## Third United Nations World Conference on Disaster Risk Reduction

Preparatory Committee First session Geneva, 14-15 July 2014

Statement by Norway,

Presented by Mr. Arne Follerås Senior Advisor Royal Norwegian Ministry of Foreign Affairs

Checked against delivery

Thank you Madam Chair,

I would like to start by congratulating you and your co-chair on your election.

It is now almost ten years after the adoption of the <u>Hyogo Framework for Action</u>. As the various discussions on a post-2015 development agenda is coming to a conclusion, this is an opportune time to take stock, and set the direction for an ambitious disaster risk reduction agenda for the post 2015-period.

Looking back at the implementation of the HFA since 2005, there has been considerable progress. Yet, as numerous disasters in recent years have shown, we still have a way to go to make all societies safe from the threat represented by disasters. As we set out to elaborate a new global action plan to reduce disaster risk, we would like to emphasise the following:

First of all, Norway believes that the HFA2 should be a <u>simple and operational framework</u> that can guide member-states in implementing a "hands on" approach to DRR.

The framework should include <u>measurable goals</u> and <u>concrete targets</u> over an <u>agreed time-span</u>, and build on lessons from the last decade on implementing the HFA. In order to track the progress in reaching vulnerable groups, we also need indicators that are <u>disaggregated</u> at a level where at least age, gender and disability can be measured. To the extent possible, the new framework should be <u>aligned with the outcome of the SDGs and Climate Change</u> processes, for example through a <u>coherent system for monitoring and evaluation</u>.

The HFA2 should promote the inclusion of DRR as an <u>integral part of public policy and the</u> <u>development agenda</u>. DRR should be reflected in the work of all ministries, and equally important, in all local planning processes. The focus of the post-2015 DRR framework should be to <u>reduce existing risk</u>, and <u>avoid building new risk</u>. In order to achieve this, Norway believes three efforts in particular should be prioritized:

Firstly, <u>decision-making needs to be risk-informed</u>. Every day, millions of decisions are made that will affect future risk in a local community, by governments, private businesses and by individuals. There is a need for scientific as well as local and traditional knowledge, to be

made available in a comprehensible way for all these decision-makers. This includes earth observations, comprehensive all risk assessments at the local level, as well as knowledge on climate change. The <u>Global Framework for Climate Services</u> is an example of a useful tool to promote the use of reliable climate and weather information and translating it into adapted end-user information.

This comprehensive knowledge on risk should also form the <u>basis for pricing it</u>. This will allow Insurance companies to guide decisions, and public and private actors will be given a financial incentive to choose safer solutions.

Secondly, a <u>culture of safety</u> must be built in the society as a whole. The elements-paper indicates a number of important arenas. We would like to highlight the <u>importance of</u> <u>education</u> as a key arena for ensuring integration of DRR in future decision-making. In this context, I would like to refer to the suggestion made by the Global Alliance for Disaster Risk Reduction & Resilience in the Education Sector, namely that DRR should include Safe Learning Facilities, School Disaster Management, and Risk Reduction and Resilience Education as an integral part of the curriculum.

Finally, as we know, <u>certain groups are disproportionately impacted</u> by disasters. These includes persons with disabilities, children, the elderly, displaced persons, migrants, the economically poor, and in many societies, women and ethnic minorities. It is therefore essential that DRR strategies in general, and the HFA2 process in particular, give sufficient attention to the particular needs and vulnerabilities of these groups, and that all these groups are actively consulted.

Thank you.