


The HFA Monitor

- 22 Core indicators in 5 Priorities for Action
- **Priority 1:** Ensure that disaster risk reduction is a national and a local priority with a strong **institutional basis** for implementation.
- **Priority 2**: Identify, **assess and monitor** disaster risks and enhance **early warning**.
- Priority 3: Use knowledge, innovation and education to build a culture of safety and resilience at all levels.
- Priority 4: Reduce the underlying risk factors.
- <u>Priority 5</u>: Strengthen disaster preparedness for effective response at all levels.

Are we achieving the HFA?






Gradual progress across all Priorities for Action

Increasing physical damage and economic loss








Why do we need to improve monitoring of progress?

Current HFA monitor	Proposed
1. Input rather than output or outcome focused	Link input indicators to outputs and outcomes
2. Does not measure generation of new risks or resilience	Measure not only risk reduction but also risk generation and resilience
3. Progress not related to risk levels of country	Integrate risk levels in the system
4. Subjective , not allowing international benchmarking	Objective, supporting peer to peer learning


Why do we need enhanced indicators?

Current HFA monitor	Proposed
5. Core indicators relate to multiple policies and stakeholders: Unclear responsibility and accountability	Refer to specific public policies, identifying stakeholders for each policy
6. No clear link to MDG and CC	Provide clear links to SDGs and CC
7. Does not provide information to support decision making	Identify policy weaknesses facilitating systematic understanding of disaster risk management

Architecture of indicator system



Examples: Input-Output-Outcome




Targets and indicators


- Outcome level: globally comparable and objective targets and indicators:
- Output level: nationally defined targets and indicators, embedded in National Plan to implement the Post 2015 Framework on DRR:
- Input level: nationally appropriate public policy indicators

Propose integration of global Targets and Outcome indicators from Post 2015 Framework for DRR with DRR Targets in the SDGs.

Input level: Integrated Disaster risk management policies



Output level




Outcome level:

Resilient communities and nations

- Success Indicator: Decreasing loss over time (mortality, economic loss, loss of social infrastructure)
- Data source: global and national disaster loss databases
- Sample global targets: X% reduction in mortality and economic loss in Y years (political decision)
- Linked: to SDG indicators and targets





Implementation

- Periodicity of monitoring: every 4 years (suggested) linked to SDG periodicity
- Dashboard: each indicator family presented graphically highlighting progress towards targets
- Peer review: voluntary process involving groups of countries with similar challenges

Timeline for development

- Q2-3 2014: pilot testing of indicator system, briefings at Regional DRR platforms, consultations with UN systems and experts, consultations at Preparatory Committee I
- Q3 2014: Update of the proposal
- Nov 2014: Consultations at Preparatory
 Committee II for WCDRR
- Q1 2015: Adoption in the context of the Post
 2015 Framework for DRR

Thank you very much

Contact: Kazuko Ishigaki

United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction

Tel: +41 22 917 3460

ishigaki@un.org