



Ain Draham municipality, Tunisia

Local progress report on the implementation of the Hyogo Framework for Action (2013-2014)

Mayor: ARIDHI Hassen
Name of focal point: Hassan aaradi
Organization: Ain Draham municipality
Title/Position: ????? ??? ?????? ??? ??????
E-mail address: commune_aindrahem@yahoo.fr
Telephone: 78655801

Reporting period: 2013-2014
Last updated on: 29 January 2015
Print date: 29 January 2015
Reporting language: English

A Local HFA Monitor update published by PreventionWeb
<http://www.preventionweb.net/english/hyogo/progress/reports/>

Priority for Action 1

Ensure that disaster reduction is a national and local priority with a strong institutional basis for implementation

Core Indicator 1.1

National policy and legal framework for disaster risk reduction exists with decentralized responsibilities and capacities at all levels.

How well are local organizations (including local government) equipped with capacities (knowledge, experience, official mandate) for disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Description of Progress & Achievements:

The municipality is available on a few of the administrative and technical tires but they do not have sufficient experience and knowledge and skill in the field of disaster risk reduction, in addition to the lack of a significant tradition in the preparation of various local programs for disaster risk reduction and will fall to work on the municipality administration and civil society formation for the development of responsibilities among the various actors.

To what extent do partnerships exist between communities, private sector and local authorities to reduce risk?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

There are currently no effective partnership between the municipality and the private sector except for the intervention and help of the private sector in the field of rescue

and the provision of equipment and supplies and aid for those affected and lift the isolation of the affected areas. The local organizations working to intervene in the relief and rescue phase, but will fall in the future to work on socialization and civil society organizations and the private sector for disaster risk reduction and reduce the damage caused by natural disasters.

Core Indicator 1.2

Dedicated and adequate resources are available to implement disaster risk reduction plans and activities at all administrative levels.

How far does the local government have access to adequate financial resources to carry out risk reduction activities?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

The municipality allocates from this year a small envelope of financial resources and not enough to really conduct risk reduction activities but these means it is a step to start and is involved in the global companion

To what degree does the local government allocate sufficient financial resources to carry out DRR activities, including effective disaster response and recovery?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

limited resources

Core Indicator 1.3

Community participation and decentralization are ensured through the delegation of authority and resources to local levels.

How much does the local government support vulnerable local communities (particularly women, elderly, infirmed, children) to actively participate in risk reduction decision-making, policy making, planning and implementation processes?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Citizens, organizations, civil society and public speakers located throughout the stages of preparation of plans and programs and implementation to determine the attitudes , opinions and comments on the reality of the city and their contributions to scrutinize the widgets in order to ensure the successful implementation of the programs.

To what extent does the local government provide training in risk reduction for local officials and community leaders?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

not yet, but there is a program in 2015

How regularly does the local government conduct awareness-building or education programs on DRR and disaster preparedness for local communities?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Programs include cultural diversity issues	No
Programs are sensitive to gender perspectives	No

Description of Progress & Achievements:

not yet, but there is a program in 2015

Core Indicator 1.4

A national multi-sectoral platform for disaster risk reduction is functioning.

To what extent does the local government participate in the national DRR planning?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Municipality take part in the preparation of investment schemes and development programs located but in a decent and uncertain.

Priority for Action 2

Identify, assess and monitor disaster risks and enhance early warning

Core Indicator 2.1

National and local risk assessments based on hazard data and vulnerability information are available and include risk.

To what degree does the local government conducted thorough disaster risk assessments for key vulnerable development sectors in your local authority?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

No currently available tools for risk assessment

To what extent are these risk assessments regularly updated, e.g. annually or on a bi-annual basis?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Not available at the present time

How well are disaster risk assessments incorporated into all relevant local development planning on a consistent basis?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Municipality is working with the interests of the Civil Protection and the state structures to adopt early warning plan to familiarize citizens with emergency and evacuation shelters and the location of a private health services, people with chronic diseases and financial support in coordination with civil society structures plan

To what extent have local schools, hospitals and health facilities received special attention for "all hazard" risk assessments in your local authority?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Schools	Yes
Hospitals/ health facilities	Yes

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Schools, hospitals and health facilities have received special attention to risk assessments "all hazard" in the town and there are special committees grouping the different departments who visit these institutions periodically and permanently way to assess risk and define how intervention and development.

How safe are all main schools, hospitals and health facilities from disasters so that they have the ability to remain operational during emergencies?

Level of Progress achieved: 3



Schools	Yes
---------	-----

Hospitals/ health facilities

Yes

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Most schools, hospitals and major health facilities are still threatened by disaster risks and they do not have the ability to remain operational during emergencies

Core Indicator 2.2

Systems are in place to monitor, archive and disseminate data on key hazards and vulnerabilities.

-- No questions related to local context --

Core Indicator 2.3

Early warning systems are in place for all major hazards, with outreach to communities.

To what extent are early warning centres established, adequately staffed (or on-call personnel) and well resourced (power back ups, equipment redundancy etc) at all times?

