I. Background and Rationale

Over the past 20 years disasters have affected 4.4 billion people, caused USD 2 trillion of damage and killed 1.3 million people. Natural disasters affected people living in developing countries and the most vulnerable communities within those countries. Over 95 percent of people killed by disasters are from developing countries. Europe, however, has not been spared. 2015 saw 23 reported disasters, such as France’s heat wave in the summer of 2015, which caused 3,275 deaths and over US$ 1 billion in damages, and the floods in the United Kingdom, which cost the country over US$ 3.6 billion in economic losses.

Current and future challenges of mainstreaming Climate Change Adaptation (CCA) and Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR) in development planning demand new approaches, mechanisms, sets of skills and competencies that need to be identified.
and strengthened in order to form the basis of increasing public demand and political commitment to local actions and budget allocations. Lack of appropriate knowledge on the subject, lack of government commitment and the absence of mainstreaming in current organizational and government strategy are key existing challenges.

Urban risk is continually increasing. It has been estimated that, more than 50 per cent of the world's population is living in urban areas. Urbanization is taking place at an unprecedented rate. In the next 20 years, the world's population is predicted to increase by an additional two billion. By 2030 more than 60% of the world’s population is expected to live in cities, with record concentrations in large urban conglomerations and megacities in the developing world. Vulnerability of cities to disasters is on the rise especially as poor people settle in high-risk urban areas. Unfortunately, planning and development of cities has given little consideration to the consequences of hazards such as earthquakes, hydro-meteorological risks and others. The implication of this reality is the need for countries to focus their collective energies to create a safer world for urban dwellers and develop a series of innovative approaches to meet this challenge.

In this regard, building resilience and adapting to climate change is crucial for cities in Croatia. Efforts to build resilience in cities can benefit from integrating disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation with existing efforts in disaster risk reduction and other similar planning processes.

This capacity building training workshop, while promoting the importance of effective climate change adaptation and disaster risk reduction, will provide:

i) an opportunity for cities and local governments in Croatia to enhance capacities in climate change adaptation and disaster risk reduction with a focus on countries/ cities Resilience Action Plans development and implementation.

ii) a platform to exchange in-depth learning from experts in the related area and to share good practices among participants

iii) a venue for city-to-city cooperation in building resilience in cities by integrating disaster risk principles into local DRR and CCA plans, programmes and initiatives

Venue: Hotel Turist, Varaždin, Croatia

Organizers

• UNISDR ONEA-GETI

• National Protection and Rescue Directorate – NPRD

Targeted Audience: The workshop was attended by high-level authorities and experts from state and local governments, NGO and volunteers, and other local actors within Croatia. A set of “trainers” was prepared to become GETI resource persons.

Workshop Language: Croatian and English

A summary of the key training topics presented at the training workshop, and points raised during group exercises and plenary discussions is provided below.
II. Workshop Objectives and Expected Outcomes

Objectives:
Overall, the training course will provide an opportunity to disaster prone countries to:

- Increase political commitment and social demand for disaster resilient development, adapted for climate change, aiming for sustainable development.
- Increase engagement of national actors in the field of national development and planning with the DRR and CCA agenda and enhance country planners and decision makers’ ability and commitment to promote DRR and CCA through relevant systems, policies and processes.
- Learn about the Making Cities Resilient Global Campaign and how its tools, materials and approaches may be used to build local resilience to disasters.
- Building capacities of experts and officials at local level with a focus on City Resilience Action Plans development and implementation based on MCR Campaign 10 Essentials to make their cities resilient to disasters.

