Dhenkanal, India

Local progress report on the implementation of the Hyogo Framework for Action (First Cycle)

Mayor:	**Smt. Monika Sharma**
Name of focal point:	**SUBHASA JENA**
Organization:	**Dhenkanal Municipality**
Title/Position:	**Executive Officer**
E-mail address:	**dhenkanalm.hud@gmail.com**
Telephone:	**+91-6762-224401**

Reporting period:	**First Cycle (2011-2013)**
Last updated on:	**26 March 2013**
Print date:	**06 May 2013**
Reporting language:	**English**

A Local HFA Monitor update published by PreventionWeb

http://www.preventionweb.net/english/hyogo/progress/reports/

Priority for Action 1

Ensure that disaster reduction is a national and local priority with a strong institutional basis for implementation

---

Core Indicator 1.1

National policy and legal framework for disaster risk reduction exists with decentralized responsibilities and capacities at all levels.

How well are local organizations (including local government) equipped with capacities (knowledge, experience, official mandate) for disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Senior officials are aware of DRR but more work is required to make it inclusive

To what extent do partnerships exist between communities, private sector and local authorities to reduce risk?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

partnerships exist between communities and various local authorities on addressing DRR issues on regular intervals

Core Indicator 1.2

Dedicated and adequate resources are available to implement disaster risk reduction plans and activities at all administrative levels.

How far does the local government have access to adequate financial resources to carry out risk reduction activities?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Description of Progress & Achievements:

local government is very much supported by state govt. to carry out risk reduction activities as and when required

To what degree does the local government allocate sufficient financial resources to carry out DRR activities, including effective disaster response and recovery?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

local government does not has any specific budget of its own for DRR activities but do activities with support of state govt.

Core Indicator 1.3

Community participation and decentralization are ensured through the delegation of authority and resources to local levels.

How much does the local government support vulnerable local communities (particularly women, elderly, infirmed, children) to actively participate in risk reduction decision-making, policy making, planning and implementation processes?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Local Government very much active in supporting vulnerable local communities (particularly women, elderly, infirmed, children) though its social programmes supported by State Govt. linking to Social Security

To what extent does the local government provide training in risk reduction for local officials and community leaders?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Once or twice in a year involving various line department and informal agencies

How regularly does the local government conduct awareness-building or education programs on DRR and disaster preparedness for local communities?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Programs include cultural diversity issues	Yes
Programs are sensitive to gender perspectives	No

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Local Government uses opportunity like festivals, disaster preparedness day etc in raising awareness in Disaster Management

Core Indicator 1.4

A national multi-sectoral platform for disaster risk reduction is functioning.

To what extent does the local government participate in the national DRR planning?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Local Government have not received opportunity to participate in national DRR planning

Priority for Action 2

Identify, assess and monitor disaster risks and enhance early warning

---

Core Indicator 2.1

National and local risk assessments based on hazard data and vulnerability information are available and include risk.

To what degree does the local government conducted thorough disaster risk assessments for key vulnerable development sectors in your local authority?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Local Government does not conducts DRR activities of its own; rather it conducts activities as per the higher level govt. guidance.

To what extent are these risk assessments regularly updated, e.g. annually or on a bi-annual basis?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

not a regular practice

How well are disaster risk assessments incorporated into all relevant local development planning on a consistent basis?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

District level agencies integrates plans in District planning activities

To what extent have local schools, hospitals and health facilities received special attention for "all hazard" risk assessments in your local authority?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Schools	Yes
Hospitals/ health facilities	No

Description of Progress & Achievements:

School and Mass education department conducts regular assessment of facilities before starting of educational calender

How safe are all main schools, hospitals and health facilities from disasters so that they have the ability to remain operational during emergencies?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Schools	Yes
Hospitals/ health facilities	No

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Most of the schools are now designed and upgraded to operate during emergencies

Core Indicator 2.2

Systems are in place to monitor, archive and disseminate data on key hazards and vulnerabilities.

-- No questions related to local context --

Core Indicator 2.3

Early warning systems are in place for all major hazards, with outreach to communities.