Level of Progress achieved: 4

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Due to the limited self municipal resources, it rarely is a budget for local development for disaster management, but there are attempts to risk assessment, early warning and communication, education and monitoring through the creation of associations of volunteers and composition of lifting the level of education for more and thus respond more effectively and preparedness opportunities and reduce disaster risk.

How much do warning systems allow for adequate community participation?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

There are several systems to warn the community and essentially precise alert that is based on the information to the public, the press releases ...

Core Indicator 2.4

National and local risk assessments take account of regional/trans-boundary risks, with a view to regional cooperation on risk reduction.

How well are local government risk assessments linked to, and supportive of, risk assessments from neighbouring local authorities and state or provincial government risk management plans?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

It can be said that all the local development programs is prepared as the risks to the city and thus the goal of the programs minimize the seriousness

Priority for Action 3

Use knowledge, innovation and education to build a culture of safety and resilience at all levels

Core Indicator 3.1

Relevant information on disasters is available and accessible at all levels, to all stakeholders (through networks, development of information sharing systems, etc).

How regularly does the local government communicate to the community, information on local hazard trends and risk reduction measures (e.g. using a Risk Communications Plan) including early warnings of likely hazard impact?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

The municipality uses to this day the early warning system through direct information and press releases and coordination with NGOs and this is just to prevent and not to involve to take measures to reduce risks or developing risk of local trends

Core Indicator 3.2

School curricula, education material and relevant trainings include disaster risk reduction and recovery concepts and practices.

To what degree do local schools and colleges include courses, education or training in disaster risk reduction (including climate related risks) as part of the education curriculum?

Level of Progress achieved: 4

Description of Progress & Achievements:

There are courses of education and training in disaster risk reduction (including climate-related risks) as part of the national education program, there are no specific programs to the region. it is a subject matter at the national level.

Core Indicator 3.3

Research methods and tools for multi-risk assessments and cost benefit analysis are developed and strengthened.

-- No questions related to local context --

Core Indicator 3.4

Countrywide public awareness strategy exists to stimulate a culture of disaster resilience, with outreach to urban and rural communities.

-- No questions related to local context --

Priority for Action 4

Reduce the underlying risk factors

Core Indicator 4.1

Disaster risk reduction is an integral objective of environment related policies and plans, including for land use, natural resource management and adaptation to climate change.

How well integrated are local government DRR policies, strategies and implementation plans with existing environmental development and natural resource management plans?

Level of Progress achieved: 4

Description of Progress & Achievements:

For years local DRR policies, strategies and implementation plans are integrated with the development of the environment and natural resources management plans through existing services concerned and the obligation to have a preliminary study for the impact of certain projects on the environment

How far do land use policies and planning regulations for housing and development infrastructure take current and projected disaster risk (including climate related risks) into account?

Level of Progress achieved: 4

Housing	Yes
Communication	No
Transportation	Yes

Description of Progress & Achievements:

The land use planning regulations and policies for infrastructure and housing allow for natural disaster risk (including climate-related risks) in a strict manner and the requirement to work with various ministries, regionally and locals, such as civil protection, urban water management, agriculture, forestry services,

How well are risk-sensitive land use regulations and building codes, health and safety codes enforced across all development zones and building types?

Level of Progress achieved: 4

Description of Progress & Achievements:

The whole area is characterized by sensible regulations of land use risk and building codes according to its natural characteristics of each parity communal field, and health and safety codes applied in these areas and building types are defined according to the nature of the area and its own characteristics

How strong are existing regulations (e.g. land use plans, building codes etc) to support disaster risk reduction in your local authority?

Level of Progress achieved: 5

Description of Progress & Achievements:

There is a significant legislative support that strengthens enforcement of existing regulations (eg, land use plans, building codes, etc.) to support the reduction of

disaster risk in the municipality. But after 2011 there was an anarchic operating cash flows of land by citizens and poses a problem for the municipality to stop these actions to remedy the situation

To what degree does the local government support the restoration, protection and sustainable management of ecosystems services?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Forests	Yes
Coastal zones	No
Wetlands	Yes
Water resources	Yes
River basins	Yes
Fisheries	No

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Local government is at an important problem for the restoration, protection and sustainable management of ecosystem services because of the incidents of the revolution that generate a lot of violations and indiscriminate use of land, it is a challenge for the municipality for restore what is damaged

How much do civil society organizations and citizens participate in the restoration, protection and sustainable management of ecosystems services?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

No much, and we hope that will improve in the future

How much does the private sector participate in the implementation of environmental and ecosystems management plans in your local authority?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

No much, and we hope that will improve in the future

Core Indicator 4.2

Social development policies and plans are being implemented to reduce the vulnerability of populations most at risk.

What is the scope of financial services (e.g. saving and credit schemes, macro and micro-insurance) available to vulnerable and marginalised households for pre- disaster times?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

No much, and we hope that will improve in the future

To what extent are micro finance, cash aid, soft loans, lone



guarantees etc available to affected households after disasters to restart livelihoods?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

No much, and we hope that will improve in the future

Core Indicator 4.3

Economic and productive sectorial policies and plans have been implemented to reduce the vulnerability of economic activities.

