Yapen, Indonesia

Local progress report on the implementation of the Hyogo Framework for Action (2013-2014)

Name of focal point:	**Yusniar Nurdin**
Organization:	**BNPB**
Title/Position:	**Technical Support Consultant**
E-mail address:	**yusniar.nurdin@gmail.com**
Telephone:	**+6281360495899**

Reporting period:	**2013-2014**
Last updated on:	**11 December 2014**
Print date:	**11 December 2014**
Reporting language:	**English**

Priority for Action 1

Ensure that disaster reduction is a national and local priority with a strong institutional basis for implementation

---

Core Indicator 1.1
National policy and legal framework for disaster risk reduction exists with decentralized responsibilities and capacities at all levels.

How well are local organizations (including local government) equipped with capacities (knowledge, experience, official mandate) for disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

The organizational for disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation is establishing at the area and equipped with some local regulations. Capacity of local agencies needs to be enhanced to increase their performance on carry out DRR and CCA functions.

To what extent do partnerships exist between communities, private sector and local authorities to reduce risk?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Very lack of private sectors partnership with communities and local government in disaster risk activities. A forum to engage those parties is need to establish at the area and local government to play intense roles on it.

Core Indicator 1.2

Dedicated and adequate resources are available to implement disaster risk reduction plans and activities at all administrative levels.

How far does the local government have access to adequate financial resources to carry out risk reduction activities?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

The allocation budget for disaster risk reduction only available at general post allocation of local government. More budget allocation is requires to include on each Officials for risk reduction activities.

To what degree does the local government allocate sufficient financial resources to carry out DRR activities, including effective disaster response and recovery?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

No specific budget allocation available at Officials for DRR activities include disaster response and effective early recovery.

Core Indicator 1.3

Community participation and decentralization are ensured through the delegation of authority and resources to local levels.

How much does the local government support vulnerable local communities (particularly women, elderly, infirmed, children) to actively participate in risk reduction decision-making, policy making, planning and implementation processes?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

There is only sub district Raimbawi from 14 sub district at the area involving local community on environment protection issues and natural resources mining impact on local development planning. Local government need to encourage more community participation especially vulnerable group on local development process.

To what extent does the local government provide training in risk reduction for local officials and community leaders?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Only a small number of local officials receive the disaster risk reduction training and limited to community leaders. The Local DM Office need to develop their strategic plan and include the DRR training for officials and community leaders.

How regularly does the local government conduct awareness-building or education programs on DRR and disaster preparedness for local communities?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Programs include cultural diversity issues	Yes

Programs are sensitive to gender   Yes
perspectives

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Officials collaborate on awareness raising program on socialization and training for community. Coverage area at of these activities is limited by transportation facilities since the area is hilly and need specific transportation to reach. Additional budget is need to allocate to increase the coverage area of activities and more Officials to include the awareness raising and community preparedness into their program.

Core Indicator 1.4
A national multi-sectoral platform for disaster risk reduction is functioning.

To what extent does the local government participate in the national DRR planning?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Local government not yet actively involve on national DRR planning, only limited participation on the national event. The Local Disaster Management Office (BPBD) requires to develop its strategic plan.

Priority for Action 2

Identify, assess and monitor disaster risks and enhance early warning

Core Indicator 2.1
National and local risk assessments based on hazard data and vulnerability information are available and include risk.

To what degree does the local government conducted thorough disaster risk assessments for key vulnerable development sectors in your local authority?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Some of hazard profiles are available among others landslide, high tide, typhoon, flood, earthquake and tsunami. The related Officials need to conduct risk assessment on key vulnerable development such as school and hospital. The safe building principles need to apply at the area since its experienced on huge construction collapsed by earthquake in 2010.

To what extent are these risk assessments regularly updated, e.g. annually or on a bi-annual basis?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Some of risk assessment renewal regularly by Officials such as flood risk by Public Work and weather information by Meteorology and Climatology Agency (BMKG). Other risk assessment need to be regularly updated by Officials for local development guideline.

How well are disaster risk assessments incorporated into all relevant local development planning on a consistent basis?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

No data activities available to be evaluated on this issues

To what extent have local schools, hospitals and health facilities received special attention for "all hazard" risk assessments in your local authority?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Schools	No
Hospitals/ health facilities	No

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Local government not initiated yet multi-risk assessment for school and hospital/health facilities. Lack of DRR comprehension from related parties make the safety of these facilities not as priority on development plan.

How safe are all main schools, hospitals and health facilities from disasters so that they have the ability to remain operational during emergencies?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Schools	No
Hospitals/ health facilities	No

Description of Progress & Achievements:

The initiation of development process for school and hospital/health facilities not considered risk aspect. The Building Codes regulation needs to be renewal and include the risk assessment on these facilities construction.

Core Indicator 2.2
Systems are in place to monitor, archive and disseminate data on key hazards and vulnerabilities.

-- No questions related to local context --

Core Indicator 2.3
Early warning systems are in place for all major hazards, with outreach to communities.