To what extent are early warning centres established, adequately staffed (or on-call personnel) and well resourced (power back ups, equipment redundancy etc) at all times?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Description of Progress & Achievements:

early warning centres are located within the city in district head quarters. Is well equipped to address disaster issues

How much do warning systems allow for adequate community participation?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Community responses serious with warning communications as and when issues by local Govt or District administrations

Core Indicator 2.4

National and local risk assessments take account of regional/trans-boundary risks, with a view to regional cooperation on risk reduction.

How well are local government risk assessments linked to, and supportive of, risk assessments from neighbouring local authorities

and state or provincial government risk management plans?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

District level agencies integrates plans in District planning activities

Priority for Action 3

Use knowledge, innovation and education to build a culture of safety and resilience at all levels

---

Core Indicator 3.1

Relevant information on disasters is available and accessible at all levels, to all stakeholders (through networks, development of information sharing systems, etc).

How regularly does the local government communicate to the community, information on local hazard trends and risk reduction measures (e.g. using a Risk Communications Plan) including early warnings of likely hazard impact?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

not a regular practice

Core Indicator 3.2

School curricula, education material and relevant trainings include disaster risk reduction and recovery concepts and practices.

To what degree do local schools and colleges include courses, education or training in disaster risk reduction (including climate related risks) as part of the education curriculum?

Level of Progress achieved: 4

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Schools and Colleges now are having regular programmes in disaster risk reduction

Core Indicator 3.3

Research methods and tools for multi-risk assessments and cost benefit analysis are

developed and strengthened.

-- No questions related to local context --

Core Indicator 3.4

Countrywide public awareness strategy exists to stimulate a culture of disaster resilience, with outreach to urban and rural communities.

-- No questions related to local context --

Priority for Action 4

Reduce the underlying risk factors

Core Indicator 4.1

Disaster risk reduction is an integral objective of environment related policies and plans, including for land use, natural resource management and adaptation to climate change.

How well integrated are local government DRR policies, strategies and implementation plans with existing environmental development and natural resource management plans?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

When ever there is scope Local Governments has ensured no damage to environment

How far do land use policies and planning regulations for housing and development infrastructure take current and projected disaster risk (including climate related risks) into account?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Housing	Yes
Communication	No
Transportation	No
Energy	No

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Local government ensures that all new houses located on areas that are not vulnerable.

How well are risk-sensitive land use regulations and building codes, health and safety codes enforced across all development zones and building types?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Not much applied by users and not much enforce

How strong are existing regulations (e.g. land use plans, building codes etc) to support disaster risk reduction in your local authority?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

more practicable stringent law is required

To what degree does the local government support the restoration, protection and sustainable management of ecosystems services?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Forests	Yes
Coastal zones	No
Wetlands	No

Water resources	No
River basins	No
Fisheries	No

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Much of the areas are not applicable to Local Government. However, local governments promotes increase in forest coverages

How much do civil society organizations and citizens participate in the restoration, protection and sustainable management of ecosystems services?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Civil society and citizens are very much active in terms of restoration of ecosystems

How much does the private sector participate in the implementation of environmental and ecosystems management plans in your local authority?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

private sector involves in nutshell for raising awareness as part of of charity

Core Indicator 4.2

Social development policies and plans are being implemented to reduce the vulnerability of populations most at risk.

What is the scope of financial services (e.g. saving and credit

schemes, macro and micro-insurance) available to vulnerable and marginalised households for pre- disaster times?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Support is extended using NGOs and National Govt. programmes like SJSRY and Skill Development Mission etc.

To what extent are micro finance, cash aid, soft loans, lone guarantees etc available to affected households after disasters to restart livelihoods?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Description of Progress & Achievements:

This is provided with support from State Govt. where as Local Govt. does not have mandate to run such programmes of its own

Core Indicator 4.3

Economic and productive sectorial policies and plans have been implemented to reduce the vulnerability of economic activities.

