Pandeglang District, Indonesia

Local progress report on the implementation of the Hyogo Framework for Action (2013-2014)

Name of focal point:	**Yusniar Nurdin**
Organization:	**BNPB**
Title/Position:	**Technical Support Consultant**
E-mail address:	**yusniar.nurdin@gmail.com**
Telephone:	**+6281360495899**

Reporting period:	**2013-2014**
Last updated on:	**29 November 2014**
Print date:	**05 December 2014**
Reporting language:	**English**

A Local HFA Monitor update published by PreventionWeb

http://www.preventionweb.net/english/hyogo/progress/reports/

Priority for Action 1

Ensure that disaster reduction is a national and local priority with a strong institutional basis for implementation

---

Core Indicator 1.1

National policy and legal framework for disaster risk reduction exists with decentralized responsibilities and capacities at all levels.

How well are local organizations (including local government) equipped with capacities (knowledge, experience, official mandate) for disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

The local government is equipped with competency on DRR and CCA but not yet comprehensive. Moreover, the commitment and capacity of the local government is still limited.

To what extent do partnerships exist between communities, private sector and local authorities to reduce risk?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

The local government has plan to involve communities and private sectors working in the area on DRR issue.

Core Indicator 1.2

Dedicated and adequate resources are available to implement disaster risk reduction plans and activities at all administrative levels.

How far does the local government have access to adequate financial resources to carry out risk reduction activities?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Financial resources access is still limited to the State Budget and Local Budget; no access to financial resources outside the government budget.

To what degree does the local government allocate sufficient financial resources to carry out DRR activities, including effective disaster response and recovery?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

There is budget allocation to be used for logistic, facilities, training/socialization and operational. However, the budget is still limited.

Core Indicator 1.3

Community participation and decentralization are ensured through the delegation of authority and resources to local levels.

How much does the local government support vulnerable local

communities (particularly women, elderly, infirmed, children) to actively participate in risk reduction decision-making, policy making, planning and implementation processes?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

The local government already has the concern and focused on the vulnerable groups in its budget allocation, yet still not involving the vulnerable groups in the policy-making process.

To what extent does the local government provide training in risk reduction for local officials and community leaders?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Officials not included the risk reduction training for official and community leaders because of limited budget and their lack comprehension on DRR importance on development process.

How regularly does the local government conduct awareness-building or education programs on DRR and disaster preparedness for local communities?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Programs include cultural diversity issues	Yes
Programs are sensitive to gender	Yes

perspectives

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Only a small number of budget allocated for awareness raising and community preparedness by Officials. Some of activities carry out under province and national program. Irregular community preparedness conducted sometimes conducted base on existing hazards. The Education Office need to allocate more budget on disaster awareness at school level.

Core Indicator 1.4

A national multi-sectoral platform for disaster risk reduction is functioning.

To what extent does the local government participate in the national DRR planning?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

There are local government initiatives to be involved in the DRR planning process at national level, but the involvement is still limited.

Priority for Action 2

Identify, assess and monitor disaster risks and enhance early warning

---

Core Indicator 2.1

National and local risk assessments based on hazard data and vulnerability information are available and include risk.

To what degree does the local government conducted thorough disaster risk assessments for key vulnerable development sectors in your local authority?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

The Local Disaster Management on progressing for risk assessment and riak map constrained limited budget, human resources and technology. The local government planning for to increase the accessibility toward risk assesment and disaster management plan.

To what extent are these risk assessments regularly updated, e.g. annually or on a bi-annual basis?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Related Officials not conduct regular update of available risk assessment data. Comprehension of local government define the direction of development planning as well as budget allocation. DRR will effectively implementing if the comprehension and budget is existing.

How well are disaster risk assessments incorporated into all relevant local development planning on a consistent basis?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Risk assessment is on progressing and temporary not adopted yet into local development plan.

To what extent have local schools, hospitals and health facilities received special attention for "all hazard" risk assessments in your local authority?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Schools	Yes
Hospitals/ health facilities	Yes

Description of Progress & Achievements:

No risk-assessment for schools and hospitals.

How safe are all main schools, hospitals and health facilities from disasters so that they have the ability to remain operational during emergencies?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Schools	No
Hospitals/ health facilities	No

Description of Progress & Achievements:

No assessment carry out on the safety level of school, hospital and health facilities.

Core Indicator 2.2

Systems are in place to monitor, archive and disseminate data on key hazards and vulnerabilities.

-- No questions related to local context --

Core Indicator 2.3

Early warning systems are in place for all major hazards, with outreach to communities.

To what extent are early warning centres established, adequately staffed (or on-call personnel) and well resourced (power back ups, equipment redundancy etc) at all times?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

An Emergency Operation and Early Warning Center is established but limited financial allocation, human resources capacity and technology constrained operational of the centers.

How much do warning systems allow for adequate community participation?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

The community actively involving on early warning system although limited area covered. The evacuation sign and evacuation route is developed and evacuation plan socialization is need to be carry out. The coverage area of early warning system urge for enlarge for better information spread to community.