How well established are economic incentives for investing in disaster risk reduction for households and businesses (e.g. reduced insurance premiums for households, tax holidays for businesses)?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

No much, and we hope that will improve in the future

To what extent do local business associations, such as chambers of commerce and similar, support efforts of small enterprises for business continuity during and after disasters?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

No much, and we hope that will improve in the future

Core Indicator 4.4

Planning and management of human settlements incorporate disaster risk reduction elements, including enforcement of building codes.

How adequately are critical public facilities and infrastructure located in high risk areas assessed for all hazard risks and safety?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Public facilities and infrastructure in high-risk areas are evaluated for all risk and danger safety and the development of géotechniques studies before the achievement of works

How adequate are the measures that are being undertaken to protect critical public facilities and infrastructure from damage during disasters?

Level of Progress achieved: 4

Description of Progress & Achievements:

There are several measures taken to protect essential public facilities (schools and health) and infrastructure against damage disaster for the recovery of services and the normal state of daily life and it is the State who supported the costs of rehabilitation

Core Indicator 4.5

Disaster risk reduction measures are integrated into post disaster recovery and rehabilitation processes.

How well are disaster risk reduction measures integrated into post-disaster recovery and rehabilitation activities (i.e. build back better, livelihoods rehabilitation)?

Level of Progress achieved: 4

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Risk reduction measures integrated into the recovery and post-disaster rehabilitation activities in denières years (ie build back better, rehabilitation livelihoods) are more reliable and take account of the studies and approfondues allocation of credits to not only stay in a vicious circle

Core Indicator 4.6

Procedures are in place to assess the disaster risk impacts of major development projects, especially infrastructure.

To what degree do local government or other levels of government have special programs in place to regularly assess schools, hospitals and health facilities for maintenance, compliance with building codes, general safety, weather-related risks etc.?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Schools	Yes
Hospitals/ health facilities	Yes

Description of Progress & Achievements:

There are periodic visits during the year to regularly evaluate schools, hospitals and health facilities for maintenance, compliance with building codes, general safety, weather-related risks

Priority for Action 5

Strengthen disaster preparedness for effective response at all levels

Core Indicator 5.1

Strong policy, technical and institutional capacities and mechanisms for disaster risk management, with a disaster risk reduction perspective are in place.

-- No questions related to local context --

Core Indicator 5.2

Disaster preparedness plans and contingency plans are in place at all administrative levels, and regular training drills and rehearsals are held to test and develop disaster response programmes.

How regularly are training drills and rehearsal carried out with the participation of relevant government, non-governmental, local leaders and volunteers?

Level of Progress achieved: 4

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Training and rehearsal exercises it is called white operations are carried out at least twice a year under the aegis of the services of civil protection and participation of various departments, local leaders, NGOs and volunteers

How available are key resources for effective response, such as emergency supplies, emergency shelters, identified evacuation routes and contingency plans at all times?

Level of Progress achieved: 4

Stockpiles of relief supplies	Yes
Emergency shelters	Yes
Safe evacuation routes identified	Yes
Contingency plan or community disaster preparedness plan for all major hazards	Yes

Description of Progress & Achievements:

There are different plans: blue plan for floods and Falls of snow, yellow plan for forest fires, and other plans for other risks and determine a way minitieuuse the role of each party, the means available materials, human resources, shelter locations, evacuation routes, ...
 These plans are updated periodically as the availability of partners

To what extent does the local government have an emergency operations centre (EOC) and/or an emergency communication system?

Level of Progress achieved: 4

Description of Progress & Achievements:

The local committee has every time the building of one of the existing departments as an emergency operations center to conduct operations and interventions

How aware are citizens of evacuation plans or drills for evacuations when necessary?

Level of Progress achieved: 4

Description of Progress & Achievements:

There was not a problem for the subject, except sometimes some victims do not want to go and leave his property and animals

To what degree does the Contingency Plan (or similar plan) include an outline strategy for post disaster recovery and reconstruction, including needs assessments and livelihoods rehabilitation?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Description of Progress & Achievements:

It is there, and all partners are aware of how to do so without

How far are regular disaster preparedness drills undertaken in schools, hospitals and health facilities?

Level of Progress achieved: 4

Schools	Yes
Hospitals/ health facilities	Yes

Description of Progress & Achievements:

The disaster preparedness exercises conducted in schools, hospitals and health facilities are regular and permanent manner, and before every season

Core Indicator 5.3

Financial reserves and contingency mechanisms are in place to support effective response and recovery when required.

To what degree do local institutions have access to financial reserves to support effective disaster response and early recovery?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

it's little, but we try to get state aid

How much access does the local government have to resources and expertise to assist victims of psycho-social (psychological, emotional) impacts of disasters?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

There are currently an important and accurate interventions in this area

Core Indicator 5.4

Procedures are in place to exchange relevant information during hazard events and disasters, and to undertake post-event reviews.

-- No questions related to local context --