 | kN/sq.m |
|  |  |  |  | light (CGI, wood plank) | 0.79 | kN/sq.m |
|  |  | Area | total length |  | 10 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | height | 11 |  |  | m |
|  |  | Sub tatal | 9*10*11 | 12 |  |  | kN |
|  | Wall(1FL) | Unit weight |  | 13 | Heavy (Masonry, Mud wall) | 2.52 | kN/sq.m |
|  |  |  |  | light (CGI, wood plank) | 0.79 | kN/sq.m |
|  |  | Area | total length |  | 14 |  |  | m |
|  |  |  | height | 15 |  |  | m |
|  |  | Sub tatal | 13*14*15 | 16 |  |  | kN |
|  | Floor (1FL) | Unit weight |  | 17 | Heavy ( with mud floor) | 2.52 | kN/sq.m |
|  |  |  |  | light (without mud floor) | 0.79 | kN/sq.m |
|  |  | Area |  |  | 18 |  |  | sq.m |
|  |  | Sub total | 17*18 | 19 |  |  | kN |
|  | Floor (If attic is there) | Unit weight |  | 20 | Heavy ( with mud floor) | 2.52 | kN/sq.m |
|  |  |  |  | light (without mud floor) | 0.79 | kN/sq.m |
|  |  | Area |  |  | 21 |  |  | sq.m |
|  |  | Sub total | 20*21 | 22 |  |  | kN |
|  | Total weigth of GFL |  | $8+12+16+19+22$ | 23 |  |  | kN |
|  | Total weight of 1FL |  | $8+16+22$ | 24 |  |  | kN |
| Seismic load for GFL |  |  | 5*23 | 25 |  |  | kN |
| Seismic load for 1FL |  |  | 5*24 | 26 |  |  | kN |

Note: The wall of first floor shall not be cantilevered.

| Qu: ALLOWABLE STRENGTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Refe | table. 1 | 1 |  | kN/m |
|  |  |  |  | ly forwooden brace | 2 | Single | 1 |
|  |  |  | Applic | y for wooden brace | 2 | Double | 2 |
|  |  |  | leng | ne brace | 3 |  | m |
|  |  |  | Num |  | 4 |  |  |
|  |  | Total strength |  | $1 * 2 * 3 * 4$ | 5 |  | kN |
|  |  |  | Refer from table no. 1 |  | 6 |  | kN/m |
|  |  |  | Applicable only for wooden brace |  | 7 | Single | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Double | 2 |
|  |  |  | Num | ne brace |  | 8 |  | m |
|  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |
|  |  | Total str | ngth | $6^{* 7 *}{ }^{*} 9$ | 10 |  | kN |  |
|  |  |  | Refer from table no. 1 |  | 11 |  | kN/m |  |
|  |  |  | Applicable only for wooden brace |  | 12 | Single | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Double | 2 m |  |
|  |  |  |  | ne brace |  |  | 13 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total str | ngth | 11*12*13*14 | 15 |  | kN |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 듬 } \\ & \text { 허 } \\ & \text { 는 } \\ & \text { خ } \end{aligned}$ |  | Refer from table no. 1 |  | 16 |  | kN/m |  |
|  |  |  | Applicable only for wooden brace |  | 17 | Single | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Double | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  | ne brace |  | 18 |  | m |
|  |  |  |  |  | 19 |  |  |
|  |  | Total strength |  | 16*17*18*19 | 20 |  | kN |  |

Table 1. Shear strength of unit wall (kN/m)

| Method of bracing | 0 |
| :--- | ---: |
| No brace | 1.5 |
| Mud wall < 50 mm | 2 |
| Mud wall $50 \mathrm{~mm}-100 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 2.5 |
| Mud wal > 100mm | 1.6 |
| Rebar 9 mm | 1.6 |
| Wooden brace 90*15 nail | 1.9 |
| Wooden brace $90^{*} 30$ nail | 2.4 |
| Wooden brace $90^{*} 30$ plate | 2.6 |
| Wooden brace $90^{*} 45$ nail | 3.2 |
| Wooden brace $90^{*} 45$ plate | 4.8 |
| Wooden brace 90*90 olate | 5.2 |
| Structural plywood 12mm | 1.1 |
| Gypsum board 9 mm | 0.9 |
| Plywood 3mm | 33.4 |
| Brick with cement | 10 |
| Brick with mud | 67.5 |
| Stone with cement | 11.2 |
| Stone with mud | 34.46 |
| Concrete block | 0.8 |


| RESULT |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{Q u}{V u} \geq 1.0$Vu: <br> SEISMIC <br> LOAD <br> OK or FAIL |
| Qu: <br> Allowable <br> strength |

## Workout example 1: Timber frame structure, two storey



| $\frac{\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}}{\stackrel{\circ}{0}}$ | Floor area | $5.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 6.35 \mathrm{~m}$ | = | $31.75 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Roof area | $6.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 8.0 \mathrm{~m}$ | = | 48.0 m ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | Wall area | Length:(5.0mx3+6.35mx2) x height 2.4 | = | $66.48 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |


| SEISMIC LOAD CALCULATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C | Basic seismic coefficient |  | 1 |  | 0.08 |
|  | z | Zone factor |  | 2 |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | Importance factor |  | 3 |  | 1 |
|  | K | Structural performance factor |  | 4 | frame | 2.5 |
|  | Cd=CZIK |  | $1 * 2 * 3 * 4$ | 5 |  | 0.2 |
|  | Roof | Unit weight |  | 6 | light (CGI) | $0.79 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{m}$ |
|  |  | Area |  | 7 |  | 48 sq.m |
|  |  |  | 6*7 | 8 |  | 37.92 kN |
|  | Unit weight |  |  | 9 | light (CGI, wooden plank): | $0.5 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{m}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wall } \\ & \text { (GFL) } \end{aligned}$ | Area | total length | 10 |  | 27.7 m |
|  |  |  | height | 11 |  | 2.4 m |
|  |  | Sub tatal | 9*10*11 | 12 |  | 33.24 kN |
|  | Wall (1FL) | Unit weight |  | 13 | light (CGI, wooden plank): | $0.5 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{m}$ |
|  |  | Area | total length | 14 |  | 27.7 m |
|  |  |  | height | 15 |  | 2.4 m |
|  |  | Sub tatal $13 * 14^{*} 15$ |  | 16 |  | 33.24 kN |
|  | Floor (1FL) | Unit weight |  | 17 | light (without mud) | $0.5 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{m}$ |
|  |  | Area |  | 18 |  | 31.75 sq.m |
|  |  | Sub total | $17^{*} 18$ | 19 |  | 15.875 kN |
|  | Floor (If attic is there) | Unit weight |  | 20 | light (without mud) | $0.5 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{sq.m}$ |
|  |  | Area |  | 21 |  | 0 sa.m |
|  |  | Sub total | 20*21 | 22 |  | 0 kN |
|  | Total weigth of GFL |  | $8+12+16+19+22$ | 23 |  | 120.28 kN |
|  | Total weight of 1FL |  | 8+16+22 | 24 |  | 71.16 kN |
| Seismic load for GFL |  |  | 5*23 | 25 |  | 24.06 kN |
| Seismic load for 1FL |  |  | $5 * 24$ | 26 |  | 14.23 kN |

