

# EFDRR Our Goal... Good HFA Exchanges

- Describe some exchanges that have taken place and any results.
- 2. Analyse the results of the questionnaire.
- 3. Make recommendations for future HFA exchanges.







#### Countries submitting a good example:

- Armenia
- Croatia
- Hungary
- Montenegro
- The Netherlands
- Norway
- Poland
- Sweden



Total number of countries that submitted





### Analysis of Answers nr. 1-4

- Organisation Reporting: Ministry of emergencies, risk mgmt. or civil protection agency or directorate, meteorological and/or hydrological institute, directorate for national security
- 2. Host Country: Usually the country that was reporting
- 3. Year: Mostly recent exchanges, 2010 and 2011

#### 4.1 Purpose:

- Lessons learned coming from recent natural hazard events common in the region (floods and droughts)
- Regional exchange on topics of mutual interest
- Method development on national risk assessment.
- Improve cooperation for flood management and examine human aspects
- Flood management and role of science and technology in early warning systems
- To create DRR trainers for courses

**4.2 HFA Principles:** usually 2 or 3 principles and sometimes all 5



### Analysis Answers nr. 5-8



CROATIA

- **5** Type: Training, workshop, conferences, high level meeting, exercies
- **6. Level:** National, county, local with more at the national level
- 7. Participating Organisations: Ministry, focal points and repr. of natonal platforms, national civil defence authority, meteorological institute, local municipalities, politicians, EU Member States, regional organisations (DPPI)
- 8. Financing: Many by European Commission as EU projects, scientific institution, national government authority, UNDP, UNICEF / other international organizations/



## Analysis Answer nr. 9 Results of the HFA Exchange



HUNGARY

Positive impacts and transferrability:

- Exchange of knowledge better risk management
- Good examples for implementing the HFA at national and local level
- New tools and methods
- New national platforms for DRR
- Draft Council Conclusions on integrated flood management in the EU
- Opening the door for more exchanges and future contact
- Better communication with partners



## Analysis Answers nr. 10 What made it a good exchange?

#### **POLAND**

#### **Content**

- Clear vision /goals for what they wished to learn about and see.
- Common approach to same challenge
- Results and achievements that could immediately be put into practice

#### **Connection**

- Having met face-to face earlier ie. at a global or regional meeting
- With partners, you already know

#### **Structure**

- Informal setting, open discussions
- All participants participate on a mutual basis and share the same interests in DRR
- Time to discuss and ask lots of questions
- Opportunity to visit many countries in the region



# Analysis Answers nr. 11 What would countries like to learn about?

- DRR for extreme weather, landslides, floods, flash floods, ground water flooding
- Strategies for integrating DRR and climate change adaptation work
- How to make DRR a priority on the highest levels in the country
- Development of partnerships and programs
- Forecasting and early warning
- Tools & methodologies inkl. risk & vulnerability analyses for use at local level
- Capability based planning risk assessment and capacity analysis for all levels
- •Geopolitical influence on energy, raw materials and food security and cyber security
- Results from DRR national and EU research
- Relationships, communication w/ universities + research community (HFA)



### Analysis Answers nr. 11 What would countries like to learn about? (continued)



- Implementation of UNISDR Making Cities Resilient, the HFA at the local level
- Information management and public relations in DRR (How to gain interest of the general public and journalists.)
- Educational programmes and public awareness campaigns for disaster prevention
- Risk awareness and supportive instruments to strengthen the capacity of self-help of people and businesses - community training
- How to integrate topics on DRR into educational curriculum
- Training and exercises for civil defence



## Analysis Answers nr. 12 Reported Areas of competence

## **Strategy Development DRR Prevention and Mitigation**

- Risk assessments
  - Prevention and mitigation measures (floods, red sludge mitigation)
  - Climate change adaptation; integration of DRR in local level planning, especially spatial planning

#### **Platform Development**

- How to build and maintain a platform
- Action plans and specific activities for the platform

#### **Training, Exercises and Operations**

- Basic training and follow-up training of rescue services personnel
- Trans-boundary emergency response exercises
- Rescue systems

#### **Education and Conferences**

- Lessons learned from disasters/ emergencies and associated databases
- Education programmes for risk management
- Successful organization of the conferences on DRR

Winning the interests of the experts and scientists







## Recommendations for Information Sharing and HFA Exchanges in Europe

Proposed measures for promoting exchanges in Europe

- 1. Share the compiled answers to the questionnaire on the EFDRR-web page so everyone can see the good examples.
- 2. Continue to provide information on exchanges in the EFDRR bulletin and on the EFDRR web page
- 3. EFDRR should actively promote with support from UNISDR, EC, Council of Europe EUR-OPA, DPPI, exchanges on a few current themes such as:
  - building platforms
  - risk mapping and risk assessments
  - data exchanges
  - lessons learnt
  - public awareness and media
  - HFA at the local level



## Recommendations for Information Sharing and HFA Exchanges in Europe (continued)



NORWAY

- 4. Exchanges should take place between actors from different sectors working with DRR including scientists, local experts
- 5. Encourage twinning of National Platforms, HFA focal points and municipality to municipality
- 6. Keep the exchanges reasonable (number of issues, field trips, time and costs).
- 7. Continue to explore ways to encourage the use of EU financial instruments.
- 8. Explore the value of peer review. Test the use of peer reviews at the national level.