Core Indicator 2.4

National and local risk assessments take account of regional/trans-boundary risks, with a view to regional cooperation on risk reduction.

How well are local government risk assessments linked to, and supportive of, risk assessments from neighbouring local authorities and state or provincial government risk management plans?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Right now the local government not yet link the risk assessment with neighbouring authorities. It is important to cooperate with other district as acknowledge the disaster occur across administrative border.

Priority for Action 3

Use knowledge, innovation and education to build a culture of safety and resilience at all levels

---

Core Indicator 3.1

Relevant information on disasters is available and accessible at all levels, to all stakeholders (through networks, development of information sharing systems, etc).

How regularly does the local government communicate to the community, information on local hazard trends and risk reduction measures (e.g. using a Risk Communications Plan) including early warnings of likely hazard impact?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Description of Progress & Achievements:

The Public Work Office regularly updates the information on flood hazard to community. The Meteorology and Climatology Agency regularly updates the weather information through Officials to be spread out to the community. The Local Disaster Management Agency implement the socialization on various hazards to community irregular depends on existing hazards.

Core Indicator 3.2

School curricula, education material and relevant trainings include disaster risk reduction and recovery concepts and practices.

To what degree do local schools and colleges include courses, education or training in disaster risk reduction (including climate related risks) as part of the education curriculum?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

No specific DRR issues adopted to school subject but in general the environment subject has integrated into school curriculum. Since the burden of school curriculum is already high, the DRR can be including to after school activities and adopted in general into some related subjects.

Core Indicator 3.3

Research methods and tools for multi-risk assessments and cost benefit analysis are developed and strengthened.

-- No questions related to local context --

Core Indicator 3.4

Countrywide public awareness strategy exists to stimulate a culture of disaster resilience, with outreach to urban and rural communities.

-- No questions related to local context --

Priority for Action 4

Reduce the underlying risk factors

Core Indicator 4.1

Disaster risk reduction is an integral objective of environment related policies and plans, including for land use, natural resource management and adaptation to climate change.

How well integrated are local government DRR policies, strategies and implementation plans with existing environmental development and natural resource management plans?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

The environment protection and natural resources management has included into Local Long Term Development Plan and strengthen as Local Regulation.
The District Government has the plan to integrate DRR plan with the environmental management.

How far do land use policies and planning regulations for housing and development infrastructure take current and projected disaster risk (including climate related risks) into account?

Level of Progress achieved: 4

Housing	Yes
Communication	No
Transportation	No

Energy	No

Description of Progress & Achievements:

There is improvement in taking into account the disaster risk reduction and climate change into land-use planning for housing and infrastructures development, although with limited human resources and fund.

How well are risk-sensitive land use regulations and building codes, health and safety codes enforced across all development zones and building types?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Description of Progress & Achievements:

The local government commitment on land use regulation and building code has stated as Local Regulation. Those regulations as the guideline on local development planning by stakeholders. Weak law enforcement make the violation toward land use which increase the vulnerability of the area. On the other side local government need to increase their human resources capacity to support regulation implementation.

How strong are existing regulations (e.g. land use plans, building codes etc) to support disaster risk reduction in your local authority?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Description of Progress & Achievements:

The Land Use regulation not fully adopted on local development process. A part is adjust during development planning but violate while its implementation. Local

government to put more resources to supervise the implementation of regulation during development process.

To what degree does the local government support the restoration, protection and sustainable management of ecosystems services?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Forests	Yes
Coastal zones	Yes
Wetlands	No
Water resources	Yes
River basins	Yes
Fisheries	Yes

Description of Progress & Achievements:

The District Government has commitment to support sustainable ecosystem protection, management and restoration. There are some efforts carry out on environment preservation such as organic fertilizer utilize and vegetative pesticide on paddy field; mangrove planting at coastal area; watershed reforestation at headwater area and coral reef protection.
Violation of land use found out and lack community awareness on environment preservation are constrained the environment preservation program.

How much do civil society organizations and citizens participate in the restoration, protection and sustainable management of ecosystems services?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Ecosystem services program involving the community and university through their natural resources conservation activities. Civil Society Organizations involvement is limited. Efforts from local government is need to encourage the partnership with civil society organization and citizen on sustainable management of ecosystem.

How much does the private sector participate in the implementation of environmental and ecosystems management plans in your local authority?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

ANTAM (State Mining Company) and PLTU (State Steam Power Plan) have the initiatives to do environmental management through their corporate social responsibility program. Local government might establish a set of regulation to engage more private sectors participation on environmental and ecosystem management plan. Supervision and monitoring toward private sector to be carried out to ensure their activities is adjust to regulation.

Core Indicator 4.2

Social development policies and plans are being implemented to reduce the vulnerability of populations most at risk.