## Calculation of Bracing member

| ALLOWABLE STRENGTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 는흔흔힌 |  |  | Refe | table no. 1 | 1 | Wooden brace $90 * 45$ nail | 2.6 | kN/m |
|  |  |  | Appli | ly for wooden brace | 2 | double | 2 |  |
|  |  |  | leng | ne brace | 3 |  | 1.2 | m |
|  |  |  | Num |  | 4 |  | 4 |  |
|  |  | Total strength |  | $1 * 2 * 3 * 4$ | 5 |  | . 96 | kN |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & . ㄷ ㅡ ㄴ ~ \\ & \text { 을 } \\ & \stackrel{L}{0} \\ & i \end{aligned}$ |  | Refer from table no. 1 |  | 6 | Wooden brace $90 * 45$ nail | 2.6 | kN/m |
|  |  |  | Appli | ly for wooden brace | 7 | double | 2 |  |
|  |  |  | leng | e brace | 8 |  | 1.2 | m |
|  |  |  | Num |  | 9 |  | 4 |  |
|  |  | Total strength |  | $6 * * * 8 * 9$ | 10 |  | . 96 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \underline{प L} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Refer from table no. 1 |  | 11 | Wooden brace $90 * 45$ nail | 2.6 | kN/m |
|  |  |  | Applicable only for wooden brace |  | 12 | double | 2 |  |
|  |  |  | length of one brace |  | 13 |  | 1.2 | m |
|  |  |  | Number |  | 14 |  | 4 |  |
|  |  | Total strength |  | 11*12*13*14 | 15 |  | . 96 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 듬 } \\ & \text { 흔 } \\ & \text { 는 } \\ & \text { خ } \end{aligned}$ |  | Refer from table no. 1 |  | 16 | Wooden brace $90 * 45$ nail | 2.6 | kN/m |
|  |  |  | Applicable only for wooden brace |  | 17 | double | 2 |  |
|  |  |  | length of one brace |  | 18 |  | 1.2 | m |
|  |  |  | Number |  | 19 |  | 4 |  |
|  |  | Total strength |  | $16^{*} 17^{* 1} 8^{* 19}$ | 20 |  | . 96 |  |


| RESULT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floor | Direction | Vu: <br> Seismic Load | X | Qu: <br> Allowable <br> strength | Result |  |
|  | X | 24.06 | $\leq$ | 24.96 | OK |  |
|  | Y | 24.06 | $\leq$ | 24.96 | OK |  |
|  | Y | 14.23 | $\leq$ | 24.96 | OK |  |

## Workout example 2: Same as example 1, but floor is with mud




## Calculation of Bracing member

| ALLOWABLE STRENGTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 흔 } \\ & \text { 믄 } \\ & \text { 흘 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Refe | able no. 1 | 1 | Wooden brace $90 * 45$ nail | $2.6 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ |
|  |  |  | Appli | for wooden brace | 2 | double | 2 |
|  |  |  | leng | e brace | 3 |  | 1.2 m |
|  |  |  | Num |  | 4 |  | 4 |
|  |  | Total strength |  | 1*2*3*4 | 5 | 24.96 kN |  |
|  |  |  | Refer from table no. 1 |  | 6 | Wooden brace $90 * 45$ nail | $2.6 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ |
|  |  |  | Applicable only for wooden brace |  | 7 | double | 2 |
|  |  |  | length of one brace |  | 8 |  | 1.2 m |
|  |  |  | Number |  | 9 |  | 4 |
|  |  | Total strength |  | $6 * 7 * * * 9$ | 10 | 24.96 kN |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 흠 } \\ & \text { 믄 } \\ & \stackrel{\omega}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Refer from table no. 1 |  | 11 | Wooden brace $90 * 45$ nail | $2.6 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ |
|  |  |  | Applicable only for wooden brace |  | 12 | double | 2 |
|  |  |  | length of one brace |  | 13 |  | 1.2 m |
|  |  |  | Num |  | 14 |  | 4 |
|  |  | Total strength |  | 11*12*13*14 | 15 | 24.96 kN |  |
|  |  |  | Refer from table no. 1 |  | 16 | Wooden brace $90 * 45$ nail | $2.6 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ |
|  |  |  | Applicable only for wooden brace |  | 17 | double | 2 |
|  |  |  | length of one brace |  | 18 |  | 1.2 m |
|  |  |  | Number |  | 19 |  | 4 |
|  |  | Total strength |  | $16^{*} 17^{* 1} 8^{* 19}$ | 20 |  | 96 kN |


| RESULT |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floor | Direction | Vu: <br> Seismic Load | X | 36.88 | $\leq$ |
|  | Qu: <br> Allowable <br> strength | Result |  |  |  |
|  | $Y$ | 36.88 | $\leq$ | 24.96 | FAIL |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ | $X$ | 14.23 | $\leq$ | 24.96 | FAIL |
|  | $Y$ | 14.23 | $\leq$ | 24.96 | OK |

## Workout example 2:




First floor plan

| $5.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 6.35 \mathrm{~m}$ | $=31.75 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $6.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 8.0 \mathrm{~m}$ | $=48.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Length:(5.0mx3+6.35m×2) $\times$ height 2.4 | $=66.48 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |


| SEISMIC LOAD CALCULATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C | Basic seismic coefficient |  | 1 |  | 0.08 |  |
|  | Z | Zone factor |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |
|  | 1 | Importance factor |  | 3 |  | 1 |  |
|  | K | Structural performance factor |  | 4 | masonry | 4 |  |
|  | Cd=CZIK |  | 1*2*3*4 | 5 |  | 0.32 |  |
|  | Roof | Unit weight |  | 6 | light (CGI) | 0.79 | kN/sq.m |
|  |  | Area |  | 7 |  | 48 | sq.m |
|  |  | Sub total | 6*7 | 8 |  | 37.92 | kN |
|  | Wall (GFL) | Unit weight |  | 9 | heavy (masonry, mud wall) | 2.52 | kN/sq.m |
|  |  | Area | total length | 10 |  | 27.7 | m |
|  |  |  | height | 11 |  | 2.4 | m |
|  |  | Sub tatal | 9*10*11 | 12 | 167.53 |  | kN |
|  | Wall(1FL) | Unit weight |  | 13 | heavy (masonry, mud wall) | 2.52 | kN/sq.m |
|  |  | Area | total length | 14 |  | 27.7 | m |
|  |  |  | height | 15 |  | 2.4 | m |
|  |  | Sub total | 13*14*15 | 16 |  | 67.53 | kN |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Floor } \\ & \text { (1FL) } \end{aligned}$ | Unit weight |  | 17 | light (without mud) | 0.5 | kN/sq.m |
|  |  | Area |  | 18 |  | 31.75 | sq.m |
|  |  | Sub total | 17*18 | 19 |  | 15.88 | kN |
|  | Floor (If attic is there) | Unit weight |  | 20 | light (without mud) | 0.5 | kN/sq.m |
|  |  | Area |  | 21 |  | 0 | sq.m |
|  |  | Sub total | 20*21 | 22 |  | 0 | kN |
|  | Total weight of GFL |  | $8+12+16+19+22$ | 23 |  | 88.85 | kN |
|  | Total weight of 1FL |  | 8+16+22 | 24 |  | 05.45 |  |
| Seismic load for GFL |  |  | 5*23 | 25 |  | 4.43 |  |
| Seismic load for 1FL |  |  | 5*24 | 26 |  | .74 |  |

## Calculation of Bracing member

| ALLOWABLE STRENGTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 흠 } \\ & \text { 흔 } \\ & \text { 든 } \\ & \text { 히 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Refe | table no. 1 | 1 | Brick with cement | 33.4 kN/m |
|  |  |  | Applic | for wooden brace | 2 | single | 1 |
|  |  |  | lengt | e brace | 3 |  | 1.2 m |
|  |  |  | Num |  | 4 |  | 5 |
|  |  | Total strength |  | $1 * 2 * 3 * 4$ | 5 | 200.4 kN |  |
|  |  |  | Refer from table no. 1 |  | 6 | Brick with cement | $33.4 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ |
|  |  |  | Applicable only for wooden brace |  | 7 | single | 1 |
|  |  |  | length of one brace |  | 8 |  | 1.2 m |
|  |  |  | Number |  | 9 |  | 5 |
|  |  | Total strength |  | $6 * 7 *{ }^{*} 9$ | 10 | 200.4 kN |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \underline{ㅡ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Refer from table no. 1 |  | 11 | Brick with cement | $33.4 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ |
|  |  |  | Applicable only for wooden brace |  | 12 | single | 1 |
|  |  |  | length of one brace |  | 13 |  | 1.2 m |
|  |  |  | Number |  | 14 |  | 5 |
|  |  | Total strength |  | 11*12*13*14 | 15 | 200.4 kN |  |
|  |  |  | Refer from table no. 1 |  | 16 | Brick with cement | $33.4 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ |
|  |  |  | Applicable only for wooden brace |  | 17 | single | 1 |
|  |  |  | length of one brace |  | 18 |  | 1.2 m |
|  |  |  | Number |  | 19 |  | 5 |
|  |  | Total strength |  | $16 * 17^{* 18 * 19}$ | 20 |  | 00.4 kN |


| RESULT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floor | Direction | Vu: <br> Seismic Load | Qu: <br> Allowable <br> strength | Result |  |  |
| Ground | X | 124.43 | $\leq$ | 200.4 | OK |  |
|  | Y | 124.43 | $\leq$ | 200.4 | OK |  |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ | X | 65.74 | $\leq$ | 200.4 | OK |  |
|  | Y | 65.74 | $\leq$ | 200.4 | OK |  |