To what extent are early warning centres established, adequately staffed (or on-call personnel) and well resourced (power back ups, equipment redundancy etc) at all times?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

The early warning system established at the area is limited. More improvement is important to increase the area capacity for disaster preparedness. The Government Radio actively use their line to broadcast the disaster early warning information

receives from related institution. Lack of budget and Local DM Office not yet develop its Strategic Plan makes difficulties to access fund for establishment of adequate early warning center.

How much do warning systems allow for adequate community participation?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

No progress achieve on community participation for early warning system. Community using local wisdom and nature signs to detect pre disaster event.

Core Indicator 2.4
National and local risk assessments take account of regional/trans-boundary risks, with a view to regional cooperation on risk reduction.

How well are local government risk assessments linked to, and supportive of, risk assessments from neighbouring local authorities and state or provincial government risk management plans?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

No data activities available to be evaluated on this issues

Priority for Action 3

Use knowledge, innovation and education to build a culture of safety and resilience at all levels

Core Indicator 3.1

Relevant information on disasters is available and accessible at all levels, to all stakeholders (through networks, development of information sharing systems, etc).

How regularly does the local government communicate to the community, information on local hazard trends and risk reduction measures (e.g. using a Risk Communications Plan) including early warnings of likely hazard impact?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Irregular information spread out conducted by some Officials depend on existing hazards to communities. Community preparedness activities for communities is important to be included into local government program. Local Government needs to develop contingency plan and identify the evacuation route, temporary shelter for community. Herewith the budget allocation is requires as well as increasing number of Local DM Office and its human capacity.

Core Indicator 3.2

School curricula, education material and relevant trainings include disaster risk reduction and recovery concepts and practices.

To what degree do local schools and colleges include courses, education or training in disaster risk reduction (including climate related risks) as part of the education curriculum?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

The DRR principles not mainstreaming yet into local school curriculum.

Core Indicator 3.3
Research methods and tools for multi-risk assessments and cost benefit analysis are developed and strengthened.

-- No questions related to local context --

Core Indicator 3.4
Countrywide public awareness strategy exists to stimulate a culture of disaster resilience, with outreach to urban and rural communities.

-- No questions related to local context --

Priority for Action 4

Reduce the underlying risk factors

Core Indicator 4.1
Disaster risk reduction is an integral objective of environment related policies and plans, including for land use, natural resource management and adaptation to climate change.

How well integrated are local government DRR policies, strategies and implementation plans with existing environmental development and natural resource management plans?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Some local regulation are applicable to support local development link to environment and natural resources management. The local government attention is needs to solve the problem of community land ownership in dispute with political and private sectors interest. Local government plan to keep the implementation of reforestation by distributes 25. 000 seedlings to community

How far do land use policies and planning regulations for housing and development infrastructure take current and projected disaster risk (including climate related risks) into account?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Housing	Yes
Communication	No
Transportation	No

Energy   No

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Local regulation on Building Codes is issued and it is frequently violated on its implementation. Weak law enforcement is the main problem found out as well as its human capacity. Local government needs to apply the regulation without tendency to facilitate some parties interest.

How well are risk-sensitive land use regulations and building codes, health and safety codes enforced across all development zones and building types?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Some regulations to accommodate disaster risk reduction issues by local government among others Land Use, Building Codes and the existence of Local Land Use Management Body. Some of violations are found out on land use which legalize with permit issued by related institution. Strong law enforcement and punishment need to apply to minimize the violation of regulation on land use and building codes.

How strong are existing regulations (e.g. land use plans, building codes etc) to support disaster risk reduction in your local authority?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Inconsistency of local regulation enforcement and lack of community and other

development actors hinder the implementation of disaster risk reduction at the area.

To what degree does the local government support the restoration, protection and sustainable management of ecosystems services?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Forests	Yes
Coastal zones	Yes
Wetlands	No
Water resources	Yes
River basins	Yes
Fisheries	No

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Program for 2 hectares tree planting as annual program is carry out, mangrove planting to reduce sea abrasion and water retaining construction. Project base activities limited the participation of community on environment program. The exit strategy need to set up the continuation of program by local government and other stakeholders.

How much do civil society organizations and citizens participate in the restoration, protection and sustainable management of ecosystems services?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Community establish the group for planting 1000-1500 trees, unfortunately the activity of group is not sustainable caused by project basic.

How much does the private sector participate in the implementation of environmental and ecosystems management plans in your local authority?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Private sectors participation on environment and ecosystem management at the area is lack. A DRR network is need to establish to engage entire participation from stakeholders including private sector on enviroment and ecosystem issues

Core Indicator 4.2
Social development policies and plans are being implemented to reduce the vulnerability of populations most at risk.

What is the scope of financial services (e.g. saving and credit schemes, macro and micro-insurance) available to vulnerable and marginalised households for pre- disaster times?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

No government and other stakeholders available for financial services for vulnerable households prior disaster.

To what extent are micro finance, cash aid, soft loans, lone guarantees etc available to affected households after disasters to restart livelihoods?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

On-call budget is available for disaster takes place out of prediction (emergency case) but no budget allocates for financial resources for affected households to restart their livelihood activities post disaster.

