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▲ Participants

▲ Sweden

▲ Austria
Timeline

- **July 11**: First contact and discussions – several telcons
- **Oct 11**: Identification of partners, Selection of topic
- **Feb 12**: Preparatory meeting, Drafting of applications
- **Mar 12**: Submission and approval of applications, Finalization of agenda details for 1st exchange
- **Sep 12**: 1st exchange: AUT → SWE, Report and finalization of agenda details for 2nd exchange
- **Jan 13**: 2nd exchange: SWE → AUT, Report and dissemination
- **?**: Discussion of future collaboration
▲ Risk assessments
Three-day agenda – mix of strategic and operational aspects

- Day 1: Risk Management and Climate Change
- Day 2: Municipality and County Risk Assessments
- Day 3: Risk Assessment Workshop and Early Warning
Day 1 – Risk Management and Climate Change

- Swedish Association of Local Authorities and Regions
  - Emergency preparedness

- MSB
  - Legal framework
  - Swedish National Risk Identification and Assessment

- SMHI
  - Prognoses for winter roads
  - Climate scenarios for decision support
  - SMHI forecasting services
Day 2 – Municipality and County Risk Assessments

▲ Municipality of Jönköping
- Risk assessment workshop with alpS approach
- Guidelines from the national level for risk and vulnerability
- MSB’s support to municipalities for disaster risk reduction

▲ Climate Change and Flood Risk
- Flood risk model
- How to incorporate climate change in risk assessments

▲ City Excursion of Risks in Jönköping
- By boat!
Day 3 – Risk Assessment Workshop and Early Warning

▲ Risk Modelling
  ▲ Discussions about workshop and different risk assessment models
  ▲ County risk and vulnerability assessments
  ▲ MSB’s support to municipalities for disaster risk reduction

▲ Risk Assessment at the Local Level
  ▲ Risk assessment models used by the City of Jönköping

▲ Making Cities Resilient
  ▲ Risk considerations in city planning
  ▲ Latest developments in Sweden
  ▲ How will Lienz and Jönköping continue to build resilience to disasters?

▲ Reporting to European Commission and UNISDR
Conclusions & Benefits

- Clear focus
- Both global problems as well as operational issues addressed
- Sufficient time to discuss the topic in depth
- Mutual learning taken place
- New expertise to be fed into approaches
- Ideal blend of people
- Foundation laid for future collaboration
- Informal setting
Some Impressions…
Remarks?
Questions?

Thank you.