## Simplified Calculation method: Quadrant method

In case of an irregular shaped building, if the walls and the elements resisting seismic forces are not well balanced, torsion are likely to occurr during an earthquake. The concentration of the stress is maximized to the weak point. Hence, the simplified method known as quadrant methods is used to check the torsion.
As shown in fig. mentioned below, the area of the building is divided into $1 / 4$ in each direction i.e. $a, b, c$ and $d$. The seismic load and allowable strength of these individual area is calculated. The ratio of the allowable strength to seismic load of individual quadrant in each direction shall be equal or more than 0.5.
i.e. in $X$ and $Y$ - direction,


## Location/balance of bracing member

## Workout example of quadrant method

|  |  | (a) | Area |  | 1 | 36.00:sq.m |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | weight | 2 | 52.44 kN | $36 * 0.79+12^{*} 0.5+36 * 0.5$ |
|  |  |  |  | seismic coefficient | 3 | 0.20 | Frame structure, K=2.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 10.49:kN |  |
|  |  |  |  | length | 5 | 6.00 m | $2+1+2+1$ |
|  |  |  |  | unit strength | 6 | 5.20:kN/m | 2.6*2, Wooden brace 90*45nail, Double |
|  |  |  |  | $5 * 6$ | 7 | 31.20 kN |  |
|  |  |  |  | Ratio of 7/4 | 8 | 2.97 |  |
|  |  | (b) | Area |  | 9 | 24.00:sq.m |  |
|  |  |  |  | weight | 10 | 34.96 kN | $24 * 0.79+8 * 0.5+24^{*} 0.5$ |
|  |  |  |  | seismic coefficient | 11 | 0.20 | Frame structure, K=2.5 |
|  |  |  |  | 10*11 | 12 | 6.99:kN |  |
|  |  |  | O | length | 13 | 3.00 m | 2+1+2+1 |
|  |  |  |  | unit strength | 14 | $5.20 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ | 2.6, Wooden brace 90*45nail, Single |
|  |  |  |  | 13*14 | 15 | 15.60 kN |  |
|  |  |  |  | Ratio of 15/12 | 16 | 2.23 |  |
|  | Ratio minimum area / maximum area |  |  |  | (b)(a) | 0.75 | OK |
|  |  | (c) | Area |  | 1 | 36.00:sq.m |  |
|  |  |  |  | weight | 2 | 52.44 kN | $36 * 0.79+12^{*} 0.5+36 * 0.5$ |
|  |  |  |  | seismic coefficient | 3 | 0.20 | Frame structure, K=2.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 10.49 kN |  |
|  |  |  |  | length | 5 | 5.00:m | 2+1+2+1 |
|  |  |  |  | unit strength | 6 | 5.20:kN/m | 2.6*2, Wooden brace $90 * 45$ nail, Double |
|  |  |  |  | 5*6 | 7 | 26.00: kN |  |
|  |  |  |  | Ratio of 7/4 | 8 | 2.48 |  |
|  |  | (d) | Area |  | 9 | 24.00:sq.m |  |
|  |  |  | - | weight | 10 | 34.96 kN | $24 * 0.79+8 * 0.5+24 * 0.5$ |
|  |  |  |  | seismic coefficient | 11 | 0.20 | Frame structure, K=2.5 |
|  |  |  |  | 10*11 | 12 | 6.99 kN |  |
|  |  |  |  | length | 13 | 4.00:m | 2+1+2+1 |
|  |  |  |  | unit strength | 14 | $5.20 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ | 2.6, Wooden brace 90*45nail, Single |
|  |  |  |  | 13*14 | 15 | 20.80:kN |  |
|  |  |  |  | Ratio of 15/12 | 16 | 2.97: |  |
|  | Ratio minimum area / maximum area |  |  |  | ©/() | 0.83 | OK |

## Detailed Calculation method: Eccentricity method

## 1. Center of gravity

Center of gravity is the point which locates the resultant weight of a body.
The center of gravity of an object is calculated by taking the sum of its moments divided by the overall weight of the object. The moment is the product of the weight and its location as measured from a set point called the origin.


Center of gravity(Cg)
along $x$ axis $=\frac{W 1 * d 1+W 2 * d 2}{W}$ where, $d_{1}=\frac{h_{1}}{2}, d_{2}=\frac{h_{2}}{2}$
Center of gravity of an irregular object:
The given $L$ section is not symmetrical. Therefore for this section there will be two axis of reference. Line GF will be taken an axis of reference for calculating $\bar{y}$ and the left line of the section AG will be reference axis for calculating $\bar{x}$, where $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ is center of gravity. Split the given section into two rectangle $A B C D$ and DEFG.


## To find $\bar{y}$

$a_{1}=$ area of rectangle ABCD
$y_{1}=$ distance of CG of rectangle $A B C D$ from bottom line $G F=G D+\frac{A D}{2}$
$a_{2}=$ area of rectangle DEFG
$y_{2}=$ distance of CG of rectangle DEFG from bottom line GF $=\frac{G D}{2}$
$\bar{y}=\frac{a_{1} * y_{1} * a_{2} * y_{2}}{A} \quad$ where, $A=a_{1}+a_{2}$

## To find $\bar{x}$

$x_{1}=$ distance of CG of rectangle ABCD from reference line $\mathrm{AG}=\frac{G F}{2}$
$x_{2}=$ distance of CG of rectangle DEFG from reference line $A G=\frac{A B}{2}$
$\bar{x}=\frac{a_{1} * x_{1} * a_{2} * x_{2}}{A}$

$$
\text { where, } A=a_{1}+a_{2}
$$

## Location/balance of bracing member

## 2. Center of stiffness

Center of stiffness also known as center of rigidity is the point where the object at which, if force is applied, it won't be able to rotate. It is the stiffness centroid within a floor-diaphragm plan. When the center of rigidity is subjected to lateral loading, the floor diaphragm will experience only translational displacement.
Center of stiffness $\left(x_{s}, y_{s}\right)$
To find $x_{s}$,
Taking moment about $y$-axis,
$\sum M y=0$,

$$
l_{1}^{*} x_{1}+l_{2} * x_{2}-\sum L i x_{s}=0 \quad x_{S}=\frac{l_{1} * x_{1}+l_{2} * x_{2}}{\sum L i}
$$

To find $y_{s}$,
Taking moment about $x$-axis,

$\sum M x=0, \quad l_{3}{ }^{*} y_{1}+l_{4}{ }^{*} y_{2}-\sum L i y_{S}=0 \quad y_{S}=\frac{l_{3} * y_{1}+l_{4} * y_{2}}{\sum L i} \quad$| where, |
| :---: |
| $\begin{array}{l}x_{1}, y_{1}=0,0 \\ L i=l_{1}+l_{2}\end{array}$ |

## 3. Distance of eccentricity

The distance between the center of gravity and rigidity is called the eccentric distance. Buildings with unbalanced wall have long eccentric distances and are easily subjected to torsion.

## 4. Torsional rigidity

Torsional rigidity is the amount of resistance a cross section has against torsional deformation. The higher the rigidity, the more resistance the cross section has.

## 5. Radius of elasticity

In buildings, there is torsional rigidity as a resistance to twisting, and those expressing them by distance are called resilience radius. The greater the elastic radius, the greater resistance to twisting.

## 6. Ratio of eccentricity:

The ratio of eccentricity as an index is representing the balance of the wall arrangement. Arrangement of seismic element walls balanced buildings have low ratio of eccentricity, and buildings with poor arrangement balance have large ratio of eccentricity.