Core Indicator 4.3

Economic and productive sectorial policies and plans have been implemented to reduce the vulnerability of economic activities.

How well established are economic incentives for investing in disaster risk reduction for households and businesses (e.g. reduced insurance premiums for households, tax holidays for businesses)?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

No development carry out on the issues. Local government need to support local DM office to develop its strategic plan

To what extent do local business associations, such as chambers of commerce and similar, support efforts of small enterprises for

business continuity during and after disasters?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

There are some local business association at the area but no participation on business sustainability support port disaster for small enterprises. Local government need to establish a database of local business association and encourage their participation on the program as well develop a partnership network on DRR.

Core Indicator 4.4
Planning and management of human settlements incorporate disaster risk reduction elements, including enforcement of building codes.

How adequately are critical public facilities and infrastructure located in high risk areas assessed for all hazard risks and safety?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Risk assessment not yet accommodate in Building Codes Regulation because it was issued prior to Land Use Regulation.

How adequate are the measures that are being undertaken to protect critical public facilities and infrastructure from damage during disasters?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

General maintanance conducted by Officials but there is no initiation takes into account to protect public facilities and vital infrastructures from disaster damages. Official need to have a joint plan on the purpose and allocate more budget on the maintenance

Core Indicator 4.5
Disaster risk reduction measures are integrated into post disaster recovery and rehabilitation processes.

How well are disaster risk reduction measures integrated into post-disaster recovery and rehabilitation activities (i.e. build back better, livelihoods rehabilitation)?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Local recovery and reconstruction not available, in the mean time local totally depends on province and national support caused by lack of budget and no disaster management available at the area.

Core Indicator 4.6
Procedures are in place to assess the disaster risk impacts of major development projects, especially infrastructure.

To what degree do local government or other levels of government have special programs in place to regularly assess schools, hospitals and health facilities for maintenance, compliance with building codes, general safety, weather-related risks etc.?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Schools	No
Hospitals/ health facilities	No

Description of Progress & Achievements:

No program available to regularly assess the safety of school, hospital and health facilities. Local government commitment is requires to support the regular safety assessment for these facilities on plan and budget aspect.

Priority for Action 5

Strengthen disaster preparedness for effective response at all levels

---

Core Indicator 5.1
Strong policy, technical and institutional capacities and mechanisms for disaster risk management, with a disaster risk reduction perspective are in place.

-- No questions related to local context --

Core Indicator 5.2
Disaster preparedness plans and contingency plans are in place at all administrative levels, and regular training drills and rehearsals are held to test and develop disaster response programmes.

How regularly are training drills and rehearsal carried out with the participation of relevant government, non-governmental, local leaders and volunteers?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

No activities conducted by local government for training drills and simulation.

How available are key resources for effective response, such as emergency supplies, emergency shelters, identified evacuation routes and contingency plans at all times?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Stockpiles of relief supplies	Yes
Emergency shelters	No
Safe evacuation routes identified	No
Contingency plan or community disaster preparedness plan for all major hazards	No

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Officials experiencing very limited facilities available to conduct effective response. Some tents available at SAR, Military and Social Ministry. No warehouse available to keep stockpiles for disaster response. Local government attention is essential to provide resources for effective response.

To what extent does the local government have an emergency operations centre (EOC) and/or an emergency communication system?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

No progress achieve for establishment of Emergency Operating Center. Radio Communication system available at Police and Military unit that operates in emergency situation.

How aware are citizens of evacuation plans or drills for evacuations when necessary?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Lack of socialization of evacuation aspect such as evacuation plan, evacuation route and evacuation sign cause no ownership from community. Some evacuation sign boards installed were broken. Officials need to implement socialization to enhance community participation on evacuation plan and drills.

To what degree does the Contingency Plan (or similar plan) include an outline strategy for post disaster recovery and reconstruction, including needs assessments and livelihoods rehabilitation?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

No contingency plan available at the area, the district strongly needs a good DRR comprehension from executive and legislative parties

How far are regular disaster preparedness drills undertaken in schools, hospitals and health facilities?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Schools	No
Hospitals/ health facilities	No

Description of Progress & Achievements:

No irregular and regular training drills and simulation conducted at school, hospital and health facilities at area.

Core Indicator 5.3

Financial reserves and contingency mechanisms are in place to support effective response and recovery when required.

To what degree do local institutions have access to financial reserves to support effective disaster response and early recovery?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Mostly of local Income and Expenditure (60%) spend out for routine activities and in general budget allocation of the district is small. The area has no reserve fund if disaster takes place. More additional budget allocation is require to be reserved for emergency response and early recovery.

How much access does the local government have to resources and expertise to assist victims of psycho-social (psychological, emotional) impacts of disasters?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Human resources is not available as well as supporting facilities caused by lack of local government budget. Support from non government parties is to be developed for availability of psycho social support for affected people.

Core Indicator 5.4

Procedures are in place to exchange relevant information during hazard events and disasters, and to undertake post-event reviews.

-- No questions related to local context --