What is the scope of financial services (e.g. saving and credit schemes, macro and micro-insurance) available to vulnerable and marginalised households for pre- disaster times?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Farming and Plantation Agency has policies to replace farmers loss during drought. The government supports them by providing production facilities or replacement cost. Moreover, the agency has also endorsed MOU with banks to clear up farmers' debts after experiencing harvest failure.

To what extent are micro finance, cash aid, soft loans, lone guarantees etc available to affected households after disasters to restart livelihoods?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Although it is limited, but there has been loan scheme for small and medium enterprises in post disaster.

Core Indicator 4.3

Economic and productive sectorial policies and plans have been implemented to reduce the vulnerability of economic activities.

How well established are economic incentives for investing in disaster risk reduction for households and businesses (e.g. reduced insurance premiums for households, tax holidays for businesses)?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

It has not been carried out.

To what extent do local business associations, such as chambers of commerce and similar, support efforts of small enterprises for business continuity during and after disasters?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

There is no activity.

Core Indicator 4.4

Planning and management of human settlements incorporate disaster risk reduction elements, including enforcement of building codes.

How adequately are critical public facilities and infrastructure located in high risk areas assessed for all hazard risks and safety?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

No risk assessment conducted for critical public facilities and infrastructures located at high risk area but it stated as part of development process on Land Use Regulation.

How adequate are the measures that are being undertaken to protect critical public facilities and infrastructure from damage during disasters?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

The regular maintenance budget has been allocated by related Officials although limited.

Core Indicator 4.5

Disaster risk reduction measures are integrated into post disaster recovery and rehabilitation processes.

How well are disaster risk reduction measures integrated into post-disaster recovery and rehabilitation activities (i.e. build back better, livelihoods rehabilitation)?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

The post disaster recovery and rehabilitation activities not fully integrated with DRR aspect.

Core Indicator 4.6

Procedures are in place to assess the disaster risk impacts of major development projects, especially infrastructure.

To what degree do local government or other levels of government have special programs in place to regularly assess schools, hospitals and health facilities for maintenance, compliance with building codes, general safety, weather-related risks etc.?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Schools	No
Hospitals/ health facilities	No

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Regular maintenance budget has been allocated but minimum and regulation implementation need to be enforce.

Priority for Action 5

Strengthen disaster preparedness for effective response at all levels

---

Core Indicator 5.1

Strong policy, technical and institutional capacities and mechanisms for disaster risk management, with a disaster risk reduction perspective are in place.

-- No questions related to local context --

Core Indicator 5.2

Disaster preparedness plans and contingency plans are in place at all administrative levels, and regular training drills and rehearsals are held to test and develop disaster response programmes.

How regularly are training drills and rehearsal carried out with the participation of relevant government, non-governmental, local leaders and volunteers?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Stakeholders are taking part on drills and rehearsal at the district among others government, community organization, private sector and university. The Indonesian Red Cross has included simulation/training drill as its regular program. Commonly the training drills and rehearsal implement irregular and limited by budget allocation.

How available are key resources for effective response, such as emergency supplies, emergency shelters, identified evacuation routes and contingency plans at all times?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Stockpiles of relief supplies	Yes
Emergency shelters	No
Safe evacuation routes identified	Yes
Contingency plan or community disaster preparedness plan for all major hazards	No

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Some Officials have budget allocated for effective response. The district has limited highland as the evacuation place construction and more budget need to be allocated for temporary shelter construction.

To what extent does the local government have an emergency operations centre (EOC) and/or an emergency communication system?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Description of Progress & Achievements:

The Emergency Operation Center is established at district level and equipped. Limitation of facilities and equipment hinder the EOC operational. Additional budget allocation, capacity building for human resources and improving technology is part of program to be carry out by local government.

How aware are citizens of evacuation plans or drills for evacuations when necessary?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Evacuation route and evacuation plan is available. A sequence of socialization and drills is need to carry out to ensure the community participation and awareness when necessary.

To what degree does the Contingency Plan (or similar plan) include an outline strategy for post disaster recovery and reconstruction, including needs assessments and livelihoods rehabilitation?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

The local government plan to establish the contingency plan include an outline strategy for post disaster recovery and reconstruction.

How far are regular disaster preparedness drills undertaken in schools, hospitals and health facilities?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Schools	No
Hospitals/ health facilities	No

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Irregular disaster preparedness implementing at school and hospital/health facilities.

The program need to be including as a regular program by budget allocation and increasing of human resources capacity

Core Indicator 5.3

Financial reserves and contingency mechanisms are in place to support effective response and recovery when required.

To what degree do local institutions have access to financial reserves to support effective disaster response and early recovery?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

No financial access from the District Government; the financial access is limited from the National and Province level.

How much access does the local government have to resources and expertise to assist victims of psycho-social (psychological, emotional) impacts of disasters?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Resources for psycho social support to victim affected by disaster is available but limited. Local government need to engage involvement of other stakeholders on psycho social work for survivor.

Core Indicator 5.4

Procedures are in place to exchange relevant information during hazard events and disasters, and to undertake post-event reviews.

-- No questions related to local context --