## 7. Reduction factor

For buildings with an eccentricity of 0.15 or more, it is necessary to reduce holding capacity. Since wooden originally had low floor rigidity, the building is easy to twist and the reduction rate is large.

| Reduction factor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Re}<0.15$ | $0.15 \leq \mathrm{Re}<0.6$ | $0.6 \leq \mathrm{Re}$ |
| 1.0 | $1.2-4 / 3 \mathrm{Re}$ | 0.4 |

## Workout example 1 of Eccentricity method



Unit weight:
Ground floor $=0.5+0.788=1.038 \mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ First floor $=0.5+0.5+0.788+2.53=4.318 \mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ Center of gravity each floor:
$\bar{x}=\frac{a_{1} * x_{1}+a_{2} * x_{2}}{A}$ where, $A=a_{1}+a_{2}$, $\bar{y}=\frac{a_{1} * y_{1} * a_{2} * y_{2}}{A}$ where, $A=a_{1}+a_{2}$

1. Center of gravity :

$$
\mathrm{Xg}=\frac{1.038 * 48 * 3.33+4.318 * 16 * 2}{1.038 * 48+4.318 * 16}=2.55
$$

$$
\mathrm{Yg}=\frac{1.038 * 48 * 3.33+4.068 * 16 * 2}{}=2.55
$$

2. Center of stiffness :

$$
x_{S}=\frac{4 * 0+4 * 8}{4+4}=4
$$

3. Distance of Eccentricity: $\quad \mathrm{e}=C_{S}-C_{g}$

$$
y_{S}=\frac{8 * 0+2 * 4}{8+2}=0.8
$$

$$
e_{x}=4.0-2.55=1.45
$$

$$
e_{y}=0.8-2.55=1.75
$$

## 4. Torsion rigidity :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{KR} & =\sum l x\left(y-y_{S}\right)+\sum l y\left(x_{-}-x_{S}\right) \\
& =4 * 2.6(0-0.8)^{2}+4 * 2.6(8-0.8)^{2}+8^{*} 2.6(0-4)^{2}+2 * 2.6(4-0.8)^{2} \\
& =6.656+539.136+332.8+53.24 \\
& =931.832
\end{aligned}
$$

5. Ratio of elasticity:

$$
r_{a x}=\sqrt{\frac{K R}{\sum l y}}=\sqrt{\frac{931.832}{8 * 2.6}}=\sqrt{44.79}=6.69, \quad r_{a y}=\sqrt{\frac{K R}{\sum l x}}=\sqrt{\frac{931.832}{10 * 2.6}}=\sqrt{35.84}=5.98
$$

6. Ratio of eccentricity: $\mathrm{Re}=$ distance of eccentricity/Radius of elasticity

$$
R e_{x}=\frac{1.45}{6.69}=0.21, \quad R e_{y}=\frac{1.75}{5.98}=0.29
$$

7. Reduction factor:

| Reduction factor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Re}<0.15$ | $0.15 \leq \operatorname{Re}<0.6$ | $0.6 \leq \operatorname{Re}$ |
| 1.0 | $1.2-4 / 3 \operatorname{Re}$ | 0.4 |

Higher Ratio is $\operatorname{Re}_{\mathrm{x}}=0.29$,
$R$ factor $=1.2-4 / 3 R e=1.2-4 / 3 * 0.29=0.81$

## Calculation of eccentricity

## Workout example 1 of Eccentricity method



1. Center of gravity :
$\mathrm{Cg}=\frac{\text { Unit weight } * \text { Area }(\text { GFl }) * \text { *otal length } / 2+\text { Unit weight } * \text { Area }(F F L) * \text { Total length } / 2}{\text { Unit weight } * \text { area }(G F L)+\text { Unit weight } * \text { area }(F}$
${ }^{F L}$ )

$$
\mathrm{Xg}=\frac{1.038 * 32 * 4+4.318 * 16 * 2}{1.038 * 32+4.318 * 16}=\frac{271.04}{102.304}=2.65 \quad \mathrm{Yg}=\frac{1.038 * 32 * 2+4.318 * 16 * 2}{1.038 * 32+4.318 * 16}=\frac{204.606}{102.304}=2
$$

2. Center of stiffness :

$$
X s=\frac{4 * 0+2 * 8}{6}=2.66 \quad Y_{s}=\frac{0 * 0+8 * 4}{8}=4
$$

3. Distance of Eccentricity: $\quad \mathrm{e}=C_{s}-C_{g}$

$$
e_{x}=2.66-2.65=0.01, \quad e_{y}=4-2=2
$$

4. Torsion rigidity :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{KR} & =\sum l x\left(y-y_{s}\right)+\sum l y\left(x-x_{s}\right) \\
& =4^{*} 2.6(0-4)^{2}+4 * 2.6(8-4)^{2}+8 * 2.6(0-2.66)^{2}+4 * 2.6(4-2.66)^{2} \\
& =498.472
\end{aligned}
$$

5. Ratio of elasticity:

$$
r_{a x}=\sqrt{\frac{K R}{\sum l y}}=\sqrt{\frac{498.472}{6 * 2.6}}=\sqrt{31.95}=5.65 \quad r_{a y}=\sqrt{\frac{K R}{\sum l x}}=\sqrt{\frac{498.472}{8 * 2.6}}=\sqrt{23.96}=4.89
$$

6. Ratio of eccentricity: $\mathrm{Re}=$ distance of eccentricity/Radius of elasticity

$$
R e_{x}=\frac{0.01}{5.65}=0.0017, \quad R e_{y}=\frac{2}{4.89}=0.408
$$

## 7. Reduction factor:

| Reduction factor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Re}<0.15$ | $0.15 \leq \operatorname{Re}<0.6$ | $0.6 \leq \operatorname{Re}$ |
| 1.0 | $1.2-4 / 3 \operatorname{Re}$ | 0.4 |

Higher Ratio is $\mathrm{Re}_{\mathrm{x}}=0.408$,
$R$ factor $=1.2-4 / 3 \operatorname{Re}=1.2-4 / 3 * 0.408=0.65$

## 5. Connections and Joints

Requirements

| No. | Category | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5. | Connections <br> and joints | All the structural members shall be properly connected by nails, bolts and metal plate as <br> per the specification |

## Why important ?

## Connections and joint of structural member

- The failure in the joints connecting structural member such as vertical, horizontal and bracing frequently occurs. Structural member should be uniform, so that the frame will acts as earthquake resistance elements.
- The joints of structural members should be firmly connected by nail or bolts. The use of metal straps is recommended at structurally important joints such as post/ studs with sill or wall plates and horizontal noggin members at the end of every bearing wall.



## Inspection procedure

The detail of connection that needs to be checked are:

- Connection between post and beam.
- Connection of braces with the vertical and horizontal member (base and Top plate).


## Connections and joints

## Connections between vertical and horizontal member



Detail B: Connection horizontal and vertical at middle


Detail B: Connection horizontal and vertical at middle


| ' T ' shape $\begin{array}{l}\text { metal plate }\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { ' } \mathrm{V} \text { ' shape } \\ \text { metal plate }\end{array}$ |
| :--- |
| $\begin{array}{l}12 \mathrm{~mm} \text { dia } \\ \text { steel hook }\end{array}$ |

## Connection and joints

## Connections between top plate, vertical and bracing member

Wooden vertical member should be properly connected to horizontal member as shown in figure.


## Connections and joints

## Detail of metal plates



The length of a nail shall be at least 2.5 times the thickness of the thinnest member and it shall penetrate the thicker member by 1.5 times the thickness of the thinner member, whichever is further.

## Analysis of connection details:

## Connections between vertical and horizontal member

Let us consider the section $A B C D$ where, $A B$ and $C D$ are the wooden column and $A C$ is the wooden bracing.
In order to design the connection details of these section, foremost we need to calculate the tensile strength of uplifting and depending upon this strength, the design of the connection details of each individual member can be done.

$$
\begin{aligned}
N & =\frac{P * H * B}{W}-L \\
& =\frac{A * W * H * B}{W}-L \\
& =A * H^{* B}-L
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{A}^{*} \mathrm{H}^{*} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{L}$ (For single storey column and
first floor column of two storey)
$\mathrm{N}=\left(\mathrm{A} 1^{*} \mathrm{~B} 1+\mathrm{A} 2\right.$ * B2)*h -L (Ground floor column of two storey)


Distribution of load of corner and face column

| Tensile strength | Connection details |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 KN |  |
| $\sim 3.4 \mathrm{KN}$ |  |
| $\sim 15.0 \mathrm{KN}$ |  |

