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HFA Mid-Term Review Focus

• Broad strategic review of the HFA
• Focus on the instrument as a whole
• Analytical exercise based on qualitative research
• Coincidence with the MDGs Review
Timeline of the Review

• Retrospective: 2005 – 2010

• Prospective: 2010 – 2015 and beyond
Timeline of the Review - Implementation

• Substantive work completed between January and November 2010
• Substantive input into the SG’s ISDR Report to the GA in July 2010
• Mid-Term Review publication to be launched in early 2011
• Input for third session of Global Platform for DRR in May 2011
Methodology

• Strong focus on regional level to gather information

• Conceptual framework with key questions
Qualitative Methods to Gather Information

• Existing reports – literature review
• Outcomes of structured regional and thematic workshops
• In-depth studies
• On-line debates
• One-on-one interviews with senior experts and policy makers
Qualitative Methods to Gather Information

Review of Existing Reports

• Literature review conducted
• Draft report being finalized

In-Depth Studies

• List of studies has been published on PreventionWeb.
• Cooperation with partners
Initial Points from the Literature Review

• Confirmed importance of HFA as guiding framework for national legislation and policy

• Literature indicated a stronger emphasis on HFA implementation by Priorities of Action rather than by broader strategic goals.

• Risk reduction is still no getting “hardwired” in the business processes of the development sectors, planning and finance ministries

Gaps
• Gaps in understanding the processes
• Gaps in evidence-based information on the effectiveness of different DRR approaches
• Gaps in our understanding of risk reduction work that may be implicit in the work of different development sectors
Qualitative Methods to Gather Information

Half-Day Regional Workshops

• Scheduled in all regions between March and November 2010

One-day Workshops

• When feasible with Mid-Term Review key questions and thematic focus
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paris, March 2010</td>
<td>Support Group to the Chair of the European National Platform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangkok, March 2010</td>
<td>ISDR Asia Partnership (IAP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cairo, March 2010</td>
<td>HFA Workshop for National Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiji, April 2010</td>
<td>Progress Review Cycle of the Pacific DRM Framework for Action (RFA) and the HFA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia, April 2010</td>
<td>Meeting on Environment/Disaster Reduction in Santa Marta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nairobi, April 2010</td>
<td>The Second ministerial Conference on Disaster Risk Reduction in Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Delhi, May 2010</td>
<td>Workshop for HFA Mid-Term Review targeting South Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geneva, June 2010</td>
<td>Workshop for HFA Mid-Term Review for Europe Region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tokyo, August 2010</td>
<td>Workshop on HFA Mid-Term Review within ASEAN Plus 3 Forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington, Nov 2010</td>
<td>Workshop on HFA Mid-Term Review for the Northern America Region (US, Canada and Mexico)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Main Points Raised in the Workshops

• HFA plays important role in raising awareness and creating institutional/policy basis for DRR

• Strong need for in-country coordination and direction – governance issues

• Need to articulate vertical synergies between national and local level

• Lack of research/hard data/cost benefit analysis at the national level

• Need of increased coordination amongst UN agencies dealing with DRR at the country team and global level.
On-line Debates between June 28 – 30 July

Topic 1: 28 June – 2 July
HFA’s role in informing decision making and priority setting at the national and regional level

Topic 2: 5 – 9 July
Less effective elements of the HFA

Topic 3: 12 – 23 July
Integration of climate change in HFA implementation

Topic 4: 26 – 30 July
What kind of instruments post-HFA?
One-on-One Interviews (on-going)

• List of suggested interviewees (government focal points, national platform members, ISDR partners and experts, etc)

• Suggestions and self-nominations welcome
Please visit the Mid-Term Review dedicated space on PreventionWeb
www.preventionweb.net/go/hfa-mtr
HFA Monitoring - Purpose

• Self-assessment

• Assist countries in reviewing their own progress, gaps and challenges in Disaster Risk Reduction efforts

• Serves as a continuous feedback mechanism for the countries

• For the country and led by the country
2009 – 2011 Review Process

Process enhancements:

- Greater geographic coverage
- Multi stakeholder involvement
- Greater involvement of the civil society organizations
- Alignment of local and national level progress monitoring
- Incorporation of quantifiable and disaggregated indicators
2009 – 2011 Review Process

Improvements in HFA monitor tool:

• A set of ‘Key Questions’ and ‘Means of Verification’ added
• Overall interaction design improved
• Visualization of HFA progress input
• Access to regional organizations

Available online since 22 March 2010
### Timeframe: HFA Monitoring and Progress Review 2010 - 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Global</strong></td>
<td>Analysis for Global Assessment Report 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Regional</strong></td>
<td>Analysis and synthesis for Regional reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>National</strong></td>
<td>Completion of national interim input to Regional and Global Assessment Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>National HFA progress review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2010</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2011</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mar</strong></td>
<td><strong>Apr</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Final national reports</strong></td>
<td><strong>Continuation of national progress review</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Indicators in HFA Monitor

• 3 strategic goals

• 22 core indicators in 5 priorities for action

• 5 drivers of progress

• 3 future outlook statements

• 5 levels of progress from minor progress to comprehensive achievement
Key Questions and Means of Verification

• To provide some additional focus to each one of the core indicators

• Not meant to replace the core indicators

• Focused on the unanswered questions, gaps and challenges identified in the previous HFA review exercise and GAR 2009
Europe Region – 2007-2009 Reporting Cycle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Armenia</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Serbia</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FYR of Macedonia</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montenegro</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Europe Region – 2009-2011 Reporting Cycle

• Preparation of interim progress reports in full swing

• Approx. 22 countries are planning to submit their reports

• Interim reports can still be handed in by 31 October in order to be analyzed for the GAR
Europe Region – Regional Report

‘Implementing the Hyogo Framework for Action in Europe: Advances and Challenges’

• Useful stock-taking and guidance document in providing an overview of the ongoing disaster risk reduction activities in Europe

• Revealing of gaps in resource use and capacities, pointing out of untapped potentials

• Recommendations

Update of Report

• Insight into progress made since the last reporting cycle and the uptaking of conclusions and recommendations.

• Numerous additional countries will be reporting for the first time → Fuller picture of the DRR activities in Europe
Regional Report – Contents

- Critical analysis of national reports incl. uptaking of recommendations
- Review of sub-regional and regional reports
- Consultation/Feedback with national, regional and international actors

Regional Report
Regional Report – Timeline

Nov 2009 – Jan 2010
- Succinct report
- Draft full report

Jan – Mar 2010
- Circulation
- Final draft
- Editing

Mar – Apr 2011
- Layout
- Design

May 2011: Launch at GP 11
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