Expected Outcomes

- Trained cadres of national and city high level city officials
- Draft City Resilience Assessment Report
- Draft City Resilience Action Plans
- Draft Strategy paper on how to further finalize, ensure endorsement and effectively implement the City Resilience Action Plan
III. Training Course Modules Description and Resource Persons

The training course was divided in three main sections and 9 modules, as described below (see table 1 for a summary of the modules):

Section 1: Introduction, conceptual framework (Module 1)

Provided review of the conceptual framework and focused on analysing current trends in urban risk and risk reduction

Section 2: Understanding and implementing the MCR tools (Modules 2 to 6)

This is the central part of the course. The different sessions were delivered aiming to improve participant’s knowledge and skills to effectively implement MCR campaign tools, in particular the 10 essentials and the MCR Local Urban Indicators for DRR and Resilience and proposed roadmap with steps and approach to develop draft outline of the Safe and Resilient City Action Plan with its list of objectives, activities and indicators of success to enable the Action Plan effective implementation, monitoring and evaluation.

Section 3: Planning the way forward (Module 7)

Section 3 Modules provided opportunity to participants to share and analyse city-to-city exchange experiences and looking for the elements that make them successful. The purpose here is to explore options for supporting risk management capacity development at local level. They also facilitated group discussions and planning among participants the strategy on how they will continue the work done at the workshop when they go back to their respective countries and what steps they have to take to finalise the draft Action Plan and ensure its effective implementation.

Table # 1 below summarizes the three main sections and its modules
### Modules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Modules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section 1:</strong> DRR and CCA Concepts</td>
<td><strong>Module 1:</strong> DRR and CCA Concepts and Current Tendencies in Mainstreaming DRR and CCA into Development Policies, Frameworks and Programmes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section 2:</strong> Understanding, Adapting and Using the tools to conduct assessment of Disaster Risks and Develop Resilient Countries/Cities Action Plans</td>
<td><strong>Module 2:</strong> Getting to know the UNISDR 10 Essentials/Principles for Building National and City Resilience. Case for each of 10 Essentials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Module 3:</strong> Applying the Disaster Resilience Scorecard Tool, to conduct assessment and analysis of DRR at national and local level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Module 4:</strong> Concepts and Case Studies of Mainstreaming DRR and CCA for Sustainable Development Through Sectoral Programmes (i.e. Governance, Financing DRR, Climate Change, Environment, Risk Based Land Use Management &amp; Planning, Critical Infrastructure, Housing, Livelihood Protection, Safe Schools and Hospitals, Early Warning Systems, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Module 5:</strong> Developing and implementing Safe and Resilient City Action Plan. Proposed Methodology with Steps and the Approach.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Module 6:</strong> Setting Indicators for Resilient City Action Plan Monitoring, Evaluating and Follow up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section 3:</strong> Planning the way forward</td>
<td><strong>Module 7:</strong> Building Effective Partnerships at all levels and Developing a Strategy/Roadmap for finalizing, endorsing, linking and effectively implementing National and Cities Resilience Action Plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Module 8:</strong> Sharing experiences, best practices and models for Country-to-Country and City-to-City Learning, and capacity development models and programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Module 9:</strong> Scaling up Disaster Risk Assessment and Resilience Action Plans Preparation Process at Regional and Local level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Module 10:</strong> Ensuring Greater Impact of the Resilience Action Plans, and Facilitating Change Process at National, Regional and Local level</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**UNISDR ONEA-GETI Workshop Resource Persons:**

- a. Mr. Sanjaya Bhatia (Head of the Office UNISDR ONEA-GETI)
- b. Ms. Biljana Markova (Programme Officer UNISDR Regional Office for Europe)
- c. Mr. Marcelo Sabanes (MCR advocate)
IV. Methodology and Description of the Workshop Sessions and Modules

The following is a list of achieved outcomes for each Module and Group Works Exercises broken down by 3 days of the workshop. The workshop Agenda, List of Participants and Draft Resilient Cities/Countries Action Plans developed and presented by the Country/region Groups are provided in Appendixes #1, 2 and 3 of this report. Summary of UNISDR ONEA/GETI Training Course Modules by Days is provided below:

**DAY 1**


**Achieved Outcomes:** During this Module Sessions participants had a chance to

- Improve their understanding of risk assessment and management terminology and concepts
- Improve comprehension of current trends in urban risk
- Identify main factors leading to building disaster risk in urban contexts at the global, regional, national and local level
- Gain an overview of the Third UN World Conference on Disaster Risk Reduction, the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030, and what all of this means for DRR at the local level
- Increase comprehension of the Making Cities Resilient Campaign purpose, resources and tools

**Summary of the Module Content:**

*The presentations discuss main urban trends at global level and its interrelation with the observed urban risk tendencies, as well as current global work on DRR.*

**What do we need to look for when reviewing trends in disaster risk and risk reduction?**

- **The facts:** what do the data and information available can tell us about the global trends on risk (related to natural hazards). Where can we find reliable data and information on disaster risk trends?

- **The conceptual frameworks:** what tools could be used to explain observed tendencies? What are the conceptual frameworks and methodologies used to analyse, diagnose, design and implement action plans to reduce risk?

- **The factors:** how can these observed trends be explained? What are the factors driving the observed tendencies? What can be said regarding global trends in urban risk reduction?

**What is the Third UN World Conference on Disaster Risk Reduction? What is the significance of the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030 and why is it important for local governments?**

- Why is disaster risk reduction a global issue? How does the global community address resilience to disasters? How is the local level featured in the Sendai Framework?

- What is the Making Cities Resilient Campaign? What are the Campaign tools?

- What is UNISDR currently working on in terms of local resilience (e.g. monitoring and reporting, Resilient Cities Connect, etc)?
Module 2: MCR Campaign 10 Essentials with Case Studies.

Achieved Outcomes: During this Module Sessions participants had a chance to

- Improve knowledge and comprehension of the 10 essentials and the Local Urban Indicators for DRR and Resilience
- Understand the requirements to apply MCR 10 essentials

Summary of the Content and Group Discussions:

This module was divided into the following sessions:

a) Review of the ten-point checklist – Essentials for making cities resilient; and

b) Review of the Local Urban Indicators for DRR and Resilience. The ten essentials and the urban indicators are regarded as the basic Campaign tools. The Mayor’s handbook provides guidelines on the implementation of the 10 essentials.


Working Group Discussion/Exercise: “Using Urban Indicators for DRR and Resilience to assess risk management situation in own cities”.

Plenary Discussion: “Presenting Group Work Outcomes”

Achieved Outcomes: During this Module Sessions participants had a chance to

- Learn and practice as part of the group exercise the main steps for undertaken a diagnosis and assessment process
- Understand how the MCR tools can contribute to the development of a DRM diagnosis and assessment

Summary of the Content and Group Discussions: In this Module had a chance to discuss:

- Why preparing a diagnosis and assessment of DRM gaps, challenges and opportunities
- The preparation process to undertake the diagnosis and assessment
- The suggested steps to undertake the diagnosis and assessment of the disaster risk management situation at the local level
• The possibilities offered by the Local Urban Indicators for DRR and Resilience, to identify the city’s gaps, challenges and opportunities surrounding disaster risk management
• The development of the diagnosis and assessment report

DAY 2

Module 4: Mainstreaming Climate Change Adaptation and Disaster Reduction into Development and Sectoral Programmes for Socio-Economic Development with Case Studies on Following Sectors: Governance, Finance, Infrastructure, Climate Change Adaptation, and Health (Parts 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5)

Plenary Discussion: “Sharing participants own experience on sectoral programmes”

Achieved Outcomes: During this Module Sessions participants had a chance to
• Learn more and better understand the process of Mainstreaming Climate Change Adaptation and Disaster Reduction into Development and Sectoral Programmes for Socio-Economic Development with Case Studies and particular focus on following Sectors: Governance, Finance, Infrastructure, Climate Change Adaptation, and Health