## Connection and joints

Worked out example of joint between column and beam

Where,
$\mathrm{N}=$ tensile strength for uplifting A1 = differences between unit strength of adjacent bracing of column.
$\mathrm{B} 1 / \mathrm{B} 2=0.8$ (corner column), 0.5(face/middle column) $\mathrm{L}=5.3 \mathrm{KN}$ (corners), 8.48 KN (middle section)

| Column | Strength(KN) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 14.66 | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{N} & =\mathrm{A} 1^{* B 1} 1^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{L} \\ & =(2.6+2.6-0) * 0.8 * 4.8-5.3 \\ & =14.66 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | -5.36 | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{N} & =(\mathrm{A} 1 * \mathrm{~B} 1+\mathrm{A} 2 * \mathrm{~B} 2) * \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{L} \\ & =[(5.2-2.6) * 0.5+(2.6-2.6) * 0.5) * 2.4-8.48 \\ & =-5.36 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | -8.48 | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{N} & =\mathrm{A} 1 * \mathrm{~B} 1 * \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{L} \\ & =(2.6-2.6) * 0.5 * 2.4-8.48 \\ & =-8.48 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | 0.88 | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{N} & =(\mathrm{A} 1 * \mathrm{~B} 1+\mathrm{A} 2 * \mathrm{~B} 2) * \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{L} \\ & =[(5.2-0) * 0.5+(2.6-0) * 0.5] * 2.4-8.48 \\ & =0.88 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | -5.36 | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{N} & =\mathrm{A} 1 * \mathrm{~B} 1 * \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{L} \\ & =(2.6-0) * 0.5 * 2.4-8.48 \\ & =-5.36 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | -2.24 | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{N} & =(\mathrm{A} 1 * \mathrm{~B} 1+\mathrm{A} 2 * \mathrm{~B} 2) * \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{L} \\ & =[(5.2-0) * 0.5+(0-0) * 0.8]^{*} 2.4-8.48 \\ & =-2.24 \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | -8.48 | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{N} & =\mathrm{A} 1 * \mathrm{~B} 1 * \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{L} \\ & =(5.2-5.2) * 0.5 * 2.4-8.48 \\ & =-8.48 \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | 4.68 | $\begin{aligned} N & =A 1 * B 1 * \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{L} \\ & =(5.2-0) * 0.8^{* 2.4-5.3} \\ & =4.68 \end{aligned}$ |

Connection details between the column and beam shall be as per the details mentioned in Table 1

## Connection and joints



Detail B: Connection horizontal and vertical at middle


## Connection and joints

Metal plate for connection between horizontal and vertical member


## 5. Roof

## Requirements

| No | Category | Description |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 |  | Roof | Material | Use of light roof |
|  |  | Connection | All member shall be properly connected. |  |
|  |  | Bracing | For flexible diaphragm, diagonal bracing shall be <br> considered. |  |

## Why important?

- If heavy weight is on the top of building it will be subjected to larger seismic force. Therefore, Light weight roof is required.
- The joints of wooden roof trusses need to be bolted together and tied with metal straps as it will provides flexibility and prevent from collapse.
- In order to resist lateral forces, depending upon the structures of roof, it might be need cross bracing at all levels. It provides strength against lateral forces so that the building does not collapse sideways but is held together.


## Exception

- If structure is found to be safe after structural calculation.


## Inspection methodology

- The size of the rafter and purlin can be identified by measurement.
- The spacing of the purlin can also checked by the measurement whereas the connection can be checked by the observation.


## Fundamental items

1. Use a continuous wall plate, ridge and purlins to tie the rafters or trusses together.
2. Stiffening of roof

- Diagonal straps with steel nut bolts or metal nails
- Diagonal steel truss with steel nut bolts or metal nails
- Timber bracing with metal nails or timber nails


## Wooden Roof truss



A timber roof truss is a structural framework of timbers designed to bridge the space above a room and to provide support for a roof. Trusses usually occur at regular intervals, linked by longitudinal timbers such as purlins.
Rafters are inclined timbers fixed between wall plate and ridge which transmit live and dead loads to wall plate.

## Connection details



Detail A: Joints of Wooden Truss
Detail C: Joints of Rafter


Detail B: Joints of Wooden Truss

## Roof

## Strengthening roof

## In case of Roof/floor bracing missing

## Correction measures

Option : from Retrofitting manual.

- ProvideX-bracing at end bayson each sloppyside
- Provide additional roof/floor member as needed


Stiffening of the floor with diagonal timber planks

Diagonal steel bracing to roof


Flexible diaphragm improvements

## Strengthening roof

## Connection improvement between wall to roof

## Correction measures

## Option : from Retrofitting manual.

- Metal Strap with Screws



## Strengthening roof

## Sliding of Roofing materials

Correction measures

Option : from Retrofitting manual. Fixing roofing tile.
1.Replace damaged tiles.
2.Using appropriate correct fixing method for roofing materials.
3.Connect the roof with the roof band by inserting reinforcement or Gl sheet.
4.Slatestone and clay tiles should be properly anchored to purlin as NBC.
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## PART 3: Correction measures for existing buildings

1. Foundation
2. Double post
3. Beam
4. Vertical post and Horizontal beam connection

## Traditional timber framed structures



Figure is shown in traditional of timber framed structures in Siwalik range.

## Existing condition

1. The system works for only gravity load. No resisting elements for lateral load.
2. One post of double post is continued upto roof, whereas the other post run only upto the height of ground floor for supporting first floor.
3. Vertical post are connected with only one direction of beam.
4. Beam is only one direction. From other direction, joist are rested on the this beam.
5. Connection is fix by bolts, nail, and rope lacing.
6. Vertical posts are directly embedded into the ground soil.
7. Large size of timber and hard wood are used.


Typical size of timber member
Vertical Rectangular : 5"x6" ~ 6 " $x 8^{\prime \prime}$ post

Circular: 6"~10" dia
Horizont Main: 4"x5" al beam Joist: $3^{\prime \prime} \times 4$ "

Rafter: 3"x4", Purlin: 2"x3"or3"x4"
Connect Nut-Bolt (16~20)mm dia. ion bolt

Plank 1"

## Existing connection details

## Common defect of existing house

1. Most critical inadequate part is rigidity of connection of post and beams.
2. Horizontal beam is only one direction.
3. There is no resisting element for lateral load ( Earthquake load)
4. Poor connection between post and beam.


## Traditional timber framed structures

## Correction measures:

## Foundation:

## Problem

- If wooden post is embedded in soil only, it will be deteriorate by moisture, termites.


## Solution

- Deterioration of wood shall be prevented by using preservative materials such as plastic sheet, concrete, stone or brick masonry.


## Correction

Steps:

1. Excavate the soil around the column and construct stone/brick masonry in cement or concreting (M15)
2. Remove top part minimum 300 mm deep and construct stone/brick masonry in cement or concreting (M15) also continue 300 mm above plinth level.
3. To ensure sufficiency of foundation, add stone/brick masonry in cement or concreting equivalent to per minimum requirement considering existing size


Timber column is embedded in soil only above plinth level.



Excavate the soil around the column and construct stone/brick masonry.

## Correction

## Correction measures:

## Double posts:

## Problem

- This double column is supporting only vertical load indivisibly.
- Poor connection between two posts.


## Solution

- It shall be tight together for uniformity.


## Correction

1. Connect two posts properly.


Two post are not connected.


## Traditional timber framed structures

## Correction measures:

## Beam:

## Problem

- Wooden beam is provided in only one direction.
- The size of wooden beam is insufficient.



## Solution

- Provide additional beam in the direction where the beam is missing.
- Add new beam beneath or above, wherever possible, in existing beam such that two (new and old beam) acts in composite manner or add supporting vertical column (size as per MRs) at mid location of the beam with proper connection.


## Correction

## Construction of beam in missing direction

## Steps:

1. Construct bracket and connect it properly with the column.
2. Place the beam above the bracket with proper connection.


Note: Add new beams in missing direction with constructability approach (i.e. beam in all direction may be in different level).

## Addition of beam

## Steps:

1. Surface preparation
2. Apply adhesive materials between the two beams (new and old beams)
3. Apply metal belt or wooden peg or GI wire mesh as per the specification to connect the two beams.


OPTION 1. Connection details of addition of horizontal member


OPTION 2. Connection details of addition of horizontal member through wooden nails Note: Wooden nail shall penetrate $3 / 4 \mathrm{~d}$ of the lower beam, where $d$ is the total depth of the beam

## Traditional timber framed structures

## Correction measures:

## Vertical post and horizontal beam connection

## Problem

- Poor connection between the post and beam.


