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Project monitoring

- UNISDR and WMO identified for each task a set of output indicators to measure progresses against targets (indicators are included in the project “description of the action” document under each result).

- These indicators set the baseline against which the SC will appreciate the progress of the project.

- The indicators will set the basis against which the evaluation of the project will be performed.

- Means of verification were identified in the DoA such as evaluation forms for workshops/on the job training, reports and other feedback systems from Benficiaries.
Evaluation of the project

- Evaluation of the project is designed in two phases:
  
  - **End of Year 1:**
    - Evaluation consultant assess the progress of the project against its planned deliveries and output released.
    - Progress report with recommendations on corrective measures will be developed and shared before the 2nd SC (MTR)
  
  - **End of Year 2:**
    - Evaluation Specialist to perform an assessments of the results achieved against the planned deliverables including a valuation of the challenges encountered and the envisage impact of the project in the medium and long run on IPA beneficiary disaster resilience capacities.
    - Final report to be presented to the 3rd SC containing recommendations on gaps and needs still to be addressed at the national and regional level and indication for planning future regional and national interventions in DRR and CCA.
Evaluation of the project

• The overall evaluation of the project will be done assessing criteria related to:

  – Relevance of the action: needs identified in the proposal have been addressed?
  – Efficiency: deliverables envisaged in the proposal have been achieved within the time and resources available?
  – Impact: has the project contributed to the disaster resilience of the IPA beneficiaries?
  – Sustainability: are results achieved sustainable in the long term?

• The Evaluation Specialist will use the project documents, monitoring reports, project products released, semi-qualitative surveys and direct interviews with the main stakeholders to evaluate the project deliveries and impact.
Reporting

• Official reports will be produced every 6 months – in line with the contractual reporting requirements
  – Jan 2013
  – Jul 2013
  – Jan 2014
  – Jul 2014

• Annual Reports

• Updates and activities/output reports are circulated to the SC through the project internal mailing list and password-protected webpage on the project website.