Summary of the Content and Group Discussions:
• The session provided an opportunity to expose participants to various options to “build back better” after a disaster through a wide array of strategies and actions, which may serve as options for local governments to adopt, if it suits to their respective contexts. The lessons on recovery, as compiled by UNISDR ONEA/GETI from various experiences around the globe, provided an additional input to build on the existing initiatives of the local governments.
• The case studies of this module covered and provided practical information on different sectors of DRR and CCA. Lessons drawn from specific case will be explained by resource persons/consultants via PowerPoint presentations.
• Each case study highlighted a unique practice, designed to entice participants to reflect and analyse whether such practice is applicable to their contexts.
Module 5: Developing and Implementing Safe and Resilient Country/City Action Plan

Working Group Discussion: Working Group Discussion to develop Draft version of Safe and Resilient Country/City Action Plan (Part 1) and (Part 2)

Plenary Discussion: “Presenting Group Work Outcomes”

Achieved Outcomes: During this Module Sessions participants had a chance to

- Understand how disaster risk reduction can be integrated into land use management planning
- Identify and describe main components for the preparation of a land use management plan, integrating disaster risk management
- Define and describe main elements to be considered in the plan’s implementation strategy

Summary of the Content and Group Discussions:

- Disaster risk reduction is a cross cutting concept; achieving risk reduction objectives should be seen as a process with short, medium and long term milestones
- The disaster risk management plan is realized through actions implemented by the different development programs carried out by national and local governments
- A key component of the action plan is the definition of qualitative and/or quantitative goal allowing for the monitoring and assessment of progress

DAY 3


Working Group Discussion: “Developing Draft version of Safe and Resilient Country/City Action Plan (Completing M& E - Indicators Section of the Action Plan, Timetable and Responsible Structures part)”.

Plenary Discussion: “Presenting Group Work Outcomes”

Achieved Outcomes: During this Module Sessions participants had a chance to

- Understand the different type of indicators and define their usability in the context of disaster risk
reduction

- Describe the steps suggested for the development of a monitoring and evaluation strategy
- Identify and add success indicators to the Action Plan key objectives and activities

Summary of the Content and Group Discussions:

- Indicators are the key components of a monitoring strategy for the resilient city action plan
- The Local Urban Indicators for DRR and Resilience can support the monitoring of the resilient city action plan
- A fundamental component of the monitoring strategy is the definition of actors/stakeholders and their roles and responsibilities
- A monitoring and evaluation strategy should include a timeline for its implementation. Indicators should reflect the specific timeline

V. Evaluation of the Training Workshop on Resilient City Action Plan Development

The training was evaluated by a questionnaire in a Likert scale format. All the open ended items in the evaluation form were analyzed using simple content analyses techniques.

Analysis: The Safe and Resilient City Action Plan Development training workshop in general had a very positive evaluation from respondents. For instance, all participants who responded to the first item reported having learnt something new that they did not know prior to the training program. As shown in the table with bars below, 100% of the valid responses reported having learnt something new in the training. This is an indication that the training methods were appropriate for the workshop target audience and the content meet the needs of the participants.

Overall rating of the Safe and Resilient City Action Plan Development training workshop content and training course 7 Modules with their group exercises and the content is “Very good” with total average mark of 4.2 out of 5. Participants affirmed that all key projected outputs of the workshop were achieved and they rated them with total average mark of 4.2 (Agree) out of 5 (Strongly Agree).
The overall rating for the workshop content, delivery and organisation was marked as “**Very good**” with total mark of **4.2 out of 5**. The overall organisation of the logistics was marked as “**Very good**” with total mark of **4.1 out of 5**. The most useful parts of the workshop according to participants were that it provided needed information and practical skills on how to use MCR tools and proposed approach to develop Safe and Resilient City Action Plan, methodology used, practicality of group exercises and discussions, opportunity to share their own countries/cities experience and establishment of personal contacts.