## Why important ?

The failure of the joints connecting structural member such as vertical, horizontal and bracing frequently occurs. Structural member should be uniform, so that the frame will acts as earthquake resistance elements.


## Solution

- Provide knee bracing using wooden member or metal strips to increase the rigidity between the beam and column.



## Correction

## Additional wooden Knee bracing

## Steps:

1. Place wooden knee bracing ( 100 mm X 130 mm ) as per the specification. If 50 X 130 mm bracing size is being used, place it in two sides of beam and column.
2. Connect these knee bracing to the beam and column using 1-M12 bolt. To make the three hinge connection, connect the column and beam using bolt or screw.
Note: If screw is being used in place of bolt two number of screw is required.


M12 nut-bolts 4 mm thick meta washer

Option1. Wooden knee brace double


Option2. Wooden knee brace single

## Traditional timber framed structures

## Correction measures:

## OPTIONS: Additional wooden Knee bracing



Option1. Wooden knee brace fixed by bolt


Option3. Metal knee brace fixed by bolt


Option2. Wooden knee brace fixed by screw


Option4. Metal knee brace fixed by screw

## Traditional timber framed structures

## Correction measures:

## Diaphragm of floor

## Problem

- Poor diaphragm of floor, building can not act uniform.


## Why important?

If the floor or roof is rigid, it will act as a uniform member and its inertia force will be distributed to all the walls in proportion to their stiffness.
The enclosure will act as a box for resisting the lateral (earthquake) loads.


## Solution

- Provide diaphragm bracing using wooden member or metal strips to increase the rigidity of floor and roof.
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## APPENDIX

1. Inspection sheet
2. Prototype drawings
3. Structural Calculation
4. Structural Analysis
```
APPENDIX: Inspection sheet
```
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## Prototype traditional model



Isometric view


## Prototype half frame model



## Prototype two storey frame model



First floor plan


Section at A-A


| ALLOWABLE STRENGTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | Wooden brace $90 * 45$ plate | 3.20: $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | double | 2.00 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 1.20 m |
|  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 6.00 |
|  |  | Total strength | 1*2*3*4 | 5 |  | .08: ${ }^{\text {kN }}$ |
|  |  | 依 | ble no. 1 | 6 | Wooden brace $90 * 45$ plate | $3.20 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ |
|  | - 든 | 응 Capplicable o | for wooden | 7 | double | 2.00 |
|  | ¢ | 苋 | brace | 8 |  | 1.20 m |
|  |  | Number |  | 9 |  | 6.00 |
|  |  | Total strength | 6*7*8*9 | 10 |  | .08: kN |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \text { 믄 } \\ & \text { W } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 11 | Wooden brace $90 * 30$ nail | $1.90 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ |
|  |  |  |  | 12 | double | 2.00 |
|  |  |  |  | 13 |  | 1.20 m |
|  |  |  |  | 14 |  | 6.00 |
|  |  | Total strength | 11*12*13*14 | 15 | 27.36 kN |  |
|  |  |  |  | 16 | Wooden brace $90 * 30$ nail | $1.90 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ |
|  |  |  |  | 17 | double | 2.00 |
|  |  |  |  | 18 |  | 1.20 m |
|  |  |  |  | 19 |  | 6.00 |
|  |  | Total strength | $16^{* 17 * 18 * 19}$ | 20 |  | .36: kN |

## Workout example 4: Timber frame structure, two storey

## Seismic load calculation:



## STEP1. Horizontal Seismic base shear force

The horizontal seismic base shear force
$\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{Cd}$ * Wt
Design horizontal seismic coefficient

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Cd}=\mathrm{C} * \mathrm{Z} * \mid * \mathrm{~K} \\
& =0.08 * 1 * 1 * 2.5=0.2 \\
& =\quad \text { Where, } \mathrm{C}=\text { basic seismic coefficient } \\
& \mathrm{Z}=\text { Zone factor } \\
& \text { I=Importance factor }
\end{aligned}
$$

K= Structural performance factor
Note: The value of $K$ depends upon the typology of the structure. Take the value of $K$ for framed structure.

## STEP 2. Seismic load

Calculate weight of individual structural/non-structural component.
To calculate the total weight of individual structural components the total area shall be multiplied with unit weight. These unit weight depends upon the types of materials used for construction. Hence, depending upon these materials appropriate value of unit weight must be adopted.

## Calculation of Bracing member

## Explanation of Unit weight :

| Roof | Heavy | Slate roof, Mud roof | 2.52 | KN/sq.m. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Light | CGI roof, | 0.79 | KN/sq.m. |
| Floor | Heavy | Wooden floor with mud plastered | 2.52 | KN/sq.m. |
|  | Light | Wooden floor | 0.5 | KN/sq.m. |
| Wall | Heavy | Masonry wall | 2.52 | KN/sq.m. |
|  | Light | CGI sheet, wooden plank | 0.5 | KN/sq.m. |
| Wt. of roof |  | $=$ Roof area*Unit weight (Light) |  |  |
|  |  | $=48 \mathrm{~m} 2 * 0.79 \mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $=37.92 \mathrm{KN}$ |  |  |
| Wt of wall (first floor) |  | $=$ Wall area*Unit weight (Heavy) |  |  |
|  |  | $=66.48 \mathrm{~m} 2 * 2.52 \mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $=167.53 \mathrm{KN}$ |  |  |
| Wt. of floor |  | $=$ Floor area*Unit weight(Light) |  |  |
|  |  | $=31.75 \mathrm{~m} 2 * 0.5 \mathrm{KN}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $=15.875 \mathrm{KN}$ |  |  |
| Wt. of wall (Ground floor) |  | $=$ Wall area*Unit weight (Heavy) |  |  |
|  |  | $=66.48 \mathrm{m2} * 2.52 \mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $=167.53 \mathrm{KN}$ |  |  |

Seismic capacity of wall:
Total weight in ground floor
$=w t$ of roof+ wt of attic floor(if present) $+w t$. of first floor (wall+floor)+ wt of ground floor wall
$=37.92 \mathrm{KN}+167.53 \mathrm{KN}+15.87 \mathrm{KN}+167.53 \mathrm{KN}$
$=388.85 \mathrm{KN}$
Seismic load in ground floor =total weight(GFL)* Cd

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =388.85 \mathrm{KN}^{*} 0.2 \\
& =77.77 \mathrm{KN}
\end{aligned}
$$

Total weight in first floor
= wt of roof+ wt of attic floor(if present) + wt. of first floor (wall+floor)
$=37.92 \mathrm{KN}+167.53 \mathrm{KN}+15.87 \mathrm{KN}$
$=221.32 \mathrm{KN}$
Seismic load in first floor =total weight(FFL)* Cd

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =221.32 \mathrm{KN}^{*} 0.2 \\
& =44.26 \mathrm{KN}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Workout example:

If bamboo mesh with $50-70 \mathrm{~mm}$ thick mud plastered being used instead of brace.

## STEP3. Allowable strength:

## Allowable strength=

Shear strength of unit wall* length* number of bracing/wall construction provided in each direction.
Brace:
Lets take bamboo mesh with $50-70 \mathrm{~mm}$ thick mud plastered, where shear strength of unit wall is $1.8 \mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m}$.
Note: The shear strength of unit wall depends upon the method of bracing/wall construction, hence select the appropriate methods and its value.
Assumption:
Shear strength of unit wall=1.8 KN/m
Wall construction: Bamboo mesh with $50-70 \mathrm{~mm}$ thick mud plaster.
Length $=1.2 \mathrm{~m}$
Number of bracing provided=2(X-direction/Y-direction)

## Ground floor:

X-direction:
Allowable strength=1.8*6.35 *2=22.86 KN
Y-direction:
Allowable strength=1.8*5*2=18 KN
Seismic load in ground floor=77.77 KN
Results:

Since, the allowable strength in ground floor is less than the seismic load in ground floor the bracing member/wall construction needs to be replaced.

## First floor:

X-direction:
Allowable strength=1.8*6.35 *2=22.86 KN
Y-direction:
Allowable strength=1.8*5*2=18 KN
Seismic load in first floor=44.26 KN Results:
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Description of existing building:
Majority of the buildings existing in the site are somehow approximate to the descriptions presented below:

## Dimensions:

Generally most of the buildings, which are two storied and without attic floor, are square symmetrical in shape. This considered building has a planar dimensions of $9.6 \mathrm{~m} \times 9.47 \mathrm{~m}$; in addition, the storey height of the building is 2.13 m and height difference of ridge beam from eaves level is one meter.