How well established are economic incentives for investing in disaster risk reduction for households and businesses (e.g. reduced insurance premiums for households, tax holidays for businesses)?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Description of Progress & Achievements:

This is provided with support from State Govt. where as Local Govt. does not have mandate to run such programmes of its own

To what extent do local business associations, such as chambers of commerce and similar, support efforts of small enterprises for business continuity during and after disasters?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Business houses are only supportive during post disaster phase for relief and rehabilitation or charity purposes.

Core Indicator 4.4

Planning and management of human settlements incorporate disaster risk reduction elements, including enforcement of building codes.

How adequately are critical public facilities and infrastructure located in high risk areas assessed for all hazard risks and safety?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Govt. line agencies ensures regularly for the protection of infrastructure meant for public during Disasters

How adequate are the measures that are being undertaken to protect critical public facilities and infrastructure from damage during disasters?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Regular assessment is conducted by higher agencies to ensure the infrastructure meant for public during Disasters should remain secqure

Core Indicator 4.5

Disaster risk reduction measures are integrated into post disaster recovery and rehabilitation processes.

How well are disaster risk reduction measures integrated into post-disaster recovery and rehabilitation activities (i.e. build back better, livelihoods rehabilitation)?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Local Governments carries out such activity with support of the State Govt.

Core Indicator 4.6

Procedures are in place to assess the disaster risk impacts of major development projects, especially infrastructure.

To what degree do local government or other levels of government have special programs in place to regularly assess schools, hospitals and health facilities for maintenance, compliance with building codes, general safety, weather-related risks etc.?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Schools	Yes
Hospitals/ health facilities	No

Description of Progress & Achievements:

School and Mass education department conducts regular assessment of facilities before starting of educational calender

Priority for Action 5

Strengthen disaster preparedness for effective response at all levels

---

Core Indicator 5.1

Strong policy, technical and institutional capacities and mechanisms for disaster risk management, with a disaster risk reduction perspective are in place.

-- No questions related to local context --

Core Indicator 5.2

Disaster preparedness plans and contingency plans are in place at all administrative levels, and regular training drills and rehearsals are held to test and develop disaster response programmes.

How regularly are training drills and rehearsal carried out with the participation of relevant government, non-governmental, local leaders and volunteers?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Not regularly but once in a while occasionally depending upon the occasions i.e Disaster Management Day

How available are key resources for effective response, such as emergency supplies, emergency shelters, identified evacuation routes and contingency plans at all times?

Level of Progress achieved: 4

Stockpiles of relief supplies	Yes
Emergency shelters	Yes
Safe evacuation routes identified	No
Contingency plan or community disaster preparedness plan for all major hazards	Yes

Description of Progress & Achievements:

District and State administrations owns all of them and is accessed to local governments when such situation arises.

To what extent does the local government have an emergency operations centre (EOC) and/or an emergency communication system?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

local government does not owns an emergency operations centre (EOC) or an emergency communication system but enjoys them from district administrations establishments located within the city

How aware are citizens of evacuation plans or drills for evacuations when necessary?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

there were no formal evacuation plan but people are aware of safest route due to indigenous practice

To what degree does the Contingency Plan (or similar plan) include an outline strategy for post disaster recovery and reconstruction, including needs assessments and livelihoods rehabilitation?

Level of Progress achieved: 4

Description of Progress & Achievements:

State Govt. supports such plan implementation in cities when such situation arrises

How far are regular disaster preparedness drills undertaken in schools, hospitals and health facilities?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Schools	Yes
Hospitals/ health facilities	No

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Schools conducts regular disaster preparedness drills

Core Indicator 5.3

Financial reserves and contingency mechanisms are in place to support effective response and recovery when required.

To what degree do local institutions have access to financial reserves to support effective disaster response and early recovery?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Local Government have well access to financial reserves to support effective disaster response with support from State Govt.

How much access does the local government have to resources and expertise to assist victims of psycho-social (psychological, emotional) impacts of disasters?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

It takes service of NGOs and various social institutions. but it never faced such situations

Core Indicator 5.4

Procedures are in place to exchange relevant information during hazard events and disasters, and to undertake post-event reviews.

-- No questions related to local context --