*Note: Summary Assessment Analysis Sheet is available upon request.*

---

**WORKSHOP FINAL EVALUATION SHEET**

*We need your help in improving our work - knowing if these forums are useful to you is an important indicator in this respect. Therefore, we kindly request you to fill in this evaluation sheet and hand it to us at the end of the last day of the workshop.*

Please answer by ticking a case accordingly:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No impact</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I had an opportunity to discuss the challenges and trend in urban risk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and risk reduction.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I developed better understand Making Cities Resilient (MCR) “My City</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>is Getting Ready” Global Campaign and the MCR Campaign Tools.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I developed a better understanding and learned how to use Local</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Self-Assessment Tool (LG-SAT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I gained new ideas on approaches to to develop a Risk Based City</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Use Management Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I gained new ideas and developed a better understanding on how to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prepare Safe and Resilient City Action Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I had an opportunity to learn on new approaches on how to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>effectively monitor and evaluate the Safe and Resilient City Action</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I established new contacts and gained new ideas from my colleagues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>from other countries.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Very good</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Acceptable</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OVERALL rating of the workshop content.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVERALL event organization and logistics.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Overall strengths of the Workshop**

**Overall weaknesses of the Workshop**

**General comments**

**Other suggestions for the UNISDR ONEA/GETI**

---

**What did you find most useful about this workshop (please tick):**

a) The provision of information
   _____

b) Discussions
   _____

c) The contacts
   _____

d) The working methods
   _____ Other

(please comment):
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**ANNEX # 1: Agenda of the Workshop**

### Workshop Goal

To increase social demand and political commitment for integrating disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation into overall economic and social planning processes, and to promote the establishment of sustained human resources capacity development (pool of experts and trainers) for further adaptation and dissemination of the methodology.

### Workshop Objectives

To mainstream DRR and CCA into national development processes (such as national development plans, policies, strategies, and programmes), and in priority sectors (such as governance, financial services, critical infrastructure, housing and land use planning, environment, education, health, etc.) using the UNISDR Global Campaign methodology, tools and templates based on the 10 Essentials.

To develop 3 days intensive Training Course with tools and Implementation Support Package (based on UNISDR 10 Essentials) for national and local government officials, to be replicated and disseminated locally by partners, through trained experts and trainers, in the host country.

### Agenda

**Monday, April 25, 2016**

- 08:00 - 10:00 Registration
- 10:00 - 10:30 Welcome speech and National Disaster Risk Assessment and Early Warning for the UN Government
- 10:30 - 10:35 Coffee break
- 10:35 - 11:00 Presentation: "Threats and Volumes in Urbanization and Emerging Cities - Resilience Policy Discussion"
- 11:00 - 11:20 Presentation: "Integrating Urban Cities Resilience (UCR) into National Campaign and Campaign Tools: UNISDR Campaigns with Case Studies"
- 11:20 - 11:30 Presentation: "Applying the NAM Tool Assessment and Diagnosis: Urban Cities Resilience Assessment Tool"
- 11:30 - 12:00 Working Group Discussion: "Using Cities Resilience Assessment tools to assess the management situation in own cities"
- 12:00 - 12:30 Coffee break
- 12:30 - 12:50 Working Group Discussion: "Using Cities Resilience Assessment tools to assess the management situation in own cities"

**Tuesday, April 26, 2016**

- 9:30 - 11:30 Presentation: "Adapting DRR as Sectoral Programs for Urban Resilience: Development of Case Studies of Governance, Finance, Infrastructure, Cities, Disasters, Adaptation and Health, Essentials 1, 3, 4, 5, and 6"
- 11:30 - 11:45 Panel Discussion: "Sharing participants own experience on Sectoral Programs"
- 11:45 - 11:50 Presentation: "Developing and Implementing Safe and Resilient City Action Plans"
- 11:50 - 12:00 Working Group Discussion: "Developing Draft Safe and Resilient City Action Plans"
- 12:00 - 12:30 Lunch break
- 12:30 - 13:00 Maintaining DRR & SDG Sectoral Programs for Urban Resilience Development with Case Studies on Housing, Land Use Planning, Environment, Water, and Infrastructure Essentials 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10"
- 13:00 - 13:15 Coffee break
- 13:15 - 13:30 Working Group Discussion to develop draft version of Safe Resilient City Action Plan (Essentials 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 only)
- 13:30 - 13:45 Panel Discussion: "Presenting Group Work Outcomes"

**Wednesday, April 27, 2016**

- 09:30 - 11:30 Working Group Discussion to develop draft version of Safe Resilient City Action Plan (Essentials 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 only)
- 11:30 - 11:45 Coffee break
- 11:45 - 13:00 Working Group Discussion to develop draft version of Safe Resilient City Action Plan (Essentials 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10)
- 13:00 - 13:15 Panel Discussion: "Presenting Group Work Outcomes"
### ANNEX # 2: Participants list and list of “trainers”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R. Br.</th>
<th>Institucija/JP/PS</th>
<th>Sektor/Departman</th>
<th>Radno mjesto</th>
<th>Ime</th>
<th>Prezime</th>
<th>Adresa</th>
<th>Grad</th>
<th>E-mail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DUZS</td>
<td>nadzorna za postove</td>
<td>Zavida</td>
<td>Simac</td>
<td></td>
<td>Zagreb</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:zavida.simac@duzs.hr">zavida.simac@duzs.hr</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>DUZS</td>
<td>suradnik za postove</td>
<td>Zavida</td>
<td>Holinger</td>
<td></td>
<td>Zagreb</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:natasa.holinger@duzs.hr">natasa.holinger@duzs.hr</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PUZS Varazdin (inspektor)</td>
<td>inspektor</td>
<td>Tomislav</td>
<td>Dolic</td>
<td></td>
<td>Varazdin</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:tomislav.dolic@puzs.hr">tomislav.dolic@puzs.hr</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>PUZS Cakovec</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ladislav</td>
<td>Soklic</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cakovec</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:ladislav.soklic@puzs.hr">ladislav.soklic@puzs.hr</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Varaždinsku županiju</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tomislav</td>
<td>Jarmic</td>
<td></td>
<td>Varazdin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# ANNEX # 3: Resilient Cities Action Plan

## SAFE AND RESILIENT CITY ACTION PLAN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City/ LG Name</th>
<th>Multiple</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal Point</td>
<td>Group 1,3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Breakdown of Objectives, Activities and Indicators for Each of MCR Campaign 10 Essentials

**Essential 1: Organise for disaster resilience**

Put in place an organisational structure to identify the necessary processes to understand and act on reducing exposure, its impact and vulnerability to natural hazards. Recognising that this essential integrates well with actions 1 and 2, especially in relation to coordinating the response and setting up the framework for post-event planning and preparation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>OBJECTIVE/ WORK AREA</th>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>INDICATORS</th>
<th>TIMEFRAME</th>
<th>RESPONSIBILITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Implement risk factors into the city strategy</td>
<td>City disaster management meeting - Reviewing existing strategies - Designing a new city disaster risk reduction strategy which is part of the City vision - Building awareness and marketing city resilience with attractive key words</td>
<td>- City disaster management meeting - Reviewing existing strategies - Designing a new city disaster risk reduction strategy which is part of the City vision - Building awareness and marketing city resilience with attractive key words</td>
<td>Do urge 2018</td>
<td>Kabinet stolica - gradski vijece</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Establish relevant authority and resource to coordinate response and post-event planning and preparation</td>
<td>Authority is established with good legal framework - New legal framework on national level for the local level - New project for an additional financial reserve</td>
<td>Authority is established with good legal framework - New legal framework on national level for the local level - New project for an additional financial reserve</td>
<td>Do urge 2018</td>
<td>Kabinet stolica - gradski vijece</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Early warning pre-event</td>
<td>Authority to the local government</td>
<td>Authority to the local government</td>
<td>Do urge 2018</td>
<td>Gradsko vijece</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Early warning of response</td>
<td>Obligatory quarterly exercises on the local level with national level - Inclusive plan for emergency relief assistance</td>
<td>Obligatory quarterly exercises on the local level with national level - Inclusive plan for emergency relief assistance</td>
<td>Do urge 2018</td>
<td>Gradsko vijece</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>