## Frame structures:

Most of the timber columns in ground story consist of two wooden posts, out of which one ends at floor level supporting the beams running in perpendicular direction to joists whereas next post continues up to eaves level to support the beams running in both directions. Moreover, there are no beams on the first floor level in the direction parallel to joists.

| Description | Size | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beam | $130 \mathrm{~mm} \times 110 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Depth X Breadth |
| Column | $180 \mathrm{~mm} \times 130 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |
| Joist | $80 \mathrm{~mm} \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |
| Wooden Plank | 25 mm thick |  |
| Ridge Beam | Diameter 150 mm |  |
| Rafter | $75 \mathrm{~mm} \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |
| Purlin | $75 \mathrm{~mm} \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |

## Walls:

Usually, the external and the internal walls in the ground story are of stone masonry of 450 mm thickness whereas the walls in the upper story are of light materials: wooden plank ( 25 mm ), CGI sheets, etc. Furthermore, the walls in the ground story are either outside or inside of the plane of timber frames. In addition, these walls do not transmit any load of the building except their self-weight and are functioning only as a partition walls.

## Structural Analysis

Material Properties:
Wood
Type = Hardwood (Sal)
Unit weight $=8.65 \mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
Modulus of elasticity $=12500000 \mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
Bending strength (inside location) $=16.5 \mathrm{Mpa}$
Compressive strength (inside location) $=10.4 \mathrm{Mpa}$
Shear strength, horizontal in beams $=0.9 \mathrm{Mpa}$
Shear strength, along grain = 1.3 Mpa
References: NBC 112 (1994)
Roofing material
Type = stone tiles (slate)
Unit weight $=27.45$ Kivim ${ }^{3}$ (References: is 875 Part i)
Thickness $=25 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Modelling:

## Loads

Live load (floor) $=2 \mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m} 2$
Live load in roof $=0.75 \mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m} 2$

Design Horizontal Seismic Coefficient (NBC 105:1994)

| Zone factor | Z | 1 |  | Figure 8.2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Importance factor | I | 1 |  | cl 8.1 .7, table 8.1, other <br> structures |
| Structural performance factor | K | 2 |  |  |
| Height of the building | h | 5.26 | m | Refer dwg. |
| Dimension of the building along X | $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | 9.47 | m | Refer dwg. |
| Dimension of the building along Y | $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | 9.60 | m | Refer dwg. |
| Time period of the building along X | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | 0.154 | sec | $\mathrm{Tx}=0.09 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{VDx}, \mathrm{Cl} 7.3$ |
| Time period of the building along Y | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | 0.153 | sec | $\mathrm{Ty}=0.09 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{VDy}, \mathrm{Cl} 7.3$ |
| Soil type |  | Medium <br> $($ Type II$)$ |  | Cl 8.1 .5 |
| Basic seismic coefficient along X | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | 0.08 |  | Cl 8.1 .4, fig 8.1 |
| Basic seismic coefficient along Y | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | 0.08 |  | Cl 8.1 .4, fig 8.2 |
| Design horizontal seismic <br> coefficient | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0.16 |  | $\mathrm{Cd}=\mathrm{CZIK}, \mathrm{Cl} 8.1 .1$ |

## Structural Analysis

## Wind Load:

Wind load is calculated as per IS 875 (Part 3)-1987 as referred by NBC 104:1994.
Design wind speed $(\mathrm{Vz})=47 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ (lower zone of Sindhuli district, which is connected to terai belt and has fairly even area)
Probability factor $\left(\mathrm{K}_{1}\right)=1$
(Ref: T-1 of IS 875 (Part 3)-1987)
Terrain, height and structure size factor $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2}\right)=1.05$
(Ref: T-2 of IS 875 (Part 3)-1987
Terrain Category = 1
Building class $=A$ )
Topography factor $\left(\mathrm{K}_{3}\right)=1+\mathrm{C}^{*} \mathrm{~S}=1+0.36 * 1=1.36$
C = 0.36 (Annex: C-2, IS 875 (Part 3)-1987)
S = 1 (Annex: C-2.1, IS 875 (Part 3)-1987)
Wind load coefficients
Coefficient for pitched roofs:


|  | Cp (Windward) | Cp (Leeward) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Normal to Ridge, $\theta=0$ | -1.17 | -0.60 |
| Parallel to Ridge, $\theta=90$ | -0.97 | -0.80 |

(Cpe, external pressure coefficient (T-5, IS 875 (Part 3)-1987) Cpi, internal pressure coefficient (cl.6.2.3.1, IS 875 (Part 3)-1987))
Coefficient for walls:


|  | Cp <br> (Windward) | Cp <br> (Leeward) | Cp <br> (Adjacent) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Normal to Longer wall, $\theta=0$ | 0.90 | -0.40 | -0.70 |
| Normal to Shorter wall, $\theta=90$ | 0.90 | -0.40 | -0.70 |

Here, $\mathrm{Cp}=\mathrm{Cpe} \pm \mathrm{Cpi}$
(Cpe, external pressure coefficient (T-4, IS 875 (Part 3)-1987)
Cpi, internal pressure coefficient (cl.6.2.3.1, IS 875 (Part 3)-1987))

## Assumptions:

All the rafters, purlins, joists, bracings, studs, beams are assumed to be simply supported i.e. torsional capacity is released at one end whereas moment capacity is released at both ends.
The support system is assumed to be simply supported.
The adjacent posts of ground floor are connected by link element at the spacing of $500 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$.
The modelling of the timber frame structure is done by using ETABS 2016 Version 16.2.0. The 3D view of the building is shown below:

Figure 1: 3D Model

## Structural Analysis

## Analysis:

The analysis of the building is done by using ETABS 2016 Version 16.2.0. Seismic Coefficient Method is used to analyse the building in earthquake load.

## Calculation of Base Shear

| Load Pattern | Type | Direction | C | Weight Used | Base Shear |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  | kN | kN |
| EQx | Seismic | X | 0.2 | 188.601 | 30.1762 |
| EQy | Seismic | Y | 0.2 | 188.601 | 30.1762 |

Load combinations for the analysis of the building:
The design loads for the Working Stress Method as per NBC 105:1994 are:
Including the Earthquake Load
a. DL+LL+Eqx
b. DL+LL-Eqx
c. DL+LL+Eqy
d. DL+LL-Eqy
e. 0.7DL+Eqx
f. $0.7 \mathrm{DL}-\mathrm{Eqx}$
g. $0.7 \mathrm{DL}+\mathrm{Eqy}$
h. 0.7DL-EQy

Including the Wind Load
a. DL+LL+Wind X+
b. DL+LL+Wind $X-$
c. DL+LL+Wind $\mathrm{Y}+$
d. DL+LL+Wind Y -
e. 0.7DL+Wind $X+$
f. $0.7 \mathrm{DL}+$ Wind $X-$
g. $0.7 \mathrm{DL}+$ Wind $\mathrm{X}+$
h. 0.7DL+Wind $X-$

After subjecting the building to aforementioned load combinations, checking of all the elements as well as of the building were done. The conclusions of the analysis are listed below:
Many beams were failed in both shear and bending moment.
All existing sized columns were passed in both interaction check (axial and bending moment) and shear check.
Global deformation of the building is under control of codal guidelines.

## Additions:

After performing successive iterations following elements were added to strengthen the performance of building:
Beams of existing size ( $130 \mathrm{~mm} \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) were added in the next direction in the ground floor i.e. at the first floor level. In field, it is difficult to install these beams at the same level of existing beams; thus, the outer beams were modelled slightly above the existing model whereas inner beams were modelled below the level of existing beams (For detail refer below: figure 2, 3).
Knee bracings ( $130 \mathrm{~mm} \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) were added in each and every beam column joints to improve the joint performance (For detail refer below: figure 2,3 and 4).
Wooden roof truss ( 100 mm X 100 mm ) were improved at each vertical plane where beams exist (For detail refer below: figure 4). Moreover, bracings ( $100 \mathrm{~mm} \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) were added as shown in figure 3 to control the deflection of ridge beam.
Diagonal Roof Bracings of size 80 mm X 50 mm were provided in end bays to improve the roof diaphragm ( For detail refer below: figure 5).

## Structural Analysis



Figure 2: Additional beam above the level of existing beam


Figure 3: Additional beam below the level of existing beam


Figure 4: Improved Roof Truss System


Figure 5: Diagonal Roof Bracing

## Structural Analysis

After adding aforementioned members, the analysis of the building is carried out. In this case, all the members of the building had satisfied the codal requirements except few intermediate existing beams with span 2.31 m . These intermediate beams had failed in shear as well as in the interaction (axial and bending) check. Thus, the depth of only these beams were increased and back-to-back trials were carried out. The depth satisfying all the codal requirements is 200 mm . One of the frames consisting these beams is depicted in figure 6.


Figure 6: Beams with depth increased to $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ mm

## Design of Structure:

Design of all the members were carried out in the envelope load. Working stress philosophy is used for the design of the different elements of the building.

## Check of beam

The beams are checked in interaction of axial and bending as well as in shear. The interaction $\qquad$
The check of all the beams were tabulated in the Annex ......whereas, only the sample calculation (Beam Identity: B8) is shown below.

| Interaction check: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Moment= | M | 3.53 | KN-m |  |  |
| Corresponding Axial force = | P | 25.99 | KN |  |  |
| Depth of Beam = | d | 0.200 | m |  |  |
| breadth of beam = | b | 0.100 | m |  |  |
| Section Modulus = | Z | 0.000667 | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ |  |  |
| Form factor, a reduction constant for $\mathrm{Z}=$ | K | 1 | As, D | < | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.3 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Bending stress $=\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{Z}=$ | $\sigma b$ | 5.29 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |  |  |
| Axial stress $=P / \mathrm{A}=$ | ot | 1.30 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |  |  |
| For Inside location, bending Permissible stress = | $\sigma p$ b | 16.5 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |  |  |
| For Inside location, axial Permissible stress = | $\sigma p t$ | 10.4 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |  |  |
| ot/ $\sigma p t+\sigma b / \sigma p b$ |  | 0.446 | < | 1 | OK |
| Shear Check: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shear Stress = (1.5V)/(b X d) | Tv |  |  |  |  |
| Shear force = | V | 9.61 | KN |  |  |
| Permissible Shear Stress | Tc | 0.90 | N/mm2 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{v}}=$ |  | 0.72 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}<0.9$ <br> $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm} 2$ |  | OK |
| Depth required to satisfy deflection criteria: |  |  |  |  |  |
| dmin $=>(50 * \mathrm{Fb} / \mathrm{E})^{*} \mathrm{~L}$ |  |  | (Ref. NBC 112 Cl.6.4) |  |  |
| Length = | L | 1.72 | m |  |  |
| Modulus of Elasticity $=$ | E | $\begin{array}{r} 1250000 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | KN/m2 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{dmin}=$ |  | 0.04 | m | $<0.2$ m | OK |

Where,
ot is calculated average axial compressive stress in $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ $\sigma b$ is calculated bending stress in extreme fibre in $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ opt is permissible stress in axial compression in $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ $\sigma \mathrm{pb}$ is permissible stress in bending in $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$

## Structural Analysis

## Check of columns:

The columns are checked in interaction of axial and bending as well as in shear. The interaction.
The check of all the columns were tabulated in the Annex ......whereas, only the sample calculation (Column Identity: C12) is shown below.

| Interaction check |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth of Column= | d | 0.18 | m |
| Width of Column= | b | 0.13 | m |
| Length of Column = | L | 2.13 | m |
| Density of Wood= |  | 8.65 | $\mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Axial load = | P | 21.12 | KN |
| Bending Moment = | M | 5.27 | KN-m |
| For timber member subjected to both bending and axial compression shall be designed to comply with the following formula: |  |  |  |
| бc/ $\sigma p \mathrm{c}+\sigma \mathrm{b} / \sigma \mathrm{pb}<1$ |  |  |  |
| $\sigma c=P / A$ |  | 0.90 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |
| $\sigma b=M / Z$ |  |  |  |
| Section modulus $=\mathrm{bd}^{2} / 6=$ | Z | 702000.00 | $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ |
| $\sigma b=$ |  | 7.51 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |
| $\sigma p \mathrm{c}=$ |  | 10.40 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |
| opb= |  | 16.50 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |
| $\sigma \mathrm{c} / \sigma \mathrm{pc}+\sigma \mathrm{b} / \sigma \mathrm{pb}=$ |  | 0.54 | < 1 OK |
| Check of Shear Stress: |  |  |  |
| Shear force = | V | 4.83 | KN |
| Permissible Shear stress= | Tc | 1.3 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm} 2$ |
| Shear stress = | Tv | 0.21 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm} 2<1.3 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm} 2 \\ & \mathrm{OK} \end{aligned}$ |

## Check of ridge beam

The beams are checked in interaction of axial and bending as well as in shear. The interaction $\qquad$
The check of all the beams were tabulated in the Annex ......whereas, only the sample calculation (Ridge Beam Identity: B40) is shown below.

| Interaction check: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Moment= | M | 3.74 | KN-m |  |  |
| Corresponding Axial force = | P | 2.27 | KN |  |  |
| Diameter of Beam = | d | 0.150 | m |  |  |
| Section Modulus = | Z | 0.000331 | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ |  |  |
| Form factor, a reduction constant for Z = | K | 1 | As, D | < | 0.3 m |
| Bending stress $=M / Z=$ | ob | 11.30 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |  |  |
| Axial stress $=P / \mathrm{A}=$ | ot | 0.13 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |  |  |
| For Inside location, bending Permissible stress = | opb | 16.5 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |  |  |
| For Inside location, axial Permissible stress = | opt | 10.4 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |  |  |
|  |  | 0.697 | < | 1 | OK |
| Shear Check: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shear Stress = (4/3V)/(A) | Tv |  |  |  |  |
| Shear force = | V | 1.14 | KN |  |  |
| Permissible Shear Stress | Tc | 0.90 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm} 2$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{v}}=$ |  | 0.06 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}<0.9 \\ & \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm} 2 \end{aligned}$ |  | OK |
| Depth required to satisfy deflection criteria: |  |  |  |  |  |
| dmin $=>$ ( $75^{*} \mathrm{Fb} / \mathrm{E}$ ) ${ }^{\text {L }}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Ref. NBC } 112 \\ & \text { Cl.6.4) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Length = | L | 1.72 | m |  |  |
| Modulus of Elasticity = | E | 12500000 | KN/m2 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{dmin}=$ |  | 0.12 | m | < | OK |

Where,
$\sigma$ is calculated average axial compressive stress in $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
$\sigma b$ is calculated bending stress in extreme fibre in $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
opt is permissible stress in axial compression in $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
$\sigma \mathrm{pb}$ is permissible stress in bending in $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$

## Structural Analysis

## Drift Check:

Drift of the building is checked as per the requirement suggested by Clause 9, NBC 105. The design lateral deformations resulting from the application of the forces is increased by the factor $5 / K$ as specified by Clause 9.1 . Then the obtained inter-story deflection is checked against 60 mm as specified by Clause 9.3. Furthermore, the inter-story drift ratio is calculated and checked against 0.01 as specified by Clause 9.3. The detail of drift check is tabulated below:

| Directio <br> n of loading | Load <br> Case | Deforma tion from Etabs | Design lateral deforma tion $\left(5 / K^{*} d\right)$ | Inter <br> Story <br> deflectio <br> n | Check | Inter story <br> drift ratio | Check |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | d | D' | a | $\begin{gathered} (\mathrm{a}<60 \mathrm{~m} \\ \mathrm{m}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | b | (b<0.01) |
|  |  | m | mm | mm |  |  |  |
| X | EQX | 0.016 | 41.10 | 6.39 | OK | 0.003 | OK |
|  |  | 0.014 | 34.71 | 14.25 | OK | 0.007 | OK |
|  |  | 0.008 | 20.46 | 20.46 | OK | 0.007 | OK |
|  |  | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | OK |  | OK |
| Y | EQY | 0.033 | 81.75 | 1.37 | OK | 0.001 | OK |
|  |  | 0.032 | 80.39 | 34.44 | OK | 0.006 | OK |
|  |  | 0.018 | 45.95 | 45.95 | OK | 0.009 | OK |
|  |  | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | OK